

## Mathematical Tripos Part II: Michaelmas Term 2025

### Numerical Analysis – Lecture 13

**Problem 3.13 (The Poisson equation)** We consider the *Poisson equation*

$$\nabla^2 u = f, \quad -1 \leq x, y \leq 1, \quad (3.11)$$

where  $f$  is analytic and obeys the periodic boundary conditions

$$f(-1, y) = f(1, y), \quad -1 \leq y \leq 1, \quad f(x, -1) = f(x, 1), \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1.$$

Moreover, we add to (3.11) the following *periodic boundary conditions*

$$\begin{aligned} u(-1, y) &= u(1, y), & u_x(-1, y) &= u_x(1, y), & -1 \leq y \leq 1 \\ u(x, -1) &= u(x, 1), & u_y(x, -1) &= u_y(x, 1), & -1 \leq x \leq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

With these boundary conditions alone, a solution of (3.11) is only defined up to an additive constant. Hence, we add a *normalisation condition* to fix the constant:

$$\int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 u(x, y) \, dx \, dy = 0. \quad (3.13)$$

We have the spectrally convergent Fourier expansion

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{k, \ell=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}_{k, \ell} e^{i\pi(kx + \ell y)}$$

and seek the Fourier expansion of  $u$

$$u(x, y) = \sum_{k, \ell=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{u}_{k, \ell} e^{i\pi(kx + \ell y)}.$$

Since

$$0 = \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 u(x, y) \, dx \, dy = \sum_{k, \ell=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{u}_{k, \ell} \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 e^{i\pi(kx + \ell y)} \, dx \, dy = \hat{u}_{0, 0},$$

and

$$\nabla^2 u(x, y) = -\pi^2 \sum_{k, \ell=-\infty}^{\infty} (k^2 + \ell^2) \hat{u}_{k, \ell} e^{i\pi(kx + \ell y)},$$

together with (3.11), we have

$$\begin{cases} \hat{u}_{k, \ell} = -\frac{1}{(k^2 + \ell^2)\pi^2} \hat{f}_{k, \ell}, & k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}, (k, \ell) \neq (0, 0) \\ \hat{u}_{0, 0} = 0. \end{cases}$$

**Remark 3.14** Applying a spectral method to the Poisson equation is not representative for its application to other PDEs. The reason is the special structure of the Poisson equation. In fact,  $\phi_{k, \ell} = e^{i\pi(kx + \ell y)}$  are the eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator with

$$\nabla^2 \phi_{k, \ell} = -\pi^2(k^2 + \ell^2) \phi_{k, \ell},$$

and they obey periodic boundary conditions.

**Problem 3.15 (General second-order linear elliptic PDE)** We consider the more general second-order linear elliptic PDE

$$\nabla^\top (a \nabla u) = f, \quad -1 \leq x, y \leq 1,$$

with  $a(x, y) > 0$ , and  $a$  and  $f$  periodic. We again impose the periodic boundary conditions (3.12) and the normalisation condition (3.13). We rewrite

$$\nabla^\top (a \nabla u) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (a u_x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (a u_y) = f,$$

and use the Fourier expansions

$$g(x, y) = \sum_{k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{g}_{k, \ell} \phi_{k, \ell}(x, y), \quad h(x, y) = \sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{h}_{m, n} \phi_{m, n}(x, y),$$

together with the bivariate versions of (3.4)-(3.5)

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{g \cdot h})_{k, \ell} &= \sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{g}_{k-m, \ell-n} \hat{h}_{m, n}, & (\widehat{g_x})_{k, \ell} &= i\pi k \hat{g}_{k, \ell}, & (\widehat{g_y})_{k, \ell} &= i\pi \ell \hat{g}_{k, \ell}, \\ (\widehat{h_x})_{m, n} &= i\pi m \hat{h}_{m, n}, & (\widehat{h_y})_{m, n} &= i\pi n \hat{h}_{m, n}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$-\pi^2 \sum_{k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}} (km + \ell n) \hat{a}_{k-m, \ell-n} \hat{u}_{m, n} \phi_{k, \ell}(x, y) = \sum_{k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}_{k, \ell} \phi_{k, \ell}(x, y).$$

In the next steps, we truncate the expansions to  $-N/2 + 1 \leq k, \ell, m, n \leq N/2$  and impose the normalisation condition  $\hat{u}_{0,0} = 0$ . This results in a system of  $N^2 - 1$  linear algebraic equations in the unknowns  $\hat{u}_{m, n}$ , where  $m, n = -N/2 + 1 \dots N/2$ , and  $(m, n) \neq (0, 0)$ :

$$\sum_{m, n = -N/2 + 1}^{N/2} (km + \ell n) \hat{a}_{k-m, \ell-n} \hat{u}_{m, n} = -\frac{1}{\pi^2} \hat{f}_{k, \ell}, \quad k, \ell = -N/2 + 1 \dots N/2.$$

**Discussion 3.16 (Analyticity and periodicity)** The fast convergence of spectral methods rests on two properties of the underlying problem: analyticity and periodicity. If one is not satisfied the rate of convergence in general drops to polynomial. However, to a certain extent, we can relax these two assumptions while still retaining the substantive advantages of Fourier expansions.

- *Relaxing analyticity:* In general, the speed of convergence of the truncated Fourier series of a function  $f$  depends on the smoothness of the function. In fact, the smoother the function the faster the truncated series converges, i.e., for  $f \in C^p(-1, 1)$  we receive an  $\mathcal{O}(N^{-p})$  order of convergence.

Spectral convergence can be recovered, once analyticity is replaced by the requirement that  $f \in C^\infty(-1, 1)$ , i.e.,  $f^{(m)}(x)$  exists for all  $x \in (-1, 1)$  and  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Consider, for instance,  $f(x) = e^{-1/(1-x^2)}$ . Then,  $f \in C^\infty(-1, 1)$  but cannot be extended analytically because of essential singularities at  $\pm 1$ . Nevertheless, one can show that  $|\hat{f}_n| \sim \mathcal{O}(e^{-cn^\alpha})$ , where  $c > 0$  and  $\alpha \approx 0.44$ . While this is slower than exponential convergence in the analytic case (cf. Remark 3.7), it is still faster than  $\mathcal{O}(n^{-m})$  for any integer  $m$  and hence, we have spectral convergence.

- *Relaxing periodicity:* Disappointingly, periodicity is necessary for spectral convergence. Once this condition is dropped, we are back to the setting of Theorem 3.3, i.e., Fourier series converge as  $\mathcal{O}(N^{-1})$  unless  $f(-1) = f(1)$ . One way around this is to change our set of basis functions, e.g., to Chebyshev polynomials.