

- 1) We can choose $\Omega^{[i,j]}$ so that any prescribed element \tilde{a}_{jk} in the j -th row of $\tilde{A} = \Omega^{[i,j]} \times A$ is zero.
- 2) The rows of $\tilde{A} = \Omega^{[i,j]} \times A$ are the same as the rows of A , except that the i -th and j -th rows of the product are linear combinations of the i -th and j -th rows of A .
- 3) The columns of $\hat{A} = \tilde{A} \times \Omega^{[i,j]T}$ are the same as the columns of \tilde{A} , except that the i -th and j -th columns of \hat{A} are linear combinations of the i -th and j -th columns of \tilde{A} .
- 4) $\Omega^{[i,j]}$ is an orthogonal matrix, thus $\hat{A} = \Omega^{[i,j]} A \Omega^{[i,j]T}$ inherits the eigenvalues of A .
- 5) If A is symmetric, then so is \hat{A} .

Method 5.12 (Transformation to an upper Hessenberg form) We replace A by $\hat{A} = SAS^{-1}$, where S is a product of Givens rotations $\Omega^{[i,j]}$ chosen to annihilate subsubdiagonal elements $a_{j,i-1}$ in the $(i-1)$ -st column:

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\Omega^{[2,3]} \times} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ * & * & * & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\times \Omega^{[2,3]T}} \begin{bmatrix} * & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ * & \bullet & \bullet & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\Omega^{[2,4]} \times} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\times \Omega^{[2,4]T}} \begin{bmatrix} * & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & \bullet & * & * \\ 0 & \bullet & * & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\Omega^{[3,4]} \times} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & \bullet & \bullet \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\times \Omega^{[3,4]T}} \begin{bmatrix} * & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ * & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & \bullet & * \end{bmatrix}$$

The \bullet -elements have changed through a single transformation while the $*$ -elements remained the same.

It is seen that every element that we have set to zero remains zero, and the final outcome is indeed an upper Hessenberg matrix. If A is symmetric then so will be the outcome of the calculation, hence it will be tridiagonal. In general, the cost of this procedure is $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$.

Alternatively, we can transform A to upper Hessenberg using *Householder reflections*, rather than Givens rotations. In that case we deal with a column at a time, taking u such that, with $H_u = I - 2uu^T/\|u\|^2$, the i -th column of $\tilde{B} = H_u B$ is consistent with the upper Hessenberg form. Such a u has its first i coordinates vanishing, therefore $\tilde{B} = \tilde{B}H_u^T$ has the first i columns unchanged, and all new and old zeros (which are in the first i columns) stay untouched.

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{H_1 \times} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * & * \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\times H_1^T} \begin{bmatrix} * & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{H_2 \times} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\times H_2^T} \begin{bmatrix} * & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & \bullet & * & * & * \\ 0 & \bullet & * & * & * \\ 0 & \bullet & * & * & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{H_3 \times} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & 0 & \bullet & \bullet & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\times H_3^T} \begin{bmatrix} * & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ * & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & \bullet & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & \bullet & * & * \end{bmatrix}$$

Algorithm 5.13 (The QR algorithm) The “plain vanilla” version of the QR algorithm is as follows. Set $A_0 = A$. For $k = 0, 1, \dots$ calculate the QR factorization $A_k = Q_k R_k$ (here Q_k is $n \times n$ orthogonal and R_k is $n \times n$ upper triangular) and set $A_{k+1} = R_k Q_k$.

The eigenvalues of A_{k+1} are the same as the eigenvalues of A_k , since we have

$$A_{k+1} = R_k Q_k = Q_k^{-1} (Q_k R_k) Q_k = Q_k^{-1} A_k Q_k, \quad (5.2)$$

a similarity transformation. Moreover, $Q_k^{-1} = Q_k^T$, therefore if A_k is symmetric, then so is A_{k+1} .

If for some $k \geq 0$ the matrix A_{k+1} can be regarded as “deflated”, i.e. it has the block form

$$A_{k+1} = \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ D & E \end{bmatrix},$$

where B, E are square and $D \approx O$, then we calculate the eigenvalues of B and E separately (again, with QR, except that there is nothing to calculate for 1×1 and 2×2 blocks). As it turns out, such a “deflation” occurs surprisingly often.

Technique 5.14 (The QR iteration for upper Hessenberg matrices) If A_k is upper Hessenberg, then its QR factorization by means of the Givens rotations produces the matrix

$$R_k = Q_k^T A_k = \Omega^{[n-1,n]} \dots \Omega^{[2,3]} \Omega^{[1,2]} A_k,$$

which is upper triangular. The QR iteration sets $A_{k+1} = R_k Q_k = R_k \Omega^{[1,2]T} \Omega^{[2,3]T} \dots \Omega^{[n-1,n]T}$, and it follows that A_{k+1} is also upper Hessenberg, because

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\times \Omega^{[1,2]T}} \begin{bmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\times \Omega^{[2,3]T}} \begin{bmatrix} * & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & \bullet & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\times \Omega^{[3,4]T}} \begin{bmatrix} * & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ * & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & \bullet & * \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus a strong advantage of bringing A to the upper Hessenberg form initially is that then, in every iteration in QR algorithm, Q_k is a product of just $n-1$ Givens rotations. Hence each iteration of the QR algorithm requires just $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ operations.