

# **Weighted Hilbert Spaces and Integration of Functions of Infinitely Many Variables**

Klaus Ritter

Computational Stochastics

TU Kaiserslautern

# I. Introduction

**Given** a probability measure  $\rho$  on a set  $D$ , consider the product measure

$$\mu = \rho^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$$

on the sequence space  $D^{\mathbb{N}}$ . **Compute**

$$I(f) = \int_{D^{\mathbb{N}}} f(\mathbf{x}) \mu(d\mathbf{x})$$

for functions  $f : D^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

# I. Introduction

**Given** a probability measure  $\rho$  on a set  $D$ , consider the product measure

$$\mu = \rho^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$$

on the sequence space  $D^{\mathbb{N}}$ . **Compute**

$$I(f) = \int_{D^{\mathbb{N}}} f(\mathbf{x}) \mu(d\mathbf{x})$$

for functions  $f : D^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

## Examples

$\rho$  uniform distribution on  $D = [0, 1]$ ,

$\rho$  standard normal distribution on  $D = \mathbb{R}$ .

# I. Introduction

**Given** a probability measure  $\rho$  on a set  $D$ , consider the product measure

$$\mu = \rho^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$$

on the sequence space  $D^{\mathbb{N}}$ . **Compute**

$$I(f) = \int_{D^{\mathbb{N}}} f(\mathbf{x}) \mu(d\mathbf{x})$$

for functions  $f : D^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

**Motivation** High-dimensional integration

$$I_s(f) = \int_{D^s} f(x_1, \dots, x_s) (\rho \otimes \dots \otimes \rho)(d(x_1, \dots, x_s))$$

is well studied. Here, the limit  $s \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Motivation** Random element (stochastic process, random field)  $X$  with a representation

$$X = \Gamma(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)$$

based on iid random variables  $\xi_j$ . Let  $\rho$  denote the distribution of  $\xi_1$ . Then  $\mu$  is the joint distribution of  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots$ .

**Motivation** Random element (stochastic process, random field)  $X$  with a representation

$$X = \Gamma(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)$$

based on iid random variables  $\xi_j$ . Let  $\rho$  denote the distribution of  $\xi_1$ . Then  $\mu$  is the joint distribution of  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots$ .

### Examples

- Series expansion  $X = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \xi_j \cdot e_j$  with deterministic functions  $e_j$ .
- SDEs, SPDEs, . . . . Here  $\Gamma$  is nonlinear, in general.

**Motivation** Random element (stochastic process, random field)  $X$  with a representation

$$X = \Gamma(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)$$

based on iid random variables  $\xi_j$ . Let  $\rho$  denote the distribution of  $\xi_1$ . Then  $\mu$  is the joint distribution of  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots$ .

### Examples

- Series expansion  $X = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \xi_j \cdot e_j$  with deterministic functions  $e_j$ .
- SDEs, SPDEs, .... Here  $\Gamma$  is nonlinear, in general.

### Note

$$\mathbb{E}(\varphi(X)) = I(f)$$

with

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi(\Gamma(x_1, x_2, \dots)).$$

# OUTLINE

II. The Function Classes

III. Algorithms, Error, and Cost

IV. Two Particular Results

V. Embeddings



## II. The Function Classes

### A particular case

- $\rho$  is the uniform distribution on  $D = [0, 1]$ ,
- $F_\gamma$  consists of functions  $f : [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with smooth ANOVA terms in certain weighted Hilbert spaces.

**Weights:**  $\gamma = (\gamma_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  with

$$\gamma_1 \geq \gamma_2 \geq \cdots > 0$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j < \infty.$$

## II. The Function Classes

### A particular case

- $\rho$  is the uniform distribution on  $D = [0, 1]$ ,
- $F_\gamma$  consists of functions  $f : [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with smooth ANOVA terms in certain weighted Hilbert spaces.

**Weights:**  $\gamma = (\gamma_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  with

$$\gamma_1 \geq \gamma_2 \geq \cdots > 0$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j < \infty.$$

Notation: For  $u \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{x} \in D^{\mathbb{N}}$  let  $\mathbf{x}_u = (x_j)_{j \in u}$  and  $\gamma_u = \prod_{j \in u} \gamma_j$ .

**ANOVA decomposition** of  $f : [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Recursively, for finite sets  $u \subset \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$f_u(\mathbf{x}_u) = \int_{[0,1]^{\mathbb{N} \setminus u}} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}_{\mathbb{N} \setminus u} - \sum_{v \subsetneq u} f_v(\mathbf{x}_v).$$

**ANOVA decomposition** of  $f : [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Recursively, for finite sets  $u \subset \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$f_u(\mathbf{x}_u) = \int_{[0,1]^{\mathbb{N} \setminus u}} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}_{\mathbb{N} \setminus u} - \sum_{v \subsetneq u} f_v(\mathbf{x}_v).$$

By definition,  $f \in F_\gamma$  iff

$$\|f\|_\gamma^2 := \sum_u \gamma_u^{-1} \cdot \|f_u^{(u)}\|_{L_2([0,1]^u)}^2 \leq 1.$$

Orthogonal decomposition  $f = \sum_u f_u$ .

**ANOVA decomposition** of  $f : [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Recursively, for finite sets  $u \subset \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$f_u(\mathbf{x}_u) = \int_{[0,1]^{\mathbb{N} \setminus u}} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}_{\mathbb{N} \setminus u} - \sum_{v \subsetneq u} f_v(\mathbf{x}_v).$$

By definition,  $f \in F_\gamma$  iff

$$\|f\|_\gamma^2 := \sum_u \gamma_u^{-1} \cdot \|f_u^{(u)}\|_{L_2([0,1]^u)}^2 \leq 1.$$

Orthogonal decomposition  $f = \sum_u f_u$ .

**Example** For

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \eta_j \cdot x_j^2$$

we have

$$\|f\|_\gamma < \infty \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\eta_j^2}{\gamma_j} < \infty.$$

**ANOVA decomposition** of  $f : [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Recursively, for finite sets  $u \subset \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$f_u(\mathbf{x}_u) = \int_{[0,1]^{\mathbb{N} \setminus u}} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}_{\mathbb{N} \setminus u} - \sum_{v \subsetneq u} f_v(\mathbf{x}_v).$$

By definition,  $f \in F_\gamma$  iff

$$\|f\|_\gamma^2 := \sum_u \gamma_u^{-1} \cdot \|f_u^{(u)}\|_{L_2([0,1]^u)}^2 \leq 1.$$

Orthogonal decomposition  $f = \sum_u f_u$ .

Finite-dimensional counterparts, i.e., with  $[0, 1]^s$  instead of  $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,

**ANOVA decomposition** of  $f : [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Recursively, for finite sets  $u \subset \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$f_u(\mathbf{x}_u) = \int_{[0,1]^{\mathbb{N} \setminus u}} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}_{\mathbb{N} \setminus u} - \sum_{v \subsetneq u} f_v(\mathbf{x}_v).$$

By definition,  $f \in F_\gamma$  iff

$$\|f\|_\gamma^2 := \sum_u \gamma_u^{-1} \cdot \|f_u^{(u)}\|_{L_2([0,1]^u)}^2 \leq 1.$$

Orthogonal decomposition  $f = \sum_u f_u$ .

Finite-dimensional counterparts, i.e., with  $[0, 1]^s$  instead of  $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,

- $\gamma_u = 1$ :
  - classical ANOVA:  $\|f_u\|_{L_2([0,1]^u)}^2$  instead of  $\|f_u^{(u)}\|_{L_2([0,1]^u)}^2$ ,

**ANOVA decomposition** of  $f : [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Recursively, for finite sets  $u \subset \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$f_u(\mathbf{x}_u) = \int_{[0,1]^{\mathbb{N} \setminus u}} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}_{\mathbb{N} \setminus u} - \sum_{v \subsetneq u} f_v(\mathbf{x}_v).$$

By definition,  $f \in F_\gamma$  iff

$$\|f\|_\gamma^2 := \sum_u \gamma_u^{-1} \cdot \|f_u^{(u)}\|_{L_2([0,1]^u)}^2 \leq 1.$$

Orthogonal decomposition  $f = \sum_u f_u$ .

Finite-dimensional counterparts, i.e., with  $[0, 1]^s$  instead of  $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,

- $\gamma_u = 1$ :
  - classical ANOVA:  $\|f_u\|_{L_2([0,1]^u)}^2$  instead of  $\|f_u^{(u)}\|_{L_2([0,1]^u)}^2$ ,
  - functions with bounded mixed derivatives: ..., *Temlyakov (1994)*, ....



**ANOVA decomposition** of  $f : [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Recursively, for finite sets  $u \subset \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$f_u(\mathbf{x}_u) = \int_{[0,1]^{\mathbb{N} \setminus u}} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}_{\mathbb{N} \setminus u} - \sum_{v \subsetneq u} f_v(\mathbf{x}_v).$$

By definition,  $f \in F_\gamma$  iff

$$\|f\|_\gamma^2 := \sum_u \gamma_u^{-1} \cdot \|f_u^{(u)}\|_{L_2([0,1]^u)}^2 \leq 1.$$

Orthogonal decomposition  $f = \sum_u f_u$ .

Finite-dimensional counterparts, i.e., with  $[0, 1]^s$  instead of  $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,

- $\gamma_u = 1$ :
  - classical ANOVA:  $\|f_u\|_{L_2([0,1]^u)}^2$  instead of  $\|f_u^{(u)}\|_{L_2([0,1]^u)}^2$ ,
  - functions with bounded mixed derivatives: ..., *Temlyakov (1994)*, ....
- $\gamma_u \searrow 0$ : *Sloan, Woźniakowski (1998)*, ..., *Novak, Woźniakowski (2008)*, ....

**The general setting** Assume that

**(A1)**  $k \neq 0$  reproducing kernel on  $D \times D$  with  $D \neq \emptyset$ ,

**(A2)**  $H(k) \cap H(1) = \{0\}$ ,

**(A3)**  $(\gamma_u)_u$  family of weights  $\gamma_u \geq 0$  such that, with  $m = \inf_{x \in D} k(x, x)$ ,

$$\sum_u \gamma_u \cdot m^{|u|} < \infty.$$

**The general setting** Assume that

**(A1)**  $k \neq 0$  reproducing kernel on  $D \times D$  with  $D \neq \emptyset$ ,

**(A2)**  $H(k) \cap H(1) = \{0\}$ ,

**(A3)**  $(\gamma_u)_u$  family of weights  $\gamma_u \geq 0$  such that, with  $m = \inf_{x \in D} k(x, x)$ ,

$$\sum_u \gamma_u \cdot m^{|u|} < \infty.$$

Consider the reproducing kernel

$$K_\gamma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_u \gamma_u \prod_{j \in u} k(x_j, y_j)$$

on  $\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X}$ , where  $\mathfrak{X} = \{\mathbf{x} \in D^{\mathbb{N}} : \sum_u \gamma_u \prod_{j \in u} k(x_j, x_j) < \infty\}$ .

**The general setting** Assume that

**(A1)**  $k \neq 0$  reproducing kernel on  $D \times D$  with  $D \neq \emptyset$ ,

**(A2)**  $H(k) \cap H(1) = \{0\}$ ,

**(A3)**  $(\gamma_u)_u$  family of weights  $\gamma_u \geq 0$  such that, with  $m = \inf_{x \in D} k(x, x)$ ,

$$\sum_u \gamma_u \cdot m^{|u|} < \infty.$$

Consider the reproducing kernel

$$K_\gamma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_u \gamma_u \prod_{j \in u} k(x_j, y_j)$$

on  $\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X}$ , where  $\mathfrak{X} = \{\mathbf{x} \in D^{\mathbb{N}} : \sum_u \gamma_u \prod_{j \in u} k(x_j, x_j) < \infty\}$ .

Finally, let  $F_\gamma$  denote the unit ball in  $H(K_\gamma)$ .

**The general setting** Assume that

**(A1)**  $k \neq 0$  reproducing kernel on  $D \times D$  with  $D \neq \emptyset$ ,

**(A2)**  $H(k) \cap H(1) = \{0\}$ ,

**(A3)**  $(\gamma_u)_u$  family of weights  $\gamma_u \geq 0$  such that, with  $m = \inf_{x \in D} k(x, x)$ ,

$$\sum_u \gamma_u \cdot m^{|u|} < \infty.$$

Consider the reproducing kernel

$$K_\gamma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_u \gamma_u \prod_{j \in u} k(x_j, y_j)$$

on  $\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X}$ , where  $\mathfrak{X} = \{\mathbf{x} \in D^{\mathbb{N}} : \sum_u \gamma_u \prod_{j \in u} k(x_j, x_j) < \infty\}$ .

The ANOVA-case:  $D = [0, 1]$ ,  $\gamma_u = \prod_{j \in u} \gamma_j$  with  $\sum_j \gamma_j < \infty$ ,

$$k(x, y) = 1/3 + (x^2 + y^2)/2 - \max(x, y).$$

**The general setting** Assume that

**(A1)**  $k \neq 0$  reproducing kernel on  $D \times D$  with  $D \neq \emptyset$ ,

**(A2)**  $H(k) \cap H(1) = \{0\}$ ,

**(A3)**  $(\gamma_u)_u$  family of weights  $\gamma_u \geq 0$  such that, with  $m = \inf_{x \in D} k(x, x)$ ,

$$\sum_u \gamma_u \cdot m^{|u|} < \infty.$$

Consider the reproducing kernel

$$K_\gamma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_u \gamma_u \prod_{j \in u} k(x_j, y_j)$$

on  $\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X}$ , where  $\mathfrak{X} = \{\mathbf{x} \in D^{\mathbb{N}} : \sum_u \gamma_u \prod_{j \in u} k(x_j, x_j) < \infty\}$ .

See *Hickernell, Wang (2001)*, *Hickernell, Müller-Gronbach, Niu, R (2010)*,  
*Kuo, Sloan, Wasilkowski, Woźniakowski (2010)*, ...

# III. Algorithms, Error, and Cost

## The naive Monte Carlo approach

- Truncation, i.e., fix  $c \in D$  and consider

$$I^{(s)}(f) = \int_{D^s} f(x_1, \dots, x_s, c, \dots) (\rho \otimes \dots \otimes \rho)(d(x_1, \dots, x_s)).$$

- With  $\xi_{i,j}$  being independent and distributed according to  $\rho$ ,

$$Q(f) = \frac{1}{m} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^m f((\xi_{i,1} \dots, \xi_{i,s}, c, \dots)).$$

# III. Algorithms, Error, and Cost

## The naive Monte Carlo approach

- Truncation, i.e., fix  $c \in D$  and consider

$$I^{(s)}(f) = \int_{D^s} f(x_1, \dots, x_s, c, \dots) (\rho \otimes \dots \otimes \rho)(d(x_1, \dots, x_s)).$$

- With  $\xi_{i,j}$  being independent and distributed according to  $\rho$ ,

$$Q(f) = \frac{1}{m} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^m f((\xi_{i,1}, \dots, \xi_{i,s}, c, \dots)).$$

Note:  $Q$  requires  $m$  independent samples in the  $s$ -dimensional subspace

$$D_c^{(s)} = \{\mathbf{x} \in D^{\mathbb{N}} : x_{s+1} = \dots = c\}.$$

In general, **randomized algorithms** that evaluate  $f$  in the hierarchy of subspaces  $D_c^{(1)} \subset D_c^{(2)} \subset \dots$ .



Here, for simplicity, **randomized quadrature formulas**

$$Q(f) = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \cdot f(\xi_i)$$

with  $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\bigcup_{s=1}^{\infty} D_c^{(s)}$ -valued random variables  $\xi_i$ .

Here, for simplicity, **randomized quadrature formulas**

$$Q(f) = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \cdot f(\xi_i)$$

with  $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\bigcup_{s=1}^{\infty} D_c^{(s)}$ -valued random variables  $\xi_i$ .

**Error** and **cost** of  $Q$  on a class  $F$  of functions  $f : D^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\text{error}^2(Q, F) = \sup_{f \in F} \mathbb{E} |I(f) - Q(f)|^2$$

Here, for simplicity, **randomized quadrature formulas**

$$Q(f) = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \cdot f(\xi_i)$$

with  $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\bigcup_{s=1}^{\infty} D_c^{(s)}$ -valued random variables  $\xi_i$ .

**Error** and **cost** of  $Q$  on a class  $F$  of functions  $f$

$$\text{error}^2(Q, F) = \sup_{f \in F} \mathbb{E} |I(f) - Q(f)|^2,$$

$$\text{cost}(Q, F) = \sup_{f \in F} \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E}(\inf\{s \in \mathbb{N} : \xi_i \in D_c^{(s)}\}).$$

Here, for simplicity, **randomized quadrature formulas**

$$Q(f) = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \cdot f(\xi_i)$$

with  $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\bigcup_{s=1}^{\infty} D_c^{(s)}$ -valued random variables  $\xi_i$ .

**Error** and **cost** of  $Q$  on a class  $F$  of functions  $f$

$$\text{error}^2(Q, F) = \sup_{f \in F} \mathbb{E} |I(f) - Q(f)|^2,$$

$$\text{cost}(Q, F) = \sup_{f \in F} \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E}(\inf\{s \in \mathbb{N} : \xi_i \in D_c^{(s)}\}).$$

**The  $n$ -th minimal error**

$$e_n(F) = \inf\{\text{error}(Q, F) : \text{cost}(Q, F) \leq n\}.$$

## IV. Two Particular Results

As before,

- $\rho$  is the uniform distribution on  $D = [0, 1]$ ,
- $F_\gamma$  consists of functions  $f : [0, 1]^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with smooth ANOVA terms in weighted Hilbert spaces.

## IV. Two Particular Results

As before,

- $\rho$  is the uniform distribution on  $D = [0, 1]$ ,
- $F_\gamma$  consists of functions  $f : [0, 1]^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with smooth ANOVA terms in weighted Hilbert spaces.

Questions

- Do we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e_n(F_\gamma) = 0$ ?
- If so, sharp upper and lower bound on  $e_n(F_\gamma)$ ?

## IV. Two Particular Results

As before,

- $\rho$  is the uniform distribution on  $D = [0, 1]$ ,
- $F_\gamma$  consists of functions  $f : [0, 1]^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with smooth ANOVA terms in weighted Hilbert spaces.

Questions

- Do we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e_n(F_\gamma) = 0$ ?
- If so, sharp upper and lower bound on  $e_n(F_\gamma)$ ?

Consider

$$\lambda_\gamma = \sup \{ r > 0 : \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (e_n(F_\gamma) \cdot n^r) < \infty \}$$

as a substitute for the **order of convergence of the minimal errors**.

**Thm.** *Hickernell, Müller-Gronbach, Niu, R (2010), Baldeaux, Gnewuch (2014)*

Assume that  $\gamma_j = j^{-\alpha}$  with  $\alpha > 1$ . Then, for randomized algorithms,

$$\lambda_\gamma = \min((\alpha - 1)/2, 3/2).$$



**Thm.** *Hickernell, Müller-Gronbach, Niu, R (2010), Baldeaux, Gnewuch (2014)*

Assume that  $\gamma_j = j^{-\alpha}$  with  $\alpha > 1$ . Then, for randomized algorithms,

$$\lambda_\gamma = \min((\alpha - 1)/2, 3/2).$$

**Rem.**

- Almost optimal algorithms: multi-level plus scrambled lattice rules. The starting point is

$$f_L(\mathbf{x}) = f_0(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{\ell=1}^L (f_\ell(\mathbf{x}) - f_{\ell-1}(\mathbf{x})).$$

with  $f_\ell(\mathbf{x}) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{2^\ell}, 1/2, \dots)$ . Use scrambled lattice rules for integration of  $f_\ell - f_{\ell-1}$ . Apply tractability results.

**Thm.** *Hickernell, Müller-Gronbach, Niu, R (2010), Baldeaux, Gnewuch (2014)*

Assume that  $\gamma_j = j^{-\alpha}$  with  $\alpha > 1$ . Then, for randomized algorithms,

$$\lambda_\gamma = \min((\alpha - 1)/2, 3/2).$$

**Rem.**

- Almost optimal algorithms: multi-level plus scrambled lattice rules.
- Fixed subspace sampling is suboptimal. More precisely,

$$\lambda_\gamma^{\text{fix}} \leq \frac{3 \cdot (\alpha - 1)}{2 \cdot (\alpha + 2)}$$

for the order of convergence of the respective minimal errors.

**Thm.** *Hickernell, Müller-Gronbach, Niu, R (2010), Baldeaux, Gnewuch (2014)*

Assume that  $\gamma_j = j^{-\alpha}$  with  $\alpha > 1$ . Then, for randomized algorithms,

$$\lambda_\gamma = \min((\alpha - 1)/2, 3/2).$$

**Rem.**

- Almost optimal algorithms: multi-level plus scrambled lattice rules.
- Fixed subspace sampling is suboptimal. More precisely,

$$\lambda_\gamma^{\text{fix}} \leq \frac{3 \cdot (\alpha - 1)}{2 \cdot (\alpha + 2)}$$

for the order of convergence of the respective minimal errors.

**Question:** Performance of deterministic algorithms?

**Thm.** *Hickernell, Müller-Gronbach, Niu, R (2011), Gnewuch (2012),  
Dick, Gnewuch (2014), Hefter, R (2014)*

Assume that  $\gamma_j = j^{-\alpha}$  with  $\alpha > 1$ . Then, for deterministic algorithms,

$$\lambda_\gamma = \min((\alpha - 1)/2, 1).$$

**Thm.** *Hickernell, Müller-Gronbach, Niu, R (2011), Gnewuch (2012),  
Dick, Gnewuch (2014), Hefter, R (2014)*

Assume that  $\gamma_j = j^{-\alpha}$  with  $\alpha > 1$ . Then, for deterministic algorithms,

$$\lambda_\gamma = \min((\alpha - 1)/2, 1).$$

**Proof, Step 1** Result is known for the unit ball in  $H(L_\gamma)$ , where

$$L_\gamma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_u \gamma_u \prod_{j \in u} \ell(x_j, y_j) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + \gamma_j \ell(x_j, y_j))$$

with

$$\ell(x, y) = \min(x, y).$$

Furthermore, with equivalent norms for every  $\gamma > 0$ ,

$$H(1 + \gamma k) = H(1 + \gamma \ell) = W_2^1([0, 1]).$$

**Thm.** *Hickernell, Müller-Gronbach, Niu, R (2011), Gnewuch (2012),  
Dick, Gnewuch (2014), Hefter, R (2014)*

Assume that  $\gamma_j = j^{-\alpha}$  with  $\alpha > 1$ . Then, for deterministic algorithms,

$$\lambda_\gamma = \min((\alpha - 1)/2, 1).$$

**Proof, Step 1** Result is known for the unit ball in  $H(L_\gamma)$ , where

$$L_\gamma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_u \gamma_u \prod_{j \in u} \ell(x_j, y_j) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + \gamma_j \ell(x_j, y_j))$$

with

$$\ell(x, y) = \min(x, y).$$

Furthermore, with equivalent norms for every  $\gamma > 0$ ,

$$H(1 + \gamma k) = H(1 + \gamma \ell) = W_2^1([0, 1]).$$

**Question:**  $H(K_\gamma) = H(L_\gamma)$ ? **Answer:** No, in general.

# V. Embeddings

**Thm.** *Hefter, R (2014)*

(i)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j^{1/2} < \infty \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad H(K_\gamma) = H(L_\gamma).$$

# V. Embeddings

**Thm.** *Hefter, R (2014)*

(i)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j^{1/2} < \infty \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad H(K_{\gamma}) = H(L_{\gamma}).$$

(ii) In general, there exist  $0 < c' < 1 < \tilde{c}$  such that

$$H(K_{c'\gamma}) \subseteq H(L_{\gamma}) \subseteq H(K_{\tilde{c}\gamma})$$

and

$$H(L_{c'\gamma}) \subseteq H(K_{\gamma}) \subseteq H(L_{\tilde{c}\gamma}).$$

Here  $K_{c\gamma}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + c\gamma_j k(x_j, y_j))$ , and likewise for  $L_{c\gamma}$ .



# V. Embeddings

**Thm.** *Hefter, R (2014)*

(i)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j^{1/2} < \infty \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad H(K_{\gamma}) = H(L_{\gamma}).$$

(ii) In general, there exist  $0 < c' < 1 < \tilde{c}$  such that

$$H(K_{c'\gamma}) \subseteq H(L_{\gamma}) \subseteq H(K_{\tilde{c}\gamma})$$

and

$$H(L_{c'\gamma}) \subseteq H(K_{\gamma}) \subseteq H(L_{\tilde{c}\gamma}).$$

Here  $K_{c\gamma}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + c\gamma_j k(x_j, y_j))$ , and likewise for  $L_{c\gamma}$ .

**Rem.** General result on embeddings between weighted tensor product spaces available.

## Summary

- Integration

$$I(f) = \int_{D^{\mathbb{N}}} f(\mathbf{x}) \rho^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}(d\mathbf{x})$$

of functions  $f : D^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

- Function classes: weighted superpositions of tensor product reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces,

$$K_{\gamma}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_u \gamma_u \prod_{j \in u} k(x_j, y_j).$$

- Sharp bound on minimal errors for randomized and deterministic algorithms (here: for a specific example).
- New type of embedding results.