Inverse Problems

Michaelmas term 2020

Yury Korolev and Jonas Latz

8 October 2020



Practicalities

- Lectures take place on Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, 11am-12pm, online
- Course materials (lecture notes, example sheets, etc.) will be provided at http://www.damtp.cam.ac.uk/research/cia/inverse-problems-michaelmas-2020
- Lectures will be recorded;
- Four example sheets and example classes (possibly in person): 28 October, 18 November, 2 December & some time in January
- For further questions email y.korolev@maths.cam.ac.uk or jl2160@cam.ac.uk

Example classes

Example classes will be held (most likely) online on the following dates:

- Wednesday 28 October, 1.30-3.00pm
- Wednesday 18 November, 1.30-3.00pm
- Wednesday 2 December, 1.30-3.00pm
- Wednesday 20 January, 1.30-3.00pm

Return solutions to two questions (specified in advance)

- Example sheets will be made available one week before the deadline.
- Upload your answers on Moodle by 12 noon on Monday.

Office hours will be held online on Wednesdays 1:30-2:30pm. Please send us an email in advance to arrange a meeting. What do we mean by inverse problems?

- Direct problem: Given an object (cause), determine data (effect).
- Inverse problem: Observing (noisy) data, recover the object.



Image processing is a classical example of an inverse problem



Inverse problems are ill-posed

Well-posedness of a problem as defined by Jacques Hadamard (1923):

- I) Existence. There should be at least one solution.
- II) Uniqueness. There should be at most one solution.
- III) Stability. The solution must depend continuously on data.

If any of the above conditions is violated the problem is called ill-posed

Linear inverse problems

We consider the linear inverse problem

f = Au

- The physical phenomenon that relates the unknown and the measurement is modelled by a linear operator A : X → Y.
- The ideal measurement is given by f = Au.

Linear inverse problems

We consider the linear inverse problem

f = Au

- The physical phenomenon that relates the unknown and the measurement is modelled by a linear operator A : X → Y.
- The ideal measurement is given by f = Au.
- We can only observe noisy measurements $f_n = Au + n$

We would like to recover the unknown u from a noisy measurement f_n .

Linear inverse problems

We consider the linear inverse problem

f = Au

- The physical phenomenon that relates the unknown and the measurement is modelled by a linear operator A : X → Y.
- The ideal measurement is given by f = Au.
- We can only observe noisy measurements $f_n = Au + n$

We would like to recover the unknown u from a noisy measurement f_n .

Main difficulty: A^{-1} does not exist or is not continuous.

Examples: matrix inversion

A: ℝ^d → R(A) ⊊ ℝ^k, k > d, i.e. the system is overdetermined. Because of the noise f_n ∉ R(A) ⇒ There is no solution.

Examples: matrix inversion

- A: ℝ^d → R(A) ⊊ ℝ^k, k > d, i.e. the system is overdetermined. Because of the noise f_n ∉ R(A) ⇒ There is no solution.
- II) $A : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^k$, k < d, i.e. the system is underdetermined. \Rightarrow There are several possible solutions.

Examples: matrix inversion

- A: ℝ^d → R(A) ⊊ ℝ^k, k > d, i.e. the system is overdetermined. Because of the noise f_n ∉ R(A) ⇒ There is no solution.
- II) $A : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^k$, k < d, i.e. the system is underdetermined. \Rightarrow There are several possible solutions.
- III) There exists $A^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$, but the condition number $\kappa = \lambda_1 / \lambda_d$ is very large. Then *A* is almost singular and $||A^{-1}n|| \approx ||n|| / \lambda_d$ can be arbitrarily large. \Rightarrow The naive reconstruction $\tilde{u} = A^{-1} f_n = u + A^{-1} n$ is dominated by the noise.

Examples: Deblurring (deconvolution)



Figure: The Hubble space telescope had a flaw in its mirror which resulted in the images being blurred.

$$f(x) = (Au)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} a(x - y)u(y)dy$$

Signal deblurring for noiseless data

The noiseless data $f(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a(t-s)u(s)ds$ has Fourier transform

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\xi t} f(t) dt$$

Signal deblurring for noiseless data

The noiseless data $f(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a(t-s)u(s)ds$ has Fourier transform

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\xi t} f(t) dt.$$

The Convolution Theorem implies

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \hat{a}(\xi)\hat{u}(\xi),$$

Signal deblurring for noiseless data

The noiseless data $f(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a(t-s)u(s)ds$ has Fourier transform

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\xi t} f(t) dt.$$

The Convolution Theorem implies

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \hat{a}(\xi)\hat{u}(\xi),$$

and hence by inverse Fourier transform

$$u(t) = rac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it\xi} rac{\hat{f}(\xi)}{\hat{a}(\xi)} d\xi.$$

Signal deblurring for noisy data

We can only observe noisy data and get

$$\hat{f}_n(\xi) = \hat{a}(\xi)\hat{u}(\xi) + \hat{n}(\xi).$$

Signal deblurring for noisy data

We can only observe noisy data and get

$$\hat{f}_n(\xi) = \hat{a}(\xi)\hat{u}(\xi) + \hat{n}(\xi).$$

We assume that *a* is a Gaussian kernel

$$a(t) = rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi lpha^2}} \exp\Big(-rac{1}{2lpha^2}t^2\Big).$$

Signal deblurring for noisy data

We can only observe noisy data and get

$$\hat{f}_n(\xi) = \hat{a}(\xi)\hat{u}(\xi) + \hat{n}(\xi).$$

We assume that *a* is a Gaussian kernel

$$a(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\alpha^2}t^2\right).$$

Then the estimate \tilde{u} given by the Convolution Theorem is

$$\widetilde{u}(t) = u(t) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{n}(\xi) \exp\left(it\xi + \frac{\alpha^2}{2}\xi^2\right) d\xi,$$

which may not be even well defined since the Fourier transform of the noise will not decay fast enough.

Examples: Heat distribution in an insulated rod

Consider the problem

$$v_t - v_{xx} = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, \pi) \times \mathbb{R}_+$$
$$v(0, \cdot) = v(\pi, \cdot) = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+$$
$$v(\cdot, T) = f \quad \text{in } (0, \pi)$$
$$v(\cdot, 0) = u \quad \text{in } (0, \pi)$$

Examples: Heat distribution in an insulated rod

Consider the problem

$$v_t - v_{xx} = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, \pi) \times \mathbb{R}_+$$

$$v(0, \cdot) = v(\pi, \cdot) = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+$$

$$v(\cdot, T) = f \quad \text{in } (0, \pi)$$

$$v(\cdot, 0) = u \quad \text{in } (0, \pi)$$

Forward problem: Determine the final distribution $v(\cdot, T) \in L^2(0, \pi)$, T > 0, when the initial distribution $v(\cdot, 0) \in L^2(0, \pi)$ is given.

Examples: Heat distribution in an insulated rod

Consider the problem

$$v_t - v_{xx} = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, \pi) \times \mathbb{R}_+$$

$$v(0, \cdot) = v(\pi, \cdot) = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+$$

$$v(\cdot, T) = f \quad \text{in } (0, \pi)$$

$$v(\cdot, 0) = u \quad \text{in } (0, \pi)$$

Forward problem: Determine the final distribution $v(\cdot, T) \in L^2(0, \pi)$, T > 0, when the initial distribution $v(\cdot, 0) \in L^2(0, \pi)$ is given.

Inverse problem: Determine the initial distribution $v(\cdot, 0) \in L^2(0, \pi)$ from observed (noisy) final distribution $v(\cdot, T) \in L^2(0, \pi)$.

Forward problem

The solution to the forward problem can be given explicitly:

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},T) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \widehat{u}_j \mathbf{e}^{-j^2 T} sin(j\mathbf{x}),$$

where $\{\hat{u}_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ are the Fourier (sine) coefficients of the initial heat distribution u.

Forward problem

The solution to the forward problem can be given explicitly:

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},T) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \widehat{u}_j \mathbf{e}^{-j^2 T} sin(j\mathbf{x}),$$

where $\{\hat{u}_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ are the Fourier (sine) coefficients of the initial heat distribution u.

The solution operator

$$A_T: u \mapsto v(\cdot, T) = f, \quad L^2(0, \pi) \to L^2(0, \pi)$$

satisfies the following conditions:

- A_T is injective,
- $\mathcal{R}(A_T)$ is dense in $L^2(0, \pi)$,
- A_T is linear, bounded and **compact** \Rightarrow no continuous inverse.

Inverse problem

We notice that, for every s > 0,

$$\|v\|_{H^{s}}^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{2s} e^{-2j^{2}T} |\widehat{u}_{j}|^{2}$$
$$= T^{-s} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (j^{2}T)^{s} e^{-2j^{2}T} |\widehat{u}_{j}|^{2}$$
$$\leq CT^{-s} \|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}$$

and hence $\mathcal{R}(A_T) \subset \bigcap_{s>0} H^s$. However, noise is not smooth and hence $f_n = v(\cdot, T) + n \notin \mathcal{R}(A_T)$.

Heat distribution at t = 0.02, 0.06, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5 and t = 1



Another heat distribution at t = 0.02, 0.06, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5and t = 1



Comparison of the two heat distributions



Examples: Computerised tomography (CT)



$$f(heta, oldsymbol{s}) = (oldsymbol{A} oldsymbol{u})(heta, oldsymbol{s}) = \int_{x \cdot heta = oldsymbol{s}} oldsymbol{u}(x) dx$$

The data are collected by rotating the X-ray source and detectors around the object

Video by Samuli Siltanen. For more videos see www.siltanen-research.net/IPexamples/xray_tomography

Examples: Ozone layer tomography



Figure: Given star occultation measurements, what is the ozone profile?

Examples: Geodesic X-ray transform



Examples: Photo-acoustic tomography



Figure: Photo-acoustic tomography is an example of a hybrid inverse problem that aims to combine high contrast and resolution of different imaging methods.

Examples: Photo-acoustic tomography



Figure: Images of superficial blood vessels. Image by UCL Photoacoustic Imaging Group

Examples: Hydraulic tomography



Figure: Hydraulic tomography: Measure water pressure in a groundwater reservoir to estimate the hydraulic conductivity (Image by Dr Jim Yeh; http://tian.hwr.arizona.edu/research/HT/examples)

$$f_n = (p(x_i) : i = 1, ..., I)$$
, where
 $-\nabla \cdot \exp(u(x))\nabla p(x) = s(x)$ $(x \in D) + b.c.$
NB, the map $u \mapsto f_n$ is non-linear

Outline of the course

Functional-analytic regularisation (ca. 12 lectures)

- Regularisation theory in Hilbert spaces
 - singular value decomposition of compact operators, spectral filtering;
- Regularisation theory in Banach spaces
 - variational methods, convex analysis, duality;
- Bayesian inverse problems (ca. 12 lectures)
 - Uncertainty, statistics, and learning
 - Bayesian and conditional probability on separable Banach spaces, random fields
 - Bayesian inverse problems and the linear Gaussian case
 - Well-posedness of Bayesian inverse problems
 - existence, uniqueness, and stability of posterior measures
 - Algorithms for Bayesian inversion
 - Importance sampling, Markov chain Monte Carlo

Solving an inverse problem: Variational approach

We want to approximate *u* from a measurement

$$f_n = Au + n$$
,

where $A : X \to Y$ is linear and bounded, X and Y are Banach spaces and $n \notin \mathcal{R}(A)$ is a perturbation such that $||n||_Y \leq \delta$.

One approach is to use the least squares method

$$\widetilde{u} = \arg\min_{u \in X} \left\{ \|Au - f_n\|_Y^2 \right\}.$$

Problem: Multiple minima (if A is not injective) and no stability with respect to the data f_n .

Solving an inverse problem: Variational approach

We want to approximate *u* from a measurement

$$f_n = Au + n,$$

where $A : X \to Y$ is linear and bounded, X and Y are Banach spaces and $n \notin \mathcal{R}(A)$ is a perturbation such that $||n||_Y \leq \delta$.

To regularise the problem we add a regularisation term and define

$$\widetilde{u} = \arg\min_{u \in X} \left\{ \|Au - f_n\|_Y^2 + \alpha \mathcal{R}(u) \right\}$$

Regularisation gives a stable approximate solution for the inverse problem. Parameter α depends on the magnitude δ of the perturbation *n*.

Solving an inverse problem: Variational approach

We want to approximate *u* from a measurement

$$f_n = Au + n$$
,

where $A : X \to Y$ is linear and bounded, X and Y are Banach spaces and $n \notin \mathcal{R}(A)$ is a perturbation such that $||n||_Y \leq \delta$.

To regularise the problem we add a regularisation term and define

$$\widetilde{u} = \arg\min_{u \in X} \left\{ \|Au - f_n\|_Y^2 + \alpha \mathcal{R}(u) \right\}$$

Regularisation gives a stable approximate solution for the inverse problem. Parameter α depends on the magnitude δ of the perturbation *n*.

Problem: perturbation *n* does not have a statistical interpretation, the constraint $||n||_Y \le \delta$ will not be satisfied for a random *n*.

Problem: perturbation *n* does not have a statistical interpretation, the constraint $||n||_Y \le \delta$ will not be satisfied for a random *n*. Problem: variational approach gives an estimate for the solution of

$$f_n = Au + n$$

Questions:

- how good is this estimate?
- statistical properties?
- uncertainties?

We consider a measurement model

$$f_n = \mathcal{A}(u) + n$$

u and *n* are uncertain $\stackrel{[Cox, 1946]}{\Longrightarrow}$ represent through random variables

We consider a measurement model

 $f_n = \mathcal{A}(u) + n$

u and *n* are uncertain $\stackrel{[Cox, 1946]}{\Longrightarrow}$ represent through random variables

- *U* describing our a priori knowledge concerning the parameter $\mathbb{P}(U \in \cdot)$ is called prior distribution
- N describing our knowledge concerning the noise

We consider a measurement model

 $f_n = \mathcal{A}(u) + n$

u and *n* are uncertain $\stackrel{[Cox, 1946]}{\Longrightarrow}$ represent through random variables

- *U* describing our a priori knowledge concerning the parameter $\mathbb{P}(U \in \cdot)$ is called prior distribution
- N describing our knowledge concerning the noise

obtaining the measurement is an event:

$$\{f_n = \mathcal{A}(U) + N\}$$

"Learn" data by conditioning the parameter *U* on $\{f_n = A(U) + N\}$, i.e., determine the posterior distribution:

$$\mathbb{P}(U \in \cdot | f_n = \mathcal{A}(U) + N)$$

"Learn" data by conditioning the parameter *U* on $\{f_n = A(U) + N\}$, i.e., determine the posterior distribution:

$$\mathbb{P}(U \in \cdot | f_n = \mathcal{A}(U) + N)$$

- posterior distribution describes our knowledge a posteriori to seeing the data
- posterior distribution is obtained through Bayes' formula

 \Rightarrow Bayesian inverse problem

"Learn" data by conditioning the parameter *U* on $\{f_n = A(U) + N\}$, i.e., determine the posterior distribution:

$$\mathbb{P}(U \in \cdot | f_n = \mathcal{A}(U) + N)$$

- posterior distribution describes our knowledge a posteriori to seeing the data
- posterior distribution is obtained through Bayes' formula
 ⇒ Bayesian inverse problem

Bayes' theorem (informal)

 $\pi_{\text{posterior}}(u|f_n) \propto L(f_n|u)\pi_{\text{prior}}(u),$

 $\pi_{\text{prior}}, \pi_{\text{posterior}}$ are prior/posterior densities, and L is the likelihood

Finite dimensional Gaussian example

•
$$X = \mathbb{R}^d$$
 and $Y = \mathbb{R}^k$, $A : X \to Y$ linear,

- $N \sim N(0, Id_k)$ is white Gaussian noise
- We choose Gaussian prior $\mathbb{P}(U\in \cdot)=N(0,\Sigma)$

Posterior has density

$$\pi_{\text{posterior}}(u|f_n) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\|f_n - Au\|_{\mathbb{R}^k}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{\Sigma}^2\right)$$

Finite dimensional Gaussian example

- $X = \mathbb{R}^d$ and $Y = \mathbb{R}^k$, $A : X \to Y$ linear,
- $N \sim N(0, Id_k)$ is white Gaussian noise
- We choose Gaussian prior $\mathbb{P}(U\in \cdot)=N(0,\Sigma)$

Posterior has density

$$\pi_{\text{posterior}}(u|f_n) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\|f_n - Au\|_{\mathbb{R}^k}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{\Sigma}^2\right)$$

- here: posterior has a closed form solution
- Optimising $\pi_{\text{posterior}}(u|f_n) \Rightarrow$ regularisation (with $\mathcal{R}(u) = ||u||_{\Sigma}^2$)

Questions? Comments?