

*Inflationary Correlation Functions
without Infrared Divergences*

Mischa Gerstenlauer

with G. Tasinato, A. Hebecker, C. Byrnes, S. Nurmi

JCAP 1008:006,2010 and JCAP 1106:021,2011

IR divergences in δN - formalism

Starobinsky '85, Sasaki/Stewart '95, Wands/Malik/Lyth/Liddle '00

Lyth/Malik/Sasaki '04, Lyth/Rodriguez '05

- Consider some late constant energy-density surface (reheating surface)

$$ds^2 = e^{2\zeta(x)} dx dx$$

- The **curvature perturbation** ζ can be interpreted as perturbation in the *local* number of e-foldings $N(\phi(x))$ $(\dots)_\phi = \frac{d}{d\phi} \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(x) = \delta N(x) &= N(\phi_0 + \delta\phi(x)) - N(\phi_0) \\ &= N_\phi \delta\phi(x) + \frac{1}{2} N_{\phi\phi} \delta\phi(x)^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

- Yields 2-point correlator in Fourier space:

$$\langle \zeta_k \zeta_p \rangle \sim N_\phi^2 \langle \delta\phi_k \delta\phi_p \rangle + \frac{1}{4} N_{\phi\phi}^2 \langle (\delta\phi^2)_k (\delta\phi^2)_p \rangle + N_\phi N_{\phi\phi\phi} \dots$$

Infrared Divergences in Inflation

- Focus on the second term

$$N_{\phi\phi}^2 \langle (\delta\phi^2)_k (\delta\phi^2)_p \rangle \sim N_{\phi\phi}^2 \int_{q,l} \langle \delta\phi_q \delta\phi_{k-q} \delta\phi_l \delta\phi_{p-l} \rangle \quad .$$

- use

$$\delta\phi_k \sim \frac{H}{k^{3/2}} a_k$$

- to yield leading-log contribution from $q, l \ll k, p$:

$$N_{\phi\phi}^2 H^4 \int \frac{d^3q}{q^3} \sim N_{\phi\phi}^2 H^4 \ln(kL) \quad ,$$

with cut-off $1/L$.

Fluctuations of the Hubble scale H

Byrnes, MG, Hebecker, Tasinato, Nurmi '10

- Origin of IR effects is the dependence of $N(\phi(x))$ on $\delta\phi_q$ with $q \ll k$
- A similar dependence appears in the Hubble function $H(\phi(x))$.
- **The Hubble scale $H(\phi(x))$ should be modified analogously!**
- This has not been taken into account in (higher-order) δN -calculations.

Fluctuations of the Hubble scale H

- Define local background of the scalar field:

$$\delta\bar{\phi}(x) = \int_{q \ll k} e^{-iqx} \delta\phi_q$$

- Hubble function should be evaluated at horizon exit of mode k .
Local scalar field value at horizon exit: $\phi_0 + \delta\bar{\phi}(x)$

$$\delta\phi(x) \sim \int_k \frac{e^{-ikx}}{k^{3/2}} H(\phi_0 + \delta\bar{\phi}(x)) a_k$$

- Use this **modified** $\delta\phi$ in $\zeta = N(\phi_0 + \delta\phi) - N(\phi_0)$ and expand in both, $\delta\phi$ and $\delta\bar{\phi}$.

Geometry of the Reheating Surface

- This yields: $(H^2 \ln kL \sim \langle \delta\bar{\phi}^2 \rangle$ and $\mathcal{P}_\zeta^{(0)} \sim N_\phi^2 H^2)$

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta(k) = \mathcal{P}_\zeta^{(0)}(k) + \frac{1}{2} \langle \delta\bar{\phi}^2 \rangle \frac{d^2}{d\phi^2} \mathcal{P}_\zeta^{(0)} \dots$$

- Replace $\delta\bar{\phi}$ by $\bar{\zeta} \sim \int_{q \ll k} e^{-iqx} \zeta_q$ and use $\frac{d}{d\phi} = N_\phi \frac{d}{d \ln k}$ to write this as

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta(k) = \left(1 - \langle \bar{\zeta} \rangle \frac{d}{d \ln k} + \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{\zeta}^2 \rangle \frac{d^2}{(d \ln k)^2} \right) \mathcal{P}_\zeta^{(0)}(k)$$

see also Giddings/Sloth '10

- Obviously, these are the first terms of the Taylor expansion of

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta(k) = \langle \mathcal{P}_\zeta^{(0)}(ke^{-\bar{\zeta}}) \rangle$$

where $\langle \dots \rangle$ is the average in $\bar{\zeta}$ over a box of size L

Infrared-safe Correlator

MG, Hebecker, Tasinato '11

- According to its definition

$$\langle \zeta_k \zeta_p \rangle \sim \frac{\delta(k+p)}{k^3} \mathcal{P}_\zeta(k)$$

the power spectrum may be written as

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta(k) \sim k^3 \int_y e^{iky} \langle \zeta(x) \zeta(x+y) \rangle$$

- The average $\langle \dots \rangle$ is over pairs of points separated by a **coordinate vector** y .
- In other words, we are averaging over the location x of such pairs.

Infrared-safe Correlator

- At each location there is a **local** background due to long-wavelength modes

$$\bar{\zeta}(x) = \int_{q \ll k \sim 1/y} dq e^{-iqx} \zeta_q$$

- The **physical** separation $z = e^{\bar{\zeta}(x)} y$ of the pairs is x -dependent and therefore different for each pair
- Moreover, this mismatch between y and the true distance z grows with L . More precise: $\langle \bar{\zeta}^2 \rangle \sim \mathcal{P}_\zeta^{(0)} \ln(kL)$.

⇒ Select pairs separated by **same** physical distance z ⇐

IR-safe Correlator

- The correlator

$$\langle \zeta(x) \zeta(x + e^{-\bar{\zeta}(x)} z) \rangle$$

averages over pairs of points **ALL** separated by the same physical distance z .

(Note: Now the coordinate vector $y(x) = e^{-\bar{\zeta}(x)} z$ is different for each pair.)

- The z -dependence of this correlator is then a **background-independent** and, hence, **IR-safe** object.
- Consequently, the Fourier transform is the desired **IR-safe** power spectrum

$$\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}^{(0)}(k) \sim k^3 \int_z e^{ikz} \langle \zeta(x) \zeta(x + ze^{-\bar{\zeta}}) \rangle$$

related to Urakawa/Tanaka '10 ?

see also Giddings/Sloth '11

IR-safe Power Spectrum

- The conventional **IR-sensitive** power spectrum is obtained via

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{P}_\zeta(k) &\sim k^3 \int_y e^{iky} \langle \zeta(x) \zeta(x+y) \rangle \\
 &\sim k^3 \int_y e^{iky} \langle \zeta(x) \zeta(x + (ye^{\bar{\zeta}})e^{-\bar{\zeta}}) \rangle \\
 &\sim \langle (ke^{-\bar{\zeta}})^3 \int_z \exp(ike^{-\bar{\zeta}}z) \zeta(x) \zeta(x + ze^{-\bar{\zeta}}) \rangle \\
 &\sim \langle \mathcal{P}_\zeta^{(0)}(ke^{-\bar{\zeta}}) \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

i.e. in a resummed, all-orders form.

- This is in absolute agreement with the previous result!!!

Tensor modes γ

- Include tensor modes in the metric (γ : transverse, traceless)

$$ds^2 = e^{2\zeta(x)} \left(e^{\gamma(x)} \right)_{ij} dx^i dx^j$$

- Generalization yields (\hat{k} : unit vector in k -direction)

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta(k) = \langle (e^{-\bar{\gamma}/2} \hat{k})^{-3} \mathcal{P}_\zeta^{(0)}(e^{-\bar{\zeta} - \bar{\gamma}/2} k) \rangle$$

- Expanding to first non-trivial order yields:

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta(k) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{20} \langle \text{tr } \bar{\gamma}^2 \rangle \frac{d}{d \ln k} + \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{\zeta}^2 \rangle \frac{d^2}{(d \ln k)^2} \right) \mathcal{P}_\zeta^{(0)}(k)$$

in agreement with Giddings/Sloth '10

Conclusions

- A wide class of inflationary IR divergences comes from long-wavelength background modes.
- This can be seen in an (appropriately modified) δN formalism as well as from the 'geometry of the reheating surface'.
- It is possible to define IR-safe correlators.
- Conventional correlators (with their IR-dependence) can be easily calculated.
- Inclusion of tensor modes is straightforward.

Beyond this talk: n -point correlators, all-orders evaluation, convergence of perturbative expansion