# Resurgent Methods in Exact QFTs

Daniele Dorigoni -Cambridge-Eurostrings 2015





"Divergent series are the invention of the *Geod*, and it is shameful to base on them any demonstration whatsoever ...."

#### Niels Henrik Abel (1802 – 1829)



"Divergent series are the invention of the *Geod*, and it is shameful to base on them any demonstration whatsoever ...."

"That most of these things [summation of divergent series] are correct, in spite of that, is extraordinarily surprising. I am trying to find a reason for this; it is an exceedingly interesting question."

#### Some physical motivations:

Perturbation Theory in
\* Asymptotic Nature of QM,QFT,String Theory

\* IR Renormalon Puzzle in asymptotically free QFTs

\* Non-perturbative phys. /wo Instantons

Role of non-BPS saddles? The **Bigger** scheme:

\* Non-pert. definition of asymptotically free QFTs

\* Analytic continuation of path integrals

Lefschetz thimbles

"New" Idea ------ Resurgence Ecalle (1980), Stokes (1850)

Maths: ODE, dynamical systems, finite difference

[Sauzin, Garoufalidis, Costin]

Phys:QM, Topological Strings, QFTs susy (and non-)

[Zinn-Justin,Jentschura,Voros]
[Mariño,Schiappa,Aniceto,Vonk]
[Argyress,Cherman,Basar,Dunne,Unsal,DD]

"New" Idea -----> Resurgence Ecalle (1980), Stokes (1850)

Unification of Perturbative and Non-Perturbative Physics

"Philosophical" shift:

Semi-classical expansion

Exact answer BUT encoded in a Transseries Form "New" Idea -----> Resurgence Ecalle (1980), Stokes (1850)

Unification of Perturbative and Non-Perturbative Physics

"Philosophical" shift:

Semi-classical expansion

 $f(g^2) = \sum \sum \sum c_{n,k,p} g^{2n} \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{S}{q^2}\right) \right]^k \left( \log\frac{1}{q^2} \right)^p$ 

most general ansatz soln to a non-linear problem

Unification of Perturbative and Non-Perturbative Physics

"Philosophical" shift:

Semi-classical expansion

 $f(g^2) = \sum_{n} \sum_{k} \sum_{p} c_{n,k,p} g^{2n} \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{S}{g^2}\right) \right]^k \left( \log\frac{1}{g^2} \right)^p$ 

Perturbative Fluctuations

Unification of Perturbative and Non-Perturbative Physics

"Philosophical" shift:

Semi-classical expansion

 $f(g^2) = \sum_{n} \sum_{k} \sum_{p} c_{n,k,p} g^{2n} \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{S}{g^2}\right) \right]^k \left( \log\frac{1}{g^2} \right)^p$ 

Multi-Instantons

"New" Idea -----> Resurgence Ecalle (1980), Stokes (1850)

Unification of Perturbative and Non-Perturbative Physics

"Philosophical" shift:

Semi-classical expansion

(Resonances in Painleve)

 $f(g^2) = \sum_{n} \sum_{k} \sum_{p} c_{n,k,p} g^{2n} \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{S}{g^2}\right) \right]^k \left( \log\frac{1}{g^2} \right)^p$ 

quasi-zero-modes

#### What is Resurgence?

"..resurgent functions display at each of their singular points a behaviour closely related to their behaviour at the "origin". Loosely speaking, these functions resurrect, or surge up - in a slightly different guise, as it were - at their singularities.." -Ecalle ('80)

#### What is Resurgence?

"..resurgent functions display at each of their singular points a behaviour closely related to their behaviour at the "origin". Loosely speaking, these functions resurrect, or surge up - in a slightly different guise, as it were - at their singularities.." -Ecalle ('80)

Take Away Message: reconstruct NP physics from the perturbative series

## Back to the Basics: How do we compute physical quantities? → Unless Magic Happens (i.e. localization, integrability,..): Perturbation Theory Just by diagram counting (Dyson, Lipatov) $f(g) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n g^n \quad \longrightarrow \quad c_n \sim n!$ Idea: Insert factor $1 = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{0}^{\infty} dt t^{n} e^{-t}$

Commute Sum w/ Integral

## Borel Transform: Take $f(g) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n g^n$ Consider $B[f](t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{(n-1)!} t^{n-1}$

Germ of analytic functions at the origin

#### Obtain Analytic Continuation for f(g)

$$S[f](g) = c_0 + \int_0^\infty dt \, e^{-t/g} \, B[f](t)$$

Laplace transform back: Analytic for  $\,\Re(g)>0\,$ 

<u>Different</u> analytic continuations of the <u>SAME</u> physical observable (in pert.theory)

 $\mathcal{S}_{\theta}[f](g) = c_0 + \int_0^{e^{i\theta}\infty} dt \, e^{-t/g} B[f](t)$ 



<u>Different</u> analytic continuations of the <u>SAME</u> physical observable

$$\mathcal{S}_{\theta}[f](g) = c_0 + \int_0^{e^{i\theta}\infty} dt \, e^{-t/g} B[f](t)$$

Different continuations

Ambiguities

On a <u>Stokes</u> line

 $\mathcal{S}_+[f](g) - \mathcal{S}_-[f](g) \sim 2\pi \, i \, e^{-S/g}$ 

<u>Different</u> analytic continuations of the <u>SAME</u> physical observable

$$\mathcal{S}_{\theta}[f](g) = c_0 + \int_0^{e^{i\theta}\infty} dt \, e^{-t/g} B[f](t)$$

Different continuations

Ambiguities

On a <u>Stokes</u> line

 $S_{+}[f](g) - S_{-}[f](g) \sim 2\pi i e^{-S/g}$ 

Non-perturbative - non-analytic and Imaginary <u>Different</u> analytic continuations of the <u>SAME</u> physical observable

On a <u>Stokes</u> line

### $\mathcal{S}_+[f](g) - \mathcal{S}_-[f](g) \sim 2\pi \, i \, e^{-S/g}$



QM Examples: Ground State Energy  $E(g) = \sum c_n g^n$ (Stone-Reeves, Bender-Wu)

Anharmonic Oscillator

 $c_n \sim (-1)^{n+1} \frac{3^n \sqrt{6}}{\pi^{3/2}} \Gamma(n+1/2)$ 

Borel transform has Alternating in signs -Poles on the negative axis Borel summable

n=0

QM Examples: Ground State Energy  $E(g) = \sum c_n g^n$ (Stone-Reeves, Bender-Wu)



Anharmonic Oscillator 🗸

Cubic Oscillator



n=0

Non-Alternating in signs: Poles on the positive axis Ambiguity

 $E_{+}(g) - E_{-}(g) \sim \pm i(\dots)e^{-1/g}$ 

QM Examples: Ground State Energy  $E(g) = \sum c_n g^n$ (Stone-Reeves, Bender-Wu)

Anharmonic Oscillator

Cubic Oscillator

 $c_n \sim -(+60)^{n+1} \frac{\Gamma(n+1/2)}{2\pi^{3/2}}$ 

Non-Alternating in signs: Poles on the positive axis Ambiguity

 $E_{+}(g) - E_{-}(g) \sim \pm i(\dots)e^{-1/g}$ 

Quantum Tunnelling

 $\infty$ 

n=0

QM Examples: Ground State Energy  $E(g) = \sum c_n g^n$ (Stone-Reeves, Bender-Wu)



Anharmonic Oscillator

→ Cubic Oscillator

Double-well  $c_n \sim (+3)^n n!$ 

<u>Non-Alternating in signs: Poles on the positive axis</u> Ambiguity ???

 $\infty$ 

n=0

QM Examples: Ground State Energy  $E(g) = \sum c_n g^n$ 

→ Double-well  $c_n \sim (+3)^n n!$ 

Poles on the positive axis Ambiguity

$$E_{+}(g) - E_{-}(g) \sim \pm i(\dots) e^{-2S_{inst}/g}$$

Instanton/anti-Instanton Events do contribute! - Perturbation theory knows about them!

 $\infty$ 

 $n \equiv 0$ 

Bogomolny,Zinn-Justin argument

QFT: \*SU(N) YM on  $\mathbb{R}^4$ IR renormalons problem: \*  $\mathbb{CP}^N$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ \*PCM on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ 

Planar diagrams

non-alternating factorial growth



(Beneke)

Leading ambiguity

 $\pm i e^{-2S_{inst}/\beta_0}$ 

#### QFT: \*SU(N) YM on $\mathbb{R}^4$ IR renormalons problem: \* $\mathbb{CP}^N$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$ \*PCM on $\mathbb{R}^2$

#### UV-Renormalons





#### **IR-Renormalons**

Systematic IR renormalons: 2d Models w/ Exact solutions: Integrability Large-N  $\backslash$ Expand in small coupling  $c_n \sim n! a^{-n}$ a > 0 $a = O(N^0)$ 

#### Systematic IR renormalons:

## 2d Models w/ Exact solutions:

#### PCM

Fateev,Kazakov,Wiegmann O(N) @ Large N OPE expansion David, NSVZ, Beneke O(N) in the resurgent context

Basar,Cherman, Dunne,DD,Unsal (in progress) Principal Chiral Model: Cherman, DD, Dunne, Unsal for  $\mathbb{CP}^N$  see Argyress, Dunne, Unsal

 $S = \frac{1}{2g^2} \int_M d^2 x \operatorname{Tr} \partial_\mu U \partial^\mu U^\dagger \qquad U(x) \in SU(N)$ 

★ Asymptotically Free — no WZW

\* Matrix Large-N model

\* Confining/Deconfining "Phase" transition

\* can be made SUSY

\* IR Renormalons → no Instantons

 $\pi_2(SU(N)) = 0$ 

Saddle Points: Unitons ------ Full Characterization of soln. to PCM eom Uhlenbeck  $\mathbb{CP}^{N-1} \subset SU(N)$  geodesic embedding  $U_{uni} = e^{i\pi/N} (1_N - 2P) \qquad \text{w/ Projector } P_{ij} = \frac{v_i v_j^{\dagger}}{v_i^{\dagger} \cdot v_j^{\dagger}}$  $v_i$  embedding of  $\mathbb{CP}^N$  lumps  $S_{uni} = 8\pi \times \frac{N}{\lambda}$ 150 100  $L_E 50$ (and integers multiples) 0.25 Genuine <u>SADDLES</u>!

Note: NON-BPS obj. w/ quantized actions!

#### Compactification w/ a twist:

w/ particular twisted BC  $U(t, x + L) = e^{iH_L}U(t, x)e^{iH_R}$ Volume Independence (Armoni-Shifman-Veneziano,Kovtun-Unsal,Yaffe)

on small S<sup>1</sup> Unitons fractionalize into N fundamental objects:

#### Fractons:







on small  $S^1$  we can use effective QM to study vacuum energy or partition function

#### Fractons:

 $S_f = \frac{S_{uni}}{N}$ 

take SU(2) in Hopf coords  $U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta e^{i\phi_1} & i \sin \theta e^{i\phi_2} \\ i \sin \theta e^{-i\phi_2} & \cos \theta e^{-i\phi_1} \end{pmatrix}$ 

 $\theta(t;t_0) = 2arcCot \left[e^{-\xi(t-t_0)}\right]$  $\bar{\theta}(t;t_0) = \pi - 2arcCot \left[e^{-\xi(t-t_0)}\right]$ 



Correct action to give semiclassical realization of IR renormalons

 $H = \frac{g^2}{4L}P_{\theta}^2 + \frac{L\xi^2}{g^2}\sin^2\theta + (\phi_1, \phi_2 \text{ terms})$ 

#### Fractons:



Correct action to give semiclassical realization of IR renormalons

take SU(2) in
Hopf coords

 $U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta \, e^{i\phi_1} \\ i\sin\theta \, e^{-i\phi_2} \end{pmatrix}$ 



N-1 simple roots of SU(N)

SU(N): N-types of Fractons

KK-Fracton -> affine root

Same as KK monopoles in compactified YM w/ non-trivial holonomies Lee,Yi and Kraal,van Baal

#### Fractons:



Correct action to give semiclassical realization of IR renormalons

take SU(2) in
Hopf coords

 $U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \, e^{i\phi_1} \\ i \sin \theta \, e^{-i\phi_2} \end{pmatrix}$ 



#### SU(N): N-types of Fractons

As in QM, the divergence of perturbation theory tells us that FFbar events seem to play a role!

#### Fracton/Anti-Fractons

Centre of mass  $(t_1+t_2)/2$ Exact zero-mode

Relative Separation  $au = (t_1 - t_2)/2$ Quasi zero-mode Subtle!



 $I_{\mathcal{F}\bar{\mathcal{F}}} \sim e^{-2S_F} \int_0^\infty d\tau \tau e^{-\left(-1 \times \frac{32\pi}{\lambda} e^{-\tau} + \tau\right)} \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} \text{Support from} \text{small separations!}$ 

FFbar attract each others

#### Lefschetz Thimbles: a.k.a. Morse Theory (Pham, Witten)

Functional steepest descent contours



 $\int \mathcal{D}\phi \, e^{-\frac{1}{g^2}S[\phi]} = \sum_{\substack{\text{thimbles } i}} N_i \, e^{-\frac{i}{g^2}Im \, S[\phi_{crit}^{(i)}]} \int_{\mathcal{J}_i} \mathcal{D}\phi \, e^{-\frac{1}{g^2}Re \, S[\phi]}$ thimbles i

#### Lefschetz Thimbles: a.k.a. Morse Theory (Pham, Witten)

Functional steepest descent contours



$$\int \mathcal{D}\phi \, e^{-\frac{1}{g^2}S[\phi]} = \sum_{\substack{\text{thimbles } i}} N_i \, e^{-\frac{i}{g^2}Im \, S[\phi_{crit}^{(i)}]} \int_{\mathcal{J}_i} \mathcal{D}\phi \, e^{-\frac{1}{g^2}Re \, S[\phi]}$$

Finite dim integrals Hyperasymptotics (Berry-Howls)
 Complexified CS (Witten)
 Complexified QM in phase space (Witten)
 Complexified Liouville (Harlow-Maltz-Witten)

#### Lefschetz Thimbles: a.k.a. Morse Theory (Pham, Witten)

Functional steepest descent contours



$$\int \mathcal{D}\phi \, e^{-\frac{1}{g^2}S[\phi]} = \sum_{\text{thimbles } i} N_i e^{-\frac{i}{g^2}Im \, S[\phi_{crit}^{(i)}]} \int_{\mathcal{J}_i} \mathcal{D}\phi \, e^{-\frac{1}{g^2}Re \, S[\phi]}$$

<u>Stokes phenomenon:</u> intersection numbers  $N_i$  can change with phase of parameters

<u>Resurgence:</u> asymptotic expansions about different (Berry-Howls) saddles are closely related. Natural transseries expansion **FFbar:** Critical point at infinity! as  $\lambda \to 0$  all but two directions along thimble become Gaussian,

centre of mass

relative separation

easy to handle (Morse-Bott)

tricky part
but effectively reduces the
flow to a finite dimensional
one

Only "relevant" direction in field space is the separation modulus

Ι(τ)

Bogomolny,Zinn-Justin-like Argument
 (Basar,Cherman,DD,Dunne,Unsal)

$$I_{\mathcal{F}\bar{\mathcal{F}}} \sim e^{-2S_F} \int_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathbf{X}} d\tau \tau e^{-\left(-1 \times \frac{32\pi}{\lambda} e^{-\tau} + \tau\right)}$$

Reduced Flow equations in field space give rise to correct integrations "contours"



Saddle is still at "Infinity" but contours do depend on  $\arg \lambda$ 



## Performing the integral over the correct thimble

$$[\mathcal{F}\bar{\mathcal{F}}]_{\pm} = -\left(-\log\left[\frac{32\pi}{\lambda}\right] - \gamma_E\right)e^{-16\pi/\lambda} \pm i16\pi e^{-16\pi/\lambda}$$



Performing the integral over the correct thimble

$$[\mathcal{F}\bar{\mathcal{F}}]_{\pm} = -\left(-\log\left[\frac{32\pi}{\lambda}\right] - \gamma_E\right)e^{-16\pi/\lambda} \pm i16\pi e^{-16\pi/\lambda}$$

Same multi-instantons factor
from uniform WKB (Dunne-Unsal)



## Performing the integral over the correct thimble

Note that the correct thimble lives now in the complexification of the fields space but it still has real dimension = 1

#### Resumming Pert and Non-Pert:

Leading renormalon ambiguity of perturbation theory cancels against the contour jumps in the thimble decomposition

$$\operatorname{Im}\left[\mathcal{S}_{\pm}E_{P}(g^{2})+[\mathcal{F}\bar{\mathcal{F}}]_{\pm}\right]=0 \quad \text{up to } O(e^{-4S_{F}})$$

Path integral is not just perturbation theory! It is only when we sum over the correct saddles decomposition that we find unique and unambiguous answer!

Borel-Ecalle resummation of a transseries



#### Conclusions & Outlook:

- Recovering non-perturbative info from the perturbative expansion (and vice-versa)
- \* Reinterpret exact quantities as transseries Cusp anomalous dimension (Basso-Korchemsky-Kotanski)
- \* Why phys. observables are resurgent functions? Lefschetz Thimbles and analytic continuation of path integrals
- \* What if we have only a finite number of terms?

Padé Approximants

## Thanks for Listening!