# dynamics of symmetry breaking

with Joe Bhaseen, Ben Simons, Jerome Gauntlett and Toby Wiseman

Julian Sonner Paul Fest @ Cambridge 3 July 2012

# domain walls, janus solutions & cosmologies

• Paul and I worked on a number of projects which were unified by the use of dynamical systems analysis and fake supersymmetry

[J.S. & Paul. K. Townsend: Dilatonic Domain Walls and Dynamical Systems CQG 23 (2006) J.S & Paul. K. Townsend: Recurrent acceleration in dilaton-axion cosmology PRD 74 (2006) J.S. & Paul K. Townsend: Axion-dilaton Domain Walls and Fake Supergravity CQG 24 (2007]

• Like many other speakers have already commented, I was impressed by and learned from Paul's artist's (pad) approach to calculation.

# domain walls, janus solutions & cosmologies

• Paul and I worked on a number of projects which were unified by the use of dynamical systems analysis and fake supersymmetry

[J.S. & Paul. K. Townsend: Dilatonic Domain Walls and Dynamical Systems CQG 23 (2006) J.S & Paul. K. Townsend: Recurrent acceleration in dilaton-axion cosmology PRD 74 (2006) J.S. & Paul K. Townsend: Axion-dilaton Domain Walls and Fake Supergravity CQG 24 (2007]

- Like many other speakers have already commented, I was impressed by and learned from Paul's artist's (pad) approach to calculation.
- What I never told Paul was that I was even more impressed by his powers of observation. Towards the end of my first year, he commented to me:

# domain walls, janus solutions & cosmologies

 Paul and I worked on a number of projects which were unified by the use of dynamical systems analysis and fake supersymmetry

[J.S. & Paul. K. Townsend: Dilatonic Domain Walls and Dynamical Systems CQG 23 (2006) J.S & Paul. K. Townsend: Recurrent acceleration in dilaton-axion cosmology PRD 74 (2006) J.S. & Paul K. Townsend: Axion-dilaton Domain Walls and Fake Supergravity CQG 24 (2007]

- Like many other speakers have already commented, I was impressed by and learned from Paul's artist's (pad) approach to calculation.
- What I never told Paul was that I was even more impressed by his powers of observation. Towards the end of my first year, he commented to me:

# If you're not having fun doing what you're doing, you're doing something wrong

# heeding Paul's advice

Chris Pedder, Julian Sonner and David Tong

Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, University of Cambridge, UK

# heeding Paul's advice

Chris Pedder, Julian Sonner and David Tong

Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, University of Cambridge, UK

#### 1. Introduction

4 Feb 2008

Many years ago, Kugo and Townsend [1] pointed out a relationship between supersymmetric field theories with N = 2, 4, 8 and 16 supercharges and the four normed division algebras  $\mathbb{K} \cong \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{H}$  and  $\mathbb{O}$ . The key observation is algebraic. Theories

j

# heeding Paul's advice

February 4, 2008

#### The Berry Phase of D0-Branes

Chris Pedder, Julian Sonner and David Tong

Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, University of Cambridge, UK

#### 1. Introduction

Many years ago, Kugo and Townsend [1] pointed out a relationship between supersymmetric field theories with N = 2, 4, 8 and 16 supercharges and the four normed division algebras  $\mathbb{K} \cong \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{H}$  and  $\mathbb{O}$ . The key observation is algebraic. Theories

# Paul, the cook



# Paul, the cook

aubergine feta penne

serves: one PhD supervisor and student

ingredients:

1 fresh aubergine 250g fresh tomatoes 250g feta cheese (in brine)

Wednesday, 25 July 2012

# happy birthday, paul!

• Many thanks for guiding my PhD and pointing me in the right direction for my subsequent research, Paul.

# Happy 60th birthday, Paul !

# happy birthday, paul!

• Many thanks for guiding my PhD and pointing me in the right direction for my subsequent research, Paul.

# Happy 60th birthday, Paul !

# weakly-coupled, type IIA description

[Hull & Townsend 1995]

# strongly correlated matter at finite density

- strong correlations are hard to deal with. Lots of interest in strongly correlated systems: QCD, exotic materials (cuprates), SI transition
- Very hard to make any progress at all. Some control at **quantum-critical** points which have dynamical scaling

$$\xi \sim (g - g_c)^{-\nu}$$
$$\Delta \sim (g - g_c)^{z\nu}$$

- Two particularly hard areas
  - 1) Fermionic Quantum Critical Points (at finite density: sign problem) [Leiden group & MIT group - top down: J.S. (with Gauntlett and Waldram)]
  - 2) Out-of Equilibrium phenomena (few if any general principles known)

[Chesler & Yaffe, Mateos et al.;, ... J.S. & Andrew Green]

# strong correlations in condensed matter

- We will endeavour to model QCPs using holography. **Scaling** symmetries encoded as **isometries** of `dual' spacetime
- Continuum theory near QCP is encoded in dynamics of dual string theory



#### 1. introduction to ads/cmt

"quantum criticality encoded in dual spacetime"

#### 2. model and background

"holographic superconductors, time-dependent BCS"

3. a holographic setup for dynamical symmetry breaking "Numerical relativity, structure of quasi-normal modes"

#### 4. conclusions and outlook

"generic dynamical consequences of symmetry breaking"

#### 1. introduction to ads/cmt

"quantum criticality encoded in dual spacetime"

#### 2. model and background

"holographic superconductors, time-dependent BCS"

- 3. a holographic setup for dynamical symmetry breaking "Numerical relativity, structure of quasi-normal modes"
- 4. conclusions and outlook

"generic dynamical consequences of symmetry breaking"

# a holographic model of superconductivity

- Superconductivity is a manifestation of symmetry breaking. New results here in a dynamical context are **very general** and extend beyond holography
- Specific example: minimal model of holographic superconductor

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ R + \frac{6}{\ell^2} - \frac{1}{4} F^2 - |D\psi|^2 - m^2 |\psi|^2 \right]$$

- Complex scalar Ψ is dual to symmetry-breaking order parameter
   1) RN: un-condensed normal phase, new hairy BH: s.c. phase
   [Gubser; Hartnoll, Herzog, Horowitz]
  - 2) leading near-boundary term of  $\Psi$  = source; subleading term = vev
  - 3) M-theory superconducts!

[Gaunlett, Sonner, Wiseman]

# an old dog and a new trick

 BCS theory is the celebrated microscopic explanation of conventional superconductivity. An old story!

BCS hamiltonian: 
$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{p,\sigma} \epsilon_p a_{p,\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{p\sigma} - \frac{\lambda(t)}{2} \sum_{q,p} a_{p\uparrow}^{\dagger} a_{-p\downarrow}^{\dagger} a_{-q\downarrow} a_{q\uparrow}$$

BCS groundstate: 
$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \prod_{p} \left[ u_{p}(t) + v_{p}(t)a_{p\uparrow}^{\dagger}a_{-p\downarrow}^{\dagger} \right] |0\rangle$$

pairing gap function:

$$\Delta(t) = \lambda \sum_{p} u_{p}(t) v_{p}^{*}(t)$$

 Recent (2004 - ) new developments: the resulting (non-adiabatic) dynamics can be mapped onto a non-linear integrable system! [Barankov, Levitov & Spivak;

Yuzbashyan, Altshuler, Kuznetsov & Enolskii]

# an old dog and a new trick

• BCS theory is the celebrated microscopic explanation of conventional superconductivity. An old story!

BCS hamiltonian: 
$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{p,\sigma} \epsilon_p a_{p,\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{p\sigma} - \frac{\lambda(t)}{2} \sum_{q,p} a_{p\uparrow}^{\dagger} a_{-p\downarrow}^{\dagger} a_{-q\downarrow} a_{q\uparrow}$$
  
time-dependent BCS pairing problem  
BCS groundstate: 
$$|\Psi(t)\rangle \neq \prod_p \left[ u_p(t) + v_p(t) a_{p\uparrow}^{\dagger} a_{-p\downarrow}^{\dagger} \right] |0\rangle$$
  
pairing gap function: 
$$\Delta(t) = \lambda \sum_p u_p(t) v_p^*(t)$$

 Recent (2004 - ) new developments: the resulting (non-adiabatic) dynamics can be mapped onto a non-linear integrable system! [Barankov, Levitov & Spivak;

Yuzbashyan, Altshuler, Kuznetsov & Enolskii]

# an old dog and a new trick

 BCS theory is the celebrated microscopic explanation of conventional superconductivity. An old story!

BCS hamiltonian: 
$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{p,\sigma} \epsilon_p a_{p,\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{p\sigma} - \frac{\lambda(t)}{2} \sum_{q,p} a_{p\uparrow}^{\dagger} a_{-p\downarrow}^{\dagger} a_{-q\downarrow} a_{q\uparrow}$$

BCS groundstate: 
$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \prod_{p} \left[ u_{p}(t) + v_{p}(t)a_{p\uparrow}^{\dagger}a_{-p\downarrow}^{\dagger} \right] |0\rangle$$

pairing gap function:

$$\Delta(t) = \lambda \sum_{p} u_{p}(t) v_{p}^{*}(t)$$

 Recent (2004 - ) new developments: the resulting (non-adiabatic) dynamics can be mapped onto a non-linear integrable system! [Barankov, Levitov & Spivak;

Yuzbashyan, Altshuler, Kuznetsov & Enolskii]

# BL phase diagram

• The dynamics of this quench give rise to three distinct regimes



our achievement is twofold: 1) we exhibit analogous phenomena in a strongly-coupled system, with thermal and collisional damping
 2) we identify a new and completely generic mechanism within dynamical symmetry breaking leading to this behaviour

#### 1. introduction to ads/cmt

"quantum criticality encoded in dual spacetime"

#### 2. model and background

"holographic superconductors, time-dependent BCS"

3. a holographic setup for dynamical symmetry breaking "Numerical relativity, structure of quasi-normal modes"

#### 4. conclusions and outlook

"generic dynamical consequences of symmetry breaking"

# ads/cmt dynamics: numerical relativity

 We wish to model a quench holographically: prescribe a sudden change in some physical parameter of the theory on the boundary and then evolve the non-linear PDEs numerically to 'fill in the bulk'



### more details of the setup [related work: Murata, Kinoshita & Tanahashi, 2010]

• for simplicity: take **homogeneous** quench

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{z^{2}} \left( -T(v,z) \, dv^{2} - 2 \, dv dz + S(v,z)^{2} dx_{i}^{2} \right)$$

• then the complex scalar can be expressed as

$$\psi(v,z) = z\left(\psi_1(v) + \hat{\psi}(v,z)\right)$$

- and  $\Psi_1(t)$  is the **source** at the boundary. Use a spike in the source to quench the system (can think of different systems and different quenches)
- solve system of (1+1) non-linear PDE by a pseudo-spectral method in spatial directions and 'Crank-Nicholson' finite differences in time direction

# the resulting dynamics I

• The dynamics of this quench give rise to **three** distinct regimes



# the resulting dynamics II

• we can dress the results up as a dynamical phase diagram



• BL-type analysis extended to include strong correlations, thermal damping,... we find similar behaviour: great! but why? and how?

# clues from quasinormal mode structure

• let us study the structure of quasi-normal modes about the final state

$$\psi(v, z) = \psi_0(z) + \delta \psi(v, z)$$
  

$$g_{ab}(v, z) = g_{ab,0}(z) + \delta g_{ab}(v, z)$$
  

$$A(v, z) = A_0(z) + \delta A(v, z)$$

 deal with diffeo and U(1) gauge symmetry by defining gauge-invariant variables (c.f. cosmological perturbation theory)

$$\delta \Phi_I(v,z) = e^{-i\omega v} \Phi_I^{\omega}(z)$$

 The analytic structure of the Φ tells us about a) late-time behaviour of observables b) poles in two-point functions of dual operators

# quasi-normal mode structure

$$|\langle \mathcal{O}(t) \rangle| = |\langle \mathcal{O}_f \rangle + c e^{-i\omega_L t}$$

- Off-axis poles lead to oscillations in broken phase
- Dynamics very well approximated by leading QNM
- Very good quantitative agreement with non-linear PDE code



# quasi-normal pole dance

# quasi-normal pole dance



#### 1. introduction to ads/cmt

"quantum criticality encoded in dual spacetime"

#### 2. model and background

"holographic superconductors, time-dependent BCS"

3. a holographic setup for dynamical symmetry breaking "Numerical relativity, structure of quasi-normal modes"

4. conclusions and outlook "generic dynamical consequences of symmetry breaking"

# dynamics of symmetry breaking

• T-reversal invariance means collective mode spectrum (manifested in our example as QNMs) must be **symmetric** under

$$\omega \to -\omega^*$$

- Poles in spectral function (and other observables) come in two varieties:
   a) pairs of poles off imaginary axis
   b) single poles on imaginary axis
- 1. S.c. phase transition: coalescence of two poles at TC at  $\omega = 0$
- 2. Broken U(1)  $\Rightarrow$  Single pole (i.e. mode) at  $\omega = 0$  (Goldstone mode)
- 3. At T=0 no source of dissipation  $\Rightarrow$  leading poles are oscillatory in nature

1 + 2 + 3 = BL dynamical phase diagram!

## conclusions

- very interesting far-from-equilibrium problems are accessible at the intersection of numerical relativity and AdS/CFT.
   speculative comment: exact non-linear PDE methods may well be brought to bear on non-equilibrium field theory!
- simulated a quantum quench in ads/cmt: persistence of BL phenomena to strong coupling and in systems that thermalise makes it more likely to be observed in actual experiments
- in fact: our analysis shows that BL-type behaviour is completely **generic** for dynamical breaking of a local symmetry. This makes the **experimental point** even more emphatically.
- Are there different contexts? Higgs mechanism, early universe, you name it...