Beam-Driven Nanoflares and associated flows

Jeffrey Reep 22nd July 2015

Motivation from IRIS observations



Let's examine the physics!

General flow properties in loops

In general, no obvious flows at 10⁴ K

Redshifts of 10-15 km sec⁻¹ at 10⁵ K

Temperature at which flows switch from blue to red: log T ~ 5.4-5.7 (0.3-0.5 MK) As high as 1 MK in some ARs



Note: redshifts on top in these plots

Dadashi et al. 2011

Beam-driven Nanoflare Model



Electrons precipitate, depositing energy in chromosphere Drives fast-moving evaporation upwards, slow-moving condensation downwards

HYDRAD beam heating

HYDRAD solves the hydrodynamic equations for an isolated magnetic flux tube (Bradshaw & Cargill 2013)

- Adaptive mesh refinement > properly resolved TR
- Full non-equilibrium ionization
- Radiation treated with CHIANTI, and soon KAPPA

Adapted for electron beam heating studies (Reep et al. 2013)

$$H_{sharp} = \frac{1}{2} K n_H \gamma (\delta - 2) \frac{F_0}{E_c^2} \left(\frac{N}{N_c}\right)^{-\delta/2} B\left(\frac{\delta}{2}, \frac{2}{4+\beta}\right)$$

(and soon Alfven wave heating...)



Nanoflare simulation

300 seconds of electron beam heating, with cut-off of 3 keV

~ 3 x 10^{24} erg total energy

Temperature vs position as a function of time

Color-coded by velocity at a given point (blue for up-flows, red for down)



Pressure vs position as a function of time

Flows stem from point where pressure peaks due to heating (TR)

Temperature at which flows switch from blue- to red-shifts thus at TR \sim few x 10⁵ K

Forward Model EIS and IRIS lines



Crossing temperature at a few x 10⁵ K

Fe and Si lines ranging from log T ~ 4.5 to 7.2

Full non-equilibrium ionization



10 keV Cut-off



Pressure spike now in chromosphere, flows emanate from region with $T < 10^5$ K

Forward Modeling 10 keV



The crossing temperature is an observable which tells us the depth of energy deposition

Change in the **depth of energy deposition** causes cooler lines to now be blue-shifted



(Sound waves at late times)

Model Crossing Temperature



Measure the x-intercept of linear fits to the simulated spectra Ignore time periods where the fitted line has a slope ~ 0

Potential Beam Diagnostics

- Wish to determine the parameters of the electron beam
 - Blue-shifted Si IV and other TR lines establish low-energy cut-off \geq 10 keV (Testa et al. 2014)
 - Depth of energy deposition proportional to electron energy squared, measurable by crossing temperature (if below TR)
- Hard X-ray photon spectra can be inverted to give electron spectra, but current instruments not sensitive enough to use with nanoflares
- In the absence of routine hard X-ray observations of nanoflares, can we determine other parameters?

Energy Flux Diagnostic

• Suggested by George Fisher, albeit regarding flares, in 1989:

As a final comment on Figure 7, we note that for thermal models of flare heating or thick-target heating below the explosive evaporation threshold, the peak downflow speed can be expressed as

$$v_{\text{peak}} \simeq 0.4 \left(\frac{F_{\text{evap}}}{mn_{\text{ch}}}\right)^{1/3},$$
 (34a)

while for explosive evaporation the downflow speed is given by

$$v_{\text{peak}} \simeq 0.6 \left(\frac{F_{\text{evap}}}{mn_{\text{ch}}}\right)^{1/3}$$
. (34b)

If both the condensation speed and TR density/pressure can be measured, then a lower limit on energy flux is determined.

Explosive Evaporation in Nanoflares?



 $\log F \propto \log E_*^2$

Reep, Bradshaw, & Alexander 2015

Conclusions

- Flows emanate from region of over-pressure via standard chromospheric evaporation (Fisher et al. 1985)
 - Condensations always present, perhaps hard to detect
 - Depth of energy deposition is the key! (*e.g.* Reep et al. 2015)
- Crossing temperatures for energetic electrons often $< 10^5$ K
 - Diagnostic of depth of energy deposition
 - Inconsistent with observations, so such events not the norm
- Condensation speeds can perhaps give information about energy flux of beams