

## Gauge/Gravity Examples Sheet #2

- \* 1. A 1+1 dimensional Majorana spinor has two real-valued components,  $\psi_L$  and  $\psi_R$ , and has the following action in Minkowski spacetime:

$$\int d^2x \left( \frac{1}{2} \psi_L \partial_v \psi_L + \frac{1}{2} \psi_R \partial_u \psi_R + m \psi_L \psi_R \right) \quad (1)$$

where  $\partial_v = \partial_t - \partial_z$  and  $\partial_u = \partial_t + \partial_z$ . For the special case  $m = 0$ , this action is conformally invariant (and breaks up into two noninteracting Majorana-Weyl spinors).

- (a) How should you promote  $m$  to be position dependent in order to describe the behavior of a Majorana fermion in a Poincare-AdS spacetime?  
[Note: properly coupling spinors to curved spacetime is somewhat tricky and requires e.g. the vielbein formalism, but the question has been worded in a way where you don't need to worry about this.]
- (b) Identify the two possible boundary conditions at the CFT boundary  $z = 0$ , and derive the following relationship between the mass  $m$  and the dimension  $\Delta$  of the corresponding boundary operator:

$$m = \left| \Delta - \frac{1}{2} \right|, \quad (2)$$

where  $\Delta \geq 0$  for a spinor operator in a unitary theory.

[For purposes of solving this problem, you do not need to determine whether the concept of a  $d = 1$  CFT actually makes sense. But recently there has been a lot of interest in a statistical model in  $d = 1$  called the SYK model, which enjoys *approximate* conformal invariance and seems to be holographically dual to a geometry that is approximately  $\text{AdS}_2$ .]

2. Suppose you have a free quantum Maxwell field in  $\text{AdS}_6$  spacetime. (Assume the boundary conditions are chosen so that the theory is unitary and conformal.) What is the energy level and degeneracy of the lowest energy (gauge-invariant) single photon state, i.e. the first excited state, as measured by a clock in the centre of AdS?

Can you write down a formula for which energies have at least one state? What does this particular spectrum imply about the time evolution of the system?

[Hint: don't try to solve the wave equation in AdS, instead find a way to use the CFT dual.]

- \* 3. General relativity in 3 spacetime dimensions has the property that there is no Weyl curvature. Hence the curvature of a pure vacuum solution is fixed by the Einstein equation:

$$R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}R = g_{ab}\Lambda \quad (3)$$

For  $\Lambda < 0$ , this implies that in the neighborhood of any point, a purely vacuum solution always looks exactly like AdS spacetime. (A similar statement holds for  $\Lambda = 0$  or  $\Lambda > 0$ , but replacing “AdS” with Minkowski or dS respectively.) However, the solution may have a different topology from AdS.

- (a) Suppose you have a CFT in 1+1 dimensions, which in a large  $N$  limit is holographically dual to classical GR (at low energies). Calculate the thermal partition function  $Z(\beta, L)$  of the CFT on a cylinder of circumference  $L$  and with inverse temperature  $\beta$ . [Hint: do a Wick rotation to a torus of lengths  $L$  and  $\beta$ .]

In solving this problem you may determine the action of empty AdS by reference to the Casimir energy of a CFT on a cylinder:

$$E = -\frac{2\pi c}{12L} \quad (4)$$

where  $c$  is the central charge of the CFT, which is related to the bulk Newton’s constant by  $c = 3R_{\text{AdS}}/2G$ .

- (b) Verify that your proposed formula obeys the Cardy duality formula which comes from exchanging the roles played by space and imaginary time:

$$Z(\beta, L) = Z(L, \beta) \quad (5)$$

- (c) Identify the temperature  $T_c$  at which the CFT has a first order phase transition. Plot the (leading order) entropy  $S(T)$  across the phase transition. Check that at high temperatures the entropy scales as  $S \propto LT$ , similar to a Boltzmann gas in 1+1 dimensions.
- (d) The solution above the critical temperature should look like a Euclidean black hole metric. Analytically continue your metric back to Lorentzian signature and verify the existence of a horizon. (This is the metric of a *BTZ black hole* in 2+1 dimensions.) Check that  $S(T)$  is proportional to the area of the black hole horizon.

#### 4. Holographic entanglement entropy in 1+1 dimensions:

- (a) Using the same CFT as in the previous problem, but now in the 1+1 Minkowski vacuum, calculate the holographic entanglement entropy of an interval of length  $L$  on the  $t = 0$  Cauchy slice. [Hint: how should the holographic entropy surface behave under an inversion transformation?]

Calculate the holographic entanglement entropy of a region  $R$  consisting of the union of two intervals defined by the spacetime endpoints  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2)$  and  $(\mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_4)$ , where the two intervals are each required to be spacelike and also spacelike separated to each other, but the 4 points are *not* required all lie on the  $t = 0$  slice. Verify that the entropy you calculate diverges in the limit that the outer endpoints of the intervals approach one another, or in the limit that one interval becomes tiny.

- (b) Some 1+1 dimensional CFTs are “chiral”, meaning that the Hilbert space decomposes into a left-moving sector and a right-moving sector:

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_L \otimes \mathcal{H}_R, \tag{6}$$

where excitations in the left (right) sector move left (right) at the speed of light, and the two sectors don’t interact with each other. (A simple example would be a free Dirac fermion, which decomposes into a left moving Weyl fermion and a right moving Weyl fermion, but there are more elaborate examples such as the so-called “Monster CFT”.)

Based on your results above, show that the holographic CFT is *not* chiral.

Please email me at [aw846@cam.ac.uk](mailto:aw846@cam.ac.uk) if you find any errors.

If you wish to sign up for the online examples class, please email Gonalo Araujo-Regado ([ga365@cam.ac.uk](mailto:ga365@cam.ac.uk)) as soon as possible. Problems with a star (\*) will be marked.