

Mathematical Tripos Part II: Michaelmas Term 2015

Numerical Analysis – Lecture 6

**Definition 2.11 (Normal matrices)** We say that a matrix  $A$  is *normal* if  $A = QD\bar{Q}^T$ , where  $D$  is a (complex) diagonal matrix and  $Q$  is a unitary matrix (such that  $Q\bar{Q}^T = I$ ). In other words, a matrix is normal if it has a complete set of orthonormal eigenvectors.

Examples of the real normal matrices, besides the familiar symmetric matrices ( $A = A^T$ ), include also the matrices which are skew-symmetric ( $A = -A^T$ ), or more generally the matrices with skew-symmetric off-diagonal part.

**Proposition 2.12** *If  $A$  is normal, then  $\|A\| = \rho(A)$ .*

**Proof.** For any complex matrix  $B$ , we have  $\|B\| = \sup \frac{\|B\mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|}$ , and if  $\mathbf{w}$  be an eigenvector of  $B$ , then  $\|B\mathbf{w}\| = |\lambda|\|\mathbf{w}\|$ . So, we deduce that

$$\rho(B) \leq \|B\| \quad \forall B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \quad (\text{and for every vector norm } \|\cdot\|).$$

Next, let  $A$  be normal and recall that unitary matrices are *isometries* with respect to the Euclidean norm, i.e.,  $\|Q\mathbf{v}\| = \|\mathbf{v}\|$  for any  $\mathbf{v}$ . Therefore (for the Euclidean norm)

$$\|A\mathbf{v}\| = \|QD\bar{Q}^T\mathbf{v}\| = \|D\bar{Q}^T\mathbf{v}\| = \|D\mathbf{u}\|,$$

where  $\mathbf{u} = \bar{Q}^T\mathbf{v}$ , hence  $\|\mathbf{u}\| = \|\mathbf{v}\|$ . Finally  $D$  is diagonal and similar to  $A$ , therefore  $\text{diag } D = \sigma(A)$  and  $\|D\| = \rho(A)$ , hence

$$\|A\mathbf{v}\| = \|D\mathbf{u}\| \leq \|D\|\|\mathbf{u}\| = \rho(A)\|\mathbf{v}\|.$$

Thus  $\|A\| \leq \rho(A)$ , hence  $\|A\| = \rho(A)$ . □

**Remark 2.13** More generally, one can prove that  $\|A\| = [\rho(A\bar{A}^T)]^{1/2}$ , and the previous result can be deduced from that formula.

**Example 2.14 (Crank–Nicolson method for diffusion equation)** Let

$$u_m^{n+1} - u_m^n = \frac{1}{2}\mu(u_{m-1}^{n+1} - 2u_m^{n+1} + u_{m+1}^{n+1}) + \frac{1}{2}\mu(u_{m-1}^n - 2u_m^n + u_{m+1}^n), \quad m = 1 \dots M.$$

Then  $\mathbf{u}^{n+1} = B^{-1}C\mathbf{u}^n$ , where the matrices  $B$  and  $C$  are Toeplitz symmetric tridiagonal (TST),

$$\mathbf{u}^{n+1} = B^{-1}C\mathbf{u}^n, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \mu & -\frac{1}{2}\mu & & & \\ -\frac{1}{2}\mu & 1 + \mu & \ddots & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & -\frac{1}{2}\mu & \\ & & & -\frac{1}{2}\mu & 1 + \mu \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \mu & \frac{1}{2}\mu & & & \\ \frac{1}{2}\mu & 1 - \mu & \ddots & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \frac{1}{2}\mu & \\ & & & \frac{1}{2}\mu & 1 - \mu \end{bmatrix}.$$

All  $M \times M$  TST matrices share the same eigenvectors, hence so does  $B^{-1}C$ . Moreover, these eigenvectors are orthogonal. Therefore, also  $A = B^{-1}C$  is normal and its eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_k(A) = \frac{\lambda_k(C)}{\lambda_k(B)} = \frac{(1 - \mu) + \mu \cos \pi kh}{(1 + \mu) - \mu \cos \pi kh} = \frac{1 - 2\mu \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\pi kh}{1 + 2\mu \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\pi kh} \Rightarrow |\lambda_k(A)| \leq 1, \quad k = 1 \dots M.$$

Consequently Crank–Nicolson is stable for all  $\mu > 0$ . [Note: Similarly to the situation with stiff ODEs, this *does not* mean that  $k = \Delta t$  may be arbitrarily large, but that the only valid consideration in the choice of  $k = \Delta t$  vs  $h = \Delta x$  is accuracy.]

**Matlab demo:** Download the Matlab GUI for *Stability of 1D PDEs* from [http://www.maths.cam.ac.uk/undergrad/course/na/ii/pde\\_stability/pde\\_stability.php](http://www.maths.cam.ac.uk/undergrad/course/na/ii/pde_stability/pde_stability.php) and solve the diffusion equation in the interval  $[0, 1]$  with the Euler method and with Crank–Nicolson. See the effect of unconditional stability!

**Example 2.15 (Convergence of the Crank-Nicolson method for diffusion equation)** It is not difficult to verify that the local error of the Crank-Nicolson scheme is  $\eta_m^n = \mathcal{O}(k^3 + kh^2)$ , where  $\mathcal{O}(k^3)$  is inherited from the trapezoidal rule (compared to  $\mathcal{O}(k^2)$  for the Euler method). Hence, for the error vectors  $e^n$  we have

$$Be^{n+1} = Ce^n + \eta^n \Rightarrow \|e^{n+1}\| \leq \|B^{-1}C\| \cdot \|e^n\| + \|B^{-1}\| \cdot \|\eta^n\|$$

We have just proved that  $\|B^{-1}C\| \leq 1$ , and we also have  $\|B^{-1}\| \leq 1$ , because all the eigenvalues of  $B$  are greater than 1. Therefore,  $\|e^{n+1}\| \leq \|e^n\| + \|\eta^n\|$ , and

$$\|e^n\| \leq \|e^0\| + n\|\eta\| = n\|\eta\| \leq \frac{cT}{k}(k^3 + kh^2) = cT(k^2 + h^2).$$

Thus, taking  $k = \alpha h$  will result in  $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$  error of approximation which is independent of the Courant number  $\mu = k/h^2$ .

**Example 2.16 (Crank-Nicolson for advection equation)** Let

$$u_m^{n+1} - u_m^n = \frac{1}{4}\mu(u_{m+1}^{n+1} - u_{m-1}^{n+1}) + \frac{1}{4}\mu(u_{m+1}^n - u_{m-1}^n), \quad m = 1 \dots M.$$

(This is the trapezoidal rule applied to the semidiscretization of advection equation  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ ). In this case,  $u^{n+1} = B^{-1}Cu^n$ , where the matrices  $B$  and  $C$  are Toeplitz antisymmetric tridiagonal,

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{4}\mu & & & \\ \frac{1}{4}\mu & 1 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & -\frac{1}{4}\mu \\ & & & \frac{1}{4}\mu & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{4}\mu & & & \\ -\frac{1}{4}\mu & 1 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \frac{1}{4}\mu \\ & & & -\frac{1}{4}\mu & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Similarly to Exercise 4, the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the matrix

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta & & & \\ -\beta & \alpha & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \beta \\ & & & -\beta & \alpha \end{bmatrix},$$

are given by  $\lambda_k = \alpha + 2i\beta \cos kx$ , and  $w_k = (i^m \sin kmx)_{m=1}^M$ , where  $x = \pi h = \frac{\pi}{M+1}$ . So, all such  $S$  are normal and share the same eigenvector, hence so does  $A = B^{-1}C$ , hence  $A$  is normal and

$$\lambda_k(A) = \frac{\lambda_k(C)}{\lambda_k(B)} = \frac{1 + \frac{1}{2}i\mu \cos kx}{1 - \frac{1}{2}i\mu \cos kx} \Rightarrow |\lambda_k(A)| = 1, \quad k = 1 \dots M.$$

So, Crank-Nicolson is again stable for all  $\mu > 0$ .

**Example 2.17 (Euler for advection equation)** Finally, consider the Euler method for advection equation

$$u_m^{n+1} - u_m^n = \mu(u_{m+1}^n - u_m^n), \quad m = 1 \dots M.$$

We have  $u^{n+1} = Au^n$ , where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \mu & \mu & & & \\ & 1 - \mu & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \mu \\ & & & & 1 - \mu \end{bmatrix},$$

but  $A$  is *not* normal, and although its eigenvalues are bounded by 1 for  $\mu \leq 2$ , it is the spectral radius of  $AA^T$  that matters, and we have  $\rho(AA^T) \approx (|1 - \mu| + |\mu|)^2$ , so that the method is stable only if  $\mu \leq 1$ .