

Mathematical Tripos Part II: Michaelmas Term 2015

Numerical Analysis – Lecture 23

Theorem 5.8 Let A and S be $n \times n$ matrices, S being nonsingular. Then \mathbf{w} is an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue λ if and only if $\hat{\mathbf{w}} = S\mathbf{w}$ is an eigenvector of $\hat{A} = SAS^{-1}$ with the same eigenvalue.

Proof. $A\mathbf{w} = \lambda\mathbf{w} \iff AS^{-1}(S\mathbf{w}) = \lambda\mathbf{w} \iff (SAS^{-1})(S\mathbf{w}) = \lambda(S\mathbf{w}). \quad \square$

Definition 5.9 (Deflation) Suppose that we have found one solution of the eigenvector equation $A\mathbf{w} = \lambda\mathbf{w}$, where A is again $n \times n$. Then *deflation* is the task of constructing an $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix, B say, whose eigenvalues are the other eigenvalues of A . Specifically, we apply a similarity transformation S to A such that the first column of $\hat{A} = SAS^{-1}$ is λ times the first coordinate vector \mathbf{e}_1 , because it follows from the characteristic equation for eigenvalues and from Theorem 5.8 that we can let B be the bottom right $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ submatrix of $\hat{A} = SAS^{-1}$.

We write the condition on S as $(SAS^{-1})\mathbf{e}_1 = \lambda\mathbf{e}_1$. Then the last equation in the proof of Theorem 5.8 shows that it is sufficient if S has the property $S\mathbf{w} = c\mathbf{e}_1$, where c is any nonzero scalar.

Technique 5.10 (Algorithm for deflation for symmetric A) Suppose that A is symmetric and $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ are given so that $A\mathbf{w} = \lambda\mathbf{w}$. We seek a nonsingular matrix S such that $S\mathbf{w} = c\mathbf{e}_1$ and such that SAS^{-1} is also symmetric. The last condition holds if S is orthogonal, since then $S^{-1} = S^T$. It is suitable to pick a *Householder reflection*, which means that S has the form

$$H_u = I - 2\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^T / \|\mathbf{u}\|^2, \quad \text{where } \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Specifically, we recall from the Numerical Analysis IB course that Householder reflections are orthogonal and that, because $H_u\mathbf{u} = -\mathbf{u}$ and $H_u\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$ if $\mathbf{u}^T\mathbf{v} = 0$, they reflect any vector in \mathbb{R}^n with respect to the $(n-1)$ -dimensional hyperplane orthogonal to \mathbf{u} . So, for any two vectors \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} of equal lengths,

$$H_u\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}, \quad \text{where } \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}.$$

Hence,

$$\left(I - 2\frac{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^T}{\|\mathbf{u}\|^2}\right)\mathbf{w} = \pm\|\mathbf{w}\|\mathbf{e}_1. \quad \text{where } \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{w} \mp \|\mathbf{w}\|\mathbf{e}_1.$$

Since the bottom $n-1$ components of \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{w} coincide, the calculation of \mathbf{u} requires only $\mathcal{O}(n)$ computer operations. Further, the calculation of SAS^{-1} can be done in only $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ operations, taking advantage of the form $S = I - 2\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^T / \|\mathbf{u}\|^2$, even if all the elements of A are nonzero.

After deflation, we may find an eigenvector, $\hat{\mathbf{w}}$ say, of SAS^{-1} . Then the new eigenvector of A , according to Theorem 5.8, is $S^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{w}} = S\hat{\mathbf{w}}$, because Householder matrices, like all symmetric orthogonal matrices, are *involutions*: $S^2 = I$.

Revision 5.11 (Givens rotations) The notation $\Omega^{[i,j]}$ denotes the following $n \times n$ matrix

$$\Omega^{[i,j]} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & c & & s & \\ & & -s & & c & \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad c^2 + s^2 = 1.$$

Generally, for any vector $\mathbf{a}_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we can find a matrix $\Omega^{[i,j]}$ such that

$$\Omega^{[i,j]}\mathbf{a}_k = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & c & & s & \\ & & -s & & c & \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{1k} \\ \vdots \\ a_{ik} \\ \vdots \\ a_{jk} \\ \vdots \\ a_{nk} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1k} \\ \vdots \\ r \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ a_{nk} \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \leftarrow i \\ \leftarrow j \end{matrix} \quad \begin{matrix} c = \frac{a_{ik}}{\sqrt{a_{ik}^2 + a_{jk}^2}}, \\ s = \frac{a_{jk}}{\sqrt{a_{ik}^2 + a_{jk}^2}}, \\ r = \sqrt{a_{ik}^2 + a_{jk}^2}. \end{matrix}$$

- 1) We can choose $\Omega^{[i,j]}$ so that any prescribed element \tilde{a}_{jk} in the j -th row of $\tilde{A} = \Omega^{[i,j]} \times A$ is zero.
- 2) The rows of $\tilde{A} = \Omega^{[i,j]} \times A$ are the same as the rows of A , except that the i -th and j -th rows of the product are linear combinations of the i -th and j -th rows of A .
- 3) The columns of $\hat{A} = \tilde{A} \times \Omega^{[i,j]T}$ are the same as the columns of \tilde{A} , except that the i -th and j -th columns of \hat{A} are linear combinations of the i -th and j -th columns of \tilde{A} .
- 4) $\Omega^{[i,j]}$ is an orthogonal matrix, thus $\hat{A} = \Omega^{[i,j]} A \Omega^{[i,j]T}$ inherits the eigenvalues of A .
- 5) If A is symmetric, then so is \hat{A} .

Method 5.12 (Transformation to an upper Hessenberg form) We replace A by $\hat{A} = SAS^{-1}$, where S is a product of Givens rotations $\Omega^{[i,j]}$ chosen to annihilate subsubdiagonal elements $a_{j,i-1}$ in the $(i-1)$ -st column:

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\Omega^{[2,3]} \times} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ * & * & * & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\times \Omega^{[2,3]T}} \begin{bmatrix} * & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ * & \bullet & \bullet & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\Omega^{[2,4]} \times} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\times \Omega^{[2,4]T}} \begin{bmatrix} * & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & \bullet & * & * \\ 0 & \bullet & * & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\Omega^{[3,4]} \times} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & \bullet & \bullet \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\times \Omega^{[3,4]T}} \begin{bmatrix} * & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ * & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & \bullet & * \end{bmatrix}$$

The \bullet -elements have changed through a single transformation while the $*$ -elements remained the same.

It is seen that every element that we have set to zero remains zero, and the final outcome is indeed an upper Hessenberg matrix. If A is symmetric then so will be the outcome of the calculation, hence it will be tridiagonal. In general, the cost of this procedure is $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$.

Alternatively, we can transform A to upper Hessenberg using *Householder reflections*, rather than Givens rotations. In that case we deal with a column at a time, taking u such that, with $H_u = I - 2uu^T/\|u\|^2$, the i -th column of $\tilde{B} = H_u B$ is consistent with the upper Hessenberg form. Such a u has its first i coordinates vanishing, therefore $\tilde{B} = \tilde{B}H_u^T$ has the first i columns unchanged, and all new and old zeros (which are in the first i columns) stay untouched.

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{H_1 \times} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * & * \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\times H_1^T} \begin{bmatrix} * & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{H_2 \times} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\times H_2^T} \begin{bmatrix} * & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & \bullet & * & * & * \\ 0 & \bullet & * & * & * \\ 0 & \bullet & * & * & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{H_3 \times} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & 0 & \bullet & \bullet & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\times H_3^T} \begin{bmatrix} * & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ * & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * & * \end{bmatrix}$$

Algorithm 5.13 (The QR algorithm) The “plain vanilla” version of the QR algorithm is as follows. Set $A_0 = A$. For $k = 0, 1, \dots$ calculate the QR factorization $A_k = Q_k R_k$ (here Q_k is $n \times n$ orthogonal and R_k is $n \times n$ upper triangular) and set $A_{k+1} = R_k Q_k$.

The eigenvalues of A_{k+1} are the same as the eigenvalues of A_k , since we have

$$A_{k+1} = R_k Q_k = Q_k^{-1} (Q_k R_k) Q_k = Q_k^{-1} A_k Q_k, \quad (5.2)$$

a similarity transformation. Moreover, $Q_k^{-1} = Q_k^T$, therefore if A_k is symmetric, then so is A_{k+1} .

If for some $k \geq 0$ the matrix A_{k+1} can be regarded as “deflated”, i.e. it has the block form

$$A_{k+1} = \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ D & E \end{bmatrix},$$

where B, E are square and $D \approx O$, then we calculate the eigenvalues of B and E separately (again, with QR, except that there is nothing to calculate for 1×1 and 2×2 blocks). As it turns out, such a “deflation” occurs surprisingly often.

Technique 5.14 (The QR iteration for upper Hessenberg matrices) If A_k is upper Hessenberg, then its QR factorization by means of the Givens rotations produces the matrix

$$R_k = Q_k^T A_k = \Omega^{[n-1,n]} \dots \Omega^{[2,3]} \Omega^{[1,2]} A_k,$$

which is upper triangular. The QR iteration sets $A_{k+1} = R_k Q_k = R_k \Omega^{[1,2]T} \Omega^{[2,3]T} \dots \Omega^{[n-1,n]T}$, and it follows that A_{k+1} is also upper Hessenberg, because

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\times \Omega^{[1,2]T}} \begin{bmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & * & * \\ \bullet & \bullet & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\times \Omega^{[2,3]T}} \begin{bmatrix} * & \bullet & * & * \\ \bullet & \bullet & * & * \\ 0 & \bullet & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\times \Omega^{[3,4]T}} \begin{bmatrix} * & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ * & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & \bullet & * \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus a strong advantage of bringing A to the upper Hessenberg form initially is that then, in every iteration in QR algorithm, Q_k is a product of just $n-1$ Givens rotations. Hence each iteration of the QR algorithm requires just $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ operations.