

Problem Set 4

Initial Conditions from Inflation

Warmup Problem

- (a) Describe $g_*(T)$ given the particle content of the Standard Model.
- (b) Why does the neutrino temperature scale as $T_\nu \propto a^{-1}$ after decoupling?
- (c) Why is today's photon temperature larger than that of neutrinos?
- (d) Why is the recombination temperature much lower than the ionization energy of hydrogen?

1. Chemical Potential for Electrons

- (a) Show that the difference between the number densities of electrons and positrons in the relativistic limit ($m_e \ll T$) is

$$n_e - \bar{n}_e \approx \frac{gT^3}{6\pi^2} \left[\pi^2 \left(\frac{\mu_e}{T} \right) + \left(\frac{\mu_e}{T} \right)^3 \right],$$

where μ_e is the chemical potential.

Hint: You may use that

$$\int_0^\infty dy \frac{y}{e^y + 1} = \frac{\pi^2}{12}.$$

- (b) The electrical neutrality of the universe implies that the number of protons n_p is equal to $n_e - \bar{n}_e$. Use this to estimate μ_e/T .

2. Neutrinos

- (a) *Massive neutrinos:*

Assume that one neutrino species has a non-zero mass m_ν which is much smaller than the neutrino decoupling temperature $T_{dec} \sim 1$ MeV, so that they are relativistic when they decouple. Compute the temperature of the neutrinos relative to the cosmic microwave photons and hence estimate their number density. From their assumed mass m_ν , show that the density the neutrinos contribute in the universe corresponds to

$$\Omega_\nu h^2 \approx \frac{m_\nu}{94 \text{ eV}}.$$

(b) *Extra neutrino species:*

Suppose that there was a fourth generation of active neutrinos in addition to ν_e , ν_μ , and ν_τ . (This possibility is excluded by the Z lifetime, but you should still be able to do the problem.) Compute g_\star prior to e^+e^- annihilation, and g_\star after e^+e^- annihilation. What is the final neutron abundance in this case? What will this do to the final ${}^4\text{He}$ abundance?

3. Relic Baryon Density

Consider massive particles and antiparticles with mass m and number densities $n(m, t)$ and $\bar{n}(m, t)$. If they interact with cross-section σ at velocity v , explain why the evolution of $n(m, t)$ is described by

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = -3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}n - n\bar{n}\langle\sigma v\rangle + P(t) ,$$

and identify the physical significance of each of the terms appearing in this equation.

(a) By considering the evolution of the antiparticles, show that

$$(n - \bar{n})a^3 = \text{const.}$$

(b) Assuming initial particle-antiparticle symmetry, show that

$$\frac{1}{a^3} \frac{d(na^3)}{dt} = -\langle\sigma v\rangle [n^2 - n_{\text{eq}}^2] ,$$

where n_{eq} denotes the equilibrium number density.

(c) Define $Y \equiv n/T^3$ and $x \equiv m/T$, and show that

$$\frac{dY}{dx} = -\frac{\lambda}{x^2} [Y^2 - Y_{\text{eq}}^2] ,$$

where $\lambda \equiv m^3\langle\sigma v\rangle/H(T=m)$. If λ is constant, show that at late times Y approaches a value given by

$$Y_\infty = \frac{x_f}{\lambda} ,$$

where x_f is the freeze-out time. Explain the dependence of Y_∞ on $\langle\sigma v\rangle$ and sketch the schematic evolution of Y versus x for both a strongly and a weakly interacting population of annihilating particles and antiparticles. If there was a speed-up in the expansion rate of the universe caused by the addition of extra low-mass neutrino species what would happen to the abundance of surviving massive particles and why?

Now apply this to proton-antiproton annihilation. You may use that $\langle\sigma v\rangle \approx 100 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$.

(d) Show that $T_f \approx 20 \text{ MeV}$.

(e) Show that

$$\frac{n}{n_\gamma} = \frac{\bar{n}}{n_\gamma} = 10^{-19} .$$

How does this compare with observational data? What do you conclude about the abundances of protons and antiprotons in the early universe?

4. Primordial Nucleosynthesis

- (a) Write down an expression which shows the explicit dependence of the nucleon decoupling temperature T_{dec} (and so the neutron-proton ratio X_n/X_p) on the number of relativistic species g_* .
- (b) Discuss the effect of the following suppositions on the production of ${}^4\text{He}$ during primordial nucleosynthesis:
1. The baryon density today is larger than we estimate.
 2. The weak interaction constant G_F is smaller at nucleosynthesis than it is today.
 3. Newton's constant G is larger than supposed.
 4. The neutron-proton mass difference was slightly larger than supposed.

5. Mukhanov-Sasaki

In the lectures, we ignored metric fluctuations in deriving the dynamics of the inflaton fluctuations $f \equiv a\delta\phi$ (in spatially flat gauge). If we had included the metric fluctuations, we would have found that the Mukhanov-Sasaki equations takes the form

$$f_k'' + \left(k^2 - \frac{z''}{z} \right) f_k = 0, \quad (\star)$$

where $z^2 = 2a^2\varepsilon$.

- (a) Show that at *first order* in the slow-roll parameters,

$$aH = -\frac{1}{\tau}(1 + \varepsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{z''}{z} = \frac{\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{\tau^2},$$

where $\nu \equiv \frac{3}{2} + \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}\eta$.

- (b) Show that the Bunch-Davies solution of (\star) is

$$f_k(\tau) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} (-\tau)^{1/2} H_\nu^{(1)}(-k\tau),$$

where $H_\nu^{(1)}$ is a Hankel function of the first kind. You may use that

$$\lim_{k\tau \rightarrow -\infty} H_\nu^{(1,2)}(-k\tau) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-k\tau}} e^{\mp ik\tau} e^{\mp i\frac{\pi}{2}(\nu + \frac{1}{2})}.$$

- (c) Derive the power spectrum of curvature perturbations on superhorizon scales

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}^2 = \frac{1}{z^2} \Delta_f^2.$$

You may use that

$$\lim_{k\tau \rightarrow 0} H_\nu^{(1)}(-k\tau) = \frac{i}{\pi} \Gamma(\nu) \left(\frac{-k\tau}{2} \right)^{-\nu}.$$

(d) Show that the scale-dependence of the scalar spectrum is

$$n_s - 1 \equiv \frac{d \ln \Delta_{\mathcal{R}}^2}{d \ln k} = -2\varepsilon - \eta .$$

Write the answer in terms of the potential slow-roll parameters ϵ_v and η_v .

6. The Higgs as an Inflaton?

The LHC has recently discovered a Higgs-like scalar particle. It is tempting to ask if the Higgs field could have been the scalar field that drove inflation.

- (a) Let the potential of the Higgs boson be

$$V(\phi) = \lambda (\phi^2 - v^2)^2 ,$$

where $v = 246$ GeV. Sketch the potential and indicate the regions where slow-roll inflation might occur. Compute the slow-roll parameters $\epsilon_v \equiv \frac{1}{2} M_{\text{pl}}^2 (V'/V)^2$ and $\eta_v \equiv M_{\text{pl}}^2 V''/V$.

- (b) First, consider the region $0 < \phi < v$.

Sketch $\epsilon_v(\phi)$ and $\eta_v(\phi)$ between $\phi = 0$ and $\phi = v$. Is there a region in which both slow-roll conditions can be satisfied simultaneously?

- (c) Now, look at the regime $\phi \gg v$.

Show that $\epsilon_v(\phi)$ and $\eta_v(\phi)$ become independent of v . For what field values does inflation occur? Determine the field values at the end of inflation (ϕ_E) and $N_\star \sim 60$ e -folds before (ϕ_\star). [You may assume that $\phi_\star \gg \phi_E$.]

Compute the amplitude of the power spectrum of scalar fluctuations at ϕ_\star . Express your answer in terms of N_\star and the Higgs boson mass m_H .

Estimate the value of m_H required to match the observed scalar amplitude $\Delta_s^2 = 2 \times 10^{-9}$. Compare this to the announced mass of the Higgs boson, $m_H = 125$ GeV.

- (d)* Recently, a new version of Higgs inflation has been proposed. Its key ingredient is a non-minimal coupling of the Higgs to gravity. The starting point is the following action

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{M_{\text{pl}}^2}{2} f(\phi) R + \frac{1}{2} (\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4} \phi^4 \right] , \quad \text{where} \quad f(\phi) \equiv 1 + \xi \frac{\phi^2}{M_{\text{pl}}^2} .$$

For $\xi = 0$, this corresponds to the analysis in part (c). Now we want to study $\xi \gg 1$. It is convenient to define $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} \equiv f(\phi) g_{\mu\nu}$, so that the action becomes that of a standard slow-roll model

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \left[\frac{M_{\text{pl}}^2}{2} \tilde{R} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial\Phi)^2 - V(\Phi) \right] ,$$

with potential

$$V(\Phi) \approx \frac{\lambda M_{\text{pl}}^4}{4\xi^2} \left(1 - 2 \exp \left[-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{\Phi}{M_{\text{pl}}} \right] \right) , \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{\Phi}{M_{\text{pl}}} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \ln(f(\phi)) .$$

Perform a slow-roll analysis of this potential in the limit $\Phi \gg M_{\text{pl}}$:

- Show that the slow-roll parameters are

$$\eta_v = -\frac{4}{3} e^{-\sqrt{2/3} \Phi/M_{\text{pl}}} , \quad \epsilon_v = \frac{3}{4} \eta_v^2 .$$

- Show that the scalar spectral index is

$$n_s = 1 - \frac{2}{N_\star} ,$$

and the tensor-to-scalar ratio is

$$r = \frac{12}{N_\star^2} .$$

How do these predictions compare to the Planck data?

- By considering the amplitude of scalar fluctuations, determine the required value of the non-minimal coupling ξ for $\lambda = \mathcal{O}(1)$.

7. Tensors and the Lyth Bound

- (a) Show that the tensor-to-scalar ratio predicted by slow-roll inflation is

$$r \equiv \frac{\Delta_t^2}{\Delta_s^2} = \frac{8\dot{\phi}^2}{M_{\text{pl}}^2 H^2} .$$

- (b) Show that the inflaton field travels a “distance” $\Delta\phi \equiv |\phi_E - \phi_\star|$ during (observable) inflation

$$\frac{\Delta\phi}{M_{\text{pl}}} = \frac{N_\star}{60} \sqrt{\frac{r}{0.002}} ,$$

where N_\star is the total number of e -folds between the time when the CMB scales exited the horizon and the end of inflation. [You may assume that $\varepsilon \approx \text{const.}$ during inflation] Comment on the implication of this result for observable gravitational waves. [Realistically, we require $r > 0.001$ to have a fighting chance of detecting gravitational waves via CMB polarisation.]

- (c) Derive the following relationship between the energy scale of inflation, $V^{1/4}$, and the tensor-to-scalar ratio,

$$V^{1/4} = \left(\frac{3\pi^2}{2} r \Delta_s^2 \right)^{1/4} M_{\text{pl}} .$$

Use $\Delta_s^2 = 2.5 \times 10^{-9}$ to determine $V^{1/4}$ for $r = 0.01$. How does that compare to the energy scales probed by the LHC?