1. Suppose a system has a basis of just two orthonormal states $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$, with respect to which the total Hamiltonian has the matrix representation

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
E_1 & V_0 e^{i\omega t} \\
V_0 e^{-i\omega t} & E_2
\end{pmatrix},
$$

where $V_0$ is independent of time. At $t = 0$, the system is in state $|1\rangle$. Show that the probability of a transition from state $|1\rangle$ to state $|2\rangle$ in time interval $t$ is

$$P(t) = \frac{4V_0^2}{(E_1 - E_2 + h\omega)^2} \sin^2\left((E_1 - E_2 + h\omega)t/2h\right)^2 + O(V^4),$$

to lowest non–trivial order in $V_0$. Solve this two–state problem exactly to find the true value of $P(t)$ and hence state conditions necessary for the perturbative approach to be valid here.

2. A particle of mass $m$ and charge $e$ is contained within a cubical box of side $a$. Initially the particle is in the stationary state of energy $3\pi^2\hbar^2ma^2$. At time $t = 0$ a uniform electric field of strength $E$ is switched on parallel to one of the edges of the cube. Obtain an expression to second order in $e$ for the probability of measuring the particle to have energy $3\pi^2\hbar^2ma^2$ at time $t$.

3. A harmonic oscillator of angular frequency $\omega$ is acted on by the time–dependent perturbation

$$\frac{qE(X \sqrt{\pi \tau})}{\sqrt{\pi \tau}} \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{\tau^2}\right) \text{ for all } t,$$

where $X$ is the position operator and $q$, $\mathcal{E}$ and $\tau$ are constants. Show that in first–order perturbation theory, the only allowed transition from the ground state is to the first excited state. If the perturbation acts from very early times to very late times, find the probability that this transition takes place, correct to order $\mathcal{E}^2$.

By expanding $U_I(t)$ to second non–trivial order, calculate the corresponding probability for a transition from the ground state to the second excited state.

4. A particle travelling in one dimension with momentum $p = \hbar k > 0$ encounters the steep–sided potential well $V(x) = -V_0 < 0$ for $|x| < a$. Use Fermi’s golden rule to show that the probability the particle will be reflected by the well is

$$P_{\text{reflect}} \approx \frac{V_0^2}{4E^2} \sin^2(2ka),$$

where $E = p^2/2m$. Show that in the limit $E \gg V_0$ this result is consistent with the exact result for the reflection probability. [Hint: adopt periodic boundary conditions to normalise the wavefunctions of the initial and final states.]
5. Consider the driven quantum harmonic oscillator with Hamiltonian

\[ H = \hbar \omega \left( A^\dagger A + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \hbar \left( f^*(t) A + f(t) A^\dagger \right). \]

Taking \( H_0 \) to be the standard oscillator Hamiltonian, show that the perturbation in the interaction picture is

\[ V_1(t) = \hbar \left( \tilde{f}^*(t) A + \tilde{f}(t) A^\dagger \right) \]

where \( \tilde{f}(t) = e^{i \omega t} f(t) \).

Show that \( U(g) := e^{gA^\dagger - g^* A} = e^{-|g|^2/2} e^{g A^\dagger} e^{-g^* A} \) where \( g = g(t) \). By taking the time-derivative of this expression, deduce that the time evolution operator in the interaction picture can be written as

\[ U_1(t) = U(g) e^{-\int_0^t \text{Im}(g^* g) \, dt'} \quad \text{for the choice} \quad g(t) = -i \int_0^t \tilde{f}(t') \, dt'. \]

At \( t = 0 \) the oscillator is initially in its ground state \( |0\rangle \). In the case that \( f(t) = e^{-i \omega t} f_0 \) where \( f_0 \) is constant, show that at time \( t \) the oscillator is in the coherent state

\[ |\psi_S(t)\rangle = e^{-|f_0|^2 t/2} e^{-i \omega t t} e^{-it f(t) A^\dagger} |0\rangle \]

in the Schrödinger picture. Comment on the relevance of this model to the operation of a laser.

6. Let \( \rho = \sum_{ij} \rho_{ij} |i\langle j| \) be the density operator of some quantum system. Show that the system is in a pure state if and only if every row of the matrix \( \rho_{ij} \) is a multiple of the first row, and every column is a multiple of the first column.

7. Show also that the entropy \( S(\rho) = -\text{tr}_H (\rho \ln \rho) \) obeys \( S(U \rho U^\dagger) = S(\rho) \) for any unitary operator \( U \), and hence that the entropy is both time independent and independent of the choice of basis on \( \mathcal{H} \).

A composite system is formed from two uncorrelated subsystems \( A \) and \( B \). Both subsystems are in impure states, with the numbers \( \{p_A\} \) and \( \{p_B\} \) being the probabilities of the members of the complete sets of states \( \{|A; i\rangle\} \) and \( \{|B; r\rangle\} \), respectively. Show that the entropy of the composite system is the sum of the entropies of the two subsystems. What is the relevance of this result for thermodynamics?

8. Let \( \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2 \) and \( \mathcal{H}_3 \) each describe two–state systems, and let \( \{|\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle\} \) form a basis of each \( \mathcal{H}_i \) where \( \sigma_z |\uparrow\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle \) and \( \sigma_z |\downarrow\rangle = -|\downarrow\rangle \) with \( \sigma_z \) a Pauli matrix.

i) Compute

\[ \sigma_x |\uparrow\rangle, \quad \sigma_x |\downarrow\rangle, \quad \sigma_y |\uparrow\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_y |\downarrow\rangle, \]

where \( \sigma_x \) and \( \sigma_y \) are the other two Pauli matrices.

ii) Consider the state

\[ |\text{GHZ}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |\uparrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle - |\downarrow\rangle |\downarrow\rangle |\downarrow\rangle \right) \in \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_3, \]

known as the Greenberger, Horne & Zeilinger state. Show that this state obeys

\[ \sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y \otimes \sigma_x |\text{GHZ}\rangle = \sigma_y \otimes \sigma_x \otimes \sigma_y |\text{GHZ}\rangle = \sigma_x \otimes \sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y |\text{GHZ}\rangle = +|\text{GHZ}\rangle \quad (\ast) \]

whilst

\[ \sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x |\text{GHZ}\rangle = -|\text{GHZ}\rangle. \quad (\dagger) \]
iii) Suppose we try to reproduce these results in a hidden variables theory, by assigning functions

\[ s_i : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \{+1, -1\} \]

to each of the three subsystems, where the value the classical spin takes is entirely determined by the axis \( \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) along which the spin is measured and the value \( \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n \) of the hidden variables. What constraints must these classical spins obey if they are to be compatible with (⋆) and (†)? Is this possible?