Directed collective motion of bacteria under channel confinement
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Abstract

Dense suspensions of swimming bacteria are known to exhibit collective behaviour arising from the interplay of steric and hydrodynamic interactions. Unconfined suspensions exhibit transient, recurring vortices and jets, whereas those confined in circular domains may exhibit order in the form of a spiral vortex. Here we show that confinement into a long and narrow macroscopic ‘racetrack’ geometry stabilises bacterial motion to form a steady unidirectional circulation. This motion is reproduced in simulations of discrete swimmers that reveal the crucial role that bacteria-driven repulsion and long-range hydrodynamic forces created by the action of multiple flagella. These interactions lead to competitive interactions between swimming cells, which has taken motivation from collective behaviour in suspensions of flagellated bacteria (e.g., *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis*) [9–16] as well as those composed of molecular motors and the filaments along which they move [17, 18]. Using discrete [19], continuum [20], and phenomenological models, this growing field of research has studied self-organisation of populations of interacting motile organisms or other kinds of active and driven objects, often giving rise to striking collective behaviours. Recent studies have predicted that physical confinement can have a strong impact on the spatio-temporal organization, and indeed may result in unidirectional flows [21–26]. Experimental realisations of confined active matter however remain relatively rare [14–16, 27–30].

The interactions between swimming cells in bacterial suspensions have two main components: direct steric repulsion and long-range hydrodynamic forces created by the action of multiple flagella. These interactions lead to competitive interactions between swimming cells, which has taken motivation from collective behaviour in suspensions of flagellated bacteria (e.g., *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis*) [9–16] as well as those composed of molecular motors and the filaments along which they move [17, 18]. Using discrete [19], continuum [20], and phenomenological models, this growing field of research has studied self-organisation of populations of interacting motile organisms or other kinds of active and driven objects, often giving rise to striking collective behaviours. Recent studies have predicted that physical confinement can have a strong impact on the spatio-temporal organization, and indeed may result in unidirectional flows [21–26]. Experimental realisations of confined active matter however remain relatively rare [14–16, 27–30].

1. Introduction

Spreading and survival of populations of bacteria often depend on their ability to behave collectively; cells aggregate into swarms to seek and migrate towards nutrient-rich regions [1, 2], organise into biofilms resistant to antibiotics [3, 4], respond to starvation by building fruiting bodies [5, 6] or opt for cannibalism [7]. In such organisations, the surrounding environment often plays a major role, through its chemical composition [2, 3] or geometrical constraints [4, 8]. A complex and fascinating issue is how the various chemical or mechanical interactions between the microorganisms and their environment can guide the intricate dynamics of populations.

Theoretical approaches to this question may utilize methods from the emerging field of ‘active matter’, which has taken motivation from collective behaviour in suspensions of flagellated bacteria (e.g., *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis*) [9–16] as well as those composed of molecular motors and the filaments along which they move [17, 18]. Using discrete [19], continuum [20], and phenomenological models, this growing field of research has studied self-organisation of populations of interacting motile organisms or other kinds of active and driven objects, often giving rise to striking collective behaviours. Recent studies have predicted that physical confinement can have a strong impact on the spatio-temporal organization, and indeed may result in unidirectional flows [21–26]. Experimental realisations of confined active matter however remain relatively rare [14–16, 27–30].

The interactions between swimming cells in bacterial suspensions have two main components: direct steric repulsion and long-range hydrodynamic forces created by the action of multiple flagella. These interactions lead to competitive interactions between swimming cells, which has taken motivation from collective behaviour in suspensions of flagellated bacteria (e.g., *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis*) [9–16] as well as those composed of molecular motors and the filaments along which they move [17, 18]. Using discrete [19], continuum [20], and phenomenological models, this growing field of research has studied self-organisation of populations of interacting motile organisms or other kinds of active and driven objects, often giving rise to striking collective behaviours. Recent studies have predicted that physical confinement can have a strong impact on the spatio-temporal organization, and indeed may result in unidirectional flows [21–26]. Experimental realisations of confined active matter however remain relatively rare [14–16, 27–30].

The interactions between swimming cells in bacterial suspensions have two main components: direct steric repulsion and long-range hydrodynamic forces created by the action of multiple flagella. These interactions lead
to complex collective motion: while direct repulsion between rod-like bacteria produces local alignment akin to nematic liquid crystal ordering, hydrodynamic forces can advect and reorient nearby bacteria \[31-33\] and power macroscopic turbulent patterns such as jets and swirls much faster than an individual bacterium \[9-11\]. Yet, these collective structures are not permanent, instead they are recurrent and transient.

Previous experiments have been successful in controlling the bacterial migration through gradients of chemoattractants \[1, 2\] or by varying external flow and environment properties \[34\]. An alternative that we consider here takes advantage of interfaces, as can be commonly encountered in bacterial habitats like soil. Recent studies have explained how a single microorganism interacts with surfaces \[33, 35\], yet little has been done to experimentally study the collective dynamics of motile bacteria and other types of active matter under confinement \[14-16, 27, 30\]. Different interfaces (fluid, solid) or confinement topologies (e.g. planar, linear, circular) could lead to various macroscopic organisations.

Here we describe an experimental setup able to stabilise a dense suspension of *Bacillus subtilis* into a persistent stream through physical confinement alone. As shown in figure 1, bacteria are introduced into an array of a thin periodic millimetre-long racetracks, allowing for quantification of many realizations of the resultant flow patterns. Our chief finding is that there exists a clear transition from a ‘turbulent’ state to stable circulation for channel widths \(m \approx 70\) \(\mu\)m, or a scale comparable to correlation length of cell orientations, or equivalently the diameter of the characteristic swirls seen in effectively unbounded suspensions \[9, 10, 36\]. Numerical studies of a discrete model of swimmers which incorporates both steric and hydrodynamic interactions between the cells reveal that the suspension motion is dominated by the bacteria-driven fluid stream. In particular, cells close to the bounding walls create a strong fluid flow which advects the cells in the bulk of the channel against their swimming direction in a manner similar to that seen in circular drops of suspension \[14, 15\]. Analysis of the suspension kinetics sheds light on the mechanism that drives bacterial collective behaviour. This organisation shows strong connections with previous work on bacterial pumping in microfluidic chambers \[37\] and cell swimming or alignment against a shear fluid flow \[34, 38–41\].

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Experiments
Dense suspension of motile *B. subtilis* (wild-type, strain 168) were prepared from an overnight culture, diluted 200-fold into fresh Terrific Broth (TB, Sigma) and incubated at 35 °C for \(\approx 5\) h. When the bacteria reached a high
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**Figure 1.** Experimental setup. (a) Layout of the entire microfluidic circuit. Bacteria are injected through the inlet, which is sealed prior to recording. (b) Detail of one racetrack in the PDMS chamber device. Arrow indicates one of two equivalent directions for spontaneous circulation. (c) Close-up of the circulation. Arrows: instantaneous bacterial flow field as measured by PIV.
motility fraction (>90% motile cells), 10 mL of the suspension was concentrated by centrifugation (1500 g, 10 min), and the pellet was used in the experiments without further dilution. We estimate the cell volume fraction to be ~20%.

Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) microchambers were fabricated with racetracks (20 μm high, 20–130 μm wide and more than a 1 mm long, figure 1(a)), linked by thin inlets (~10 μm wide). Bacteria were introduced into the chamber with a syringe and the main inlets were closed prior to recording to avoid any unwanted motion (visual inspection verified the absence of any net flow between racetracks).

Each preparation was allowed to reach a steady state over two minutes and videos lasting 5 seconds were acquired with a high-speed camera (Fastcam, Photron, 125 frames/sec) under bright field illumination, using a 63× oil-immersion objective (Zeiss). The velocity field of the bacteria was determined by Particle Image Velocimetry (using a customised version of mPIV [42]) with no time averaging (figure 1(b)). This method yields the properties of the bacterial motion, not the associated fluid flow.

2.2. Measuring the bacterial orientation

Bright field images yield only the local velocity field of the bacteria and their rough alignment [14], not their head-tail orientation. To determine the relationship between swimming and motion directions we labelled the flagella and membrane of a subset of the population with two different fluorophores, as described previously [15]. We used the mutant strain amyE:hag(T209C) DS1919 3610 whose flagella protein flagellin (gene hag) contains an easily labelling cysteine [43]. Cells were grown in the same conditions as wild-type bacteria and labelled following the protocol of Guttenplan et al [43]. 1 mL of the suspension was centrifuged (1000 g, 2 min) and resuspended in 50 μL of Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS) containing 5 μg mL⁻¹ Alexa Fluor 488 C₅ Maleimide (Molecular Probes) and incubated at room temperature for 5 minutes. This fluorophore reacts with the cysteine added to flagellin. Bacteria were then washed in 1 mL PBS and resuspended in PBS containing 5μ g mL⁻¹ FM 4-64 (Molecular Probes). This fluorophore incorporates itself into lipid bilayers and labels cell membranes. The excess of fluorophore was removed in a final wash and the bacteria were resuspended into 50 μL PBS. A fraction of the labelled mutants was then gently mixed with unlabelled wild-type bacteria. Cells cannot swim in PBS, which lacks a carbon source, but recover their initial motility once transferred into Terrific Broth.

Images were acquired on a spinning disc confocal microscope (Zeiss Axio Observer Z1, camera Photometrics Evolve 512 Delta) at 6 fps. Both fluorophores were excited with a 488 nm laser and the emission was filtered with a GFP filter (barrier filter 500–550 nm, Zeiss) for Alexa Fluor 488 C₅ Maleimide and DsRed filter (barrier filter 570–640 nm, Zeiss) for FM 4-64. We took a sequence of three images: membrane (false coloured red), flagella (false coloured green) and again membrane (false coloured blue, figure 6) and measured the bacterial motion from the membrane displacement between the first and last image. We then deduced the orientation of the cell (its swimming direction) from the bundling of the flagella (at the rear of the cell) and from the average position of the membrane relative to this bundle.

2.3. Modelling and simulations

We used numerical simulations, adapted from a recent method [15], to understand the self-organisation of the suspension. Bacteria are represented as motile ellipses, subject to steric and hydrodynamic interactions and confined into a periodic channel. Each swimmer generates a dipolar ‘pusher’-flow field and is affected by the fluid flow disturbances created by the other swimmers.

We use a 2D domain with periodic boundaries of length L and width 2w where y = 0, w are the channel walls. Each swimmer is modelled as an ellipse of length ℓ = 1, width ℓ/4, described by its centre of mass Xᵢ and orientation Pᵢ. Xᵢ and Pᵢ are randomly initiated and follow the dynamics [15, 44].

\[ \partial_t Xᵢ = U₀ Pᵢ + v + \Xi⁻¹ \sum_{j≠i} Fᵢj, \]

\[ \partial_t Pᵢ = (I - Pᵢ Pᵢ^T) (γ E + W) Pᵢ + k \sum_{j≠i} (Tᵢj × Pᵢ). \]

Equation (1) describes self-propulsion with constant speed (chosen as U₀ = 1) along the cell direction Pᵢ, advection by the fluid velocity v interpolated at the swimmer position, and pairwise steric repulsion with force Fᵢj between swimmers. Here, \( \Xi = mᵢ Pᵢ Pᵢ^T + mᵢ (I - Pᵢ Pᵢ^T) \) with the mobility parameters \( mᵢ = 2mᵣ ≈ 2 \). The first term of equation (2) is Jeffery’s equation and describes rotation of the particle by the fluid flow v with \( 2E = \nabla v + \nabla v^T, 2W = \nabla v - \nabla v^T; γ ≈ 0.9 \) for ellipses with aspect ratio 4. The last term of equation (2) describes swimmer rotation due to torques from steric interactions with neighbours with \( k \approx 5 \). The purely repulsive steric forces Fᵢj and torques Tᵢj between swimmers are obtained using the method described by Constanzo et al [45]. Each swimmer is discretized into \( n_b = 4 \) beads that interact with other swimmers through a
soft capped Lennard-Jones potential [15]. This potential allows some overlaps but does not over-restrict the time-stepping.

To approximate the boundary condition at $y = 0$, we use a system of images. For each swimmer $i$ in the channel $(x, y) \in ([0, L], [0, w])$, a mirror swimmer is placed in $(x, y) \in ([0, L], [0, -w])$ with centre of mass $X_i = 2(X_i \cdot e_y)e_y$, and orientation $P_i = 2(P_i \cdot e_y)e_y$. We calculate the active stress tensor $S^i = \sigma P_i P_i^T$ that results from locomotion for both the swimmers and their images. The non-dimensional stresslet strength is set to $s = -1$ for a slender pusher swimmer with length $\ell = 1$ and speed $U_0 = 10^4$ [44]. The fluid velocity $v$ is obtained by solving with Fourier series the (non-dimensional) 2D Stokes equations with the extra active stresses

$$-\nabla^2 v + \nabla q = \nabla \cdot \sum_i S^i \delta(x - X_i), \quad \nabla \cdot v = 0$$

in the entire (real and mirror) domain $(x, y) \in ([0, L], [0, w])$ which is assumed bi-periodic. Using the mirror domain in the $y$-direction for solving equation (3) is a computationally efficient way to approximate the effects of the PDMS interface as a no-stress boundary condition (figure 2). Note that to guarantee a no-slip boundary condition for the fluid flow, other methods, e.g. [19] are more appropriate, but require higher computational power for many swimmer simulations. For ease of implementation, we compute the steric interactions of the swimmers with their images as well, which results in an effective steric repulsion from the walls.

Note that we do not account for the friction with the surface, flagellar dynamics or close-range lubrication flows between the cells or any noise in the individual swimmer motion. The initial state of the cells is random and disordered.

The free parameters in the simulations are the swimmer length $\ell = 1$, its shape parameter, its swimming speed $U_0 = 1$, the stresslet strength $\sigma = -1$ which determines the magnitude of the generated fluid flows, as well as the channel dimensions. We note that we did not match these with the experimental values, hence the comparisons between the results are qualitative and not quantitative.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Confinement stabilises a bacterial stream

We inject a dense suspension of swimming *B. subtilis* into 20 \(\mu\text{m}\) high racetracks. When confinement is strong enough, the turbulent collective motion is stabilised and forms a persistent stream (figure 1). This circulation is stable for tens of minutes until bacteria stop swimming due to oxygen depletion.

We quantify the overall motion by measuring the normalised net flow:

$$\Phi = \frac{\sum u \cdot e_z}{\sum |u|},$$

where $u$ is the bacterial flow as measured by PIV, $e_z$ the unit vector along the channel main direction (figure 1(b)) and summing over all PIV sub-windows inside the channel and over \(\approx 70\) frames of a 5 seconds long movie. We observed CW and CCW circulation with equal probability and therefore study the absolute value of $\Phi$. $\Phi = 1$ indicates a purely longitudinal flow (e.g. $u = ||u||e_z$) and $\Phi = 0$ indicates a globally stationary suspension.

Figure 3 shows a clear dependence of the net flow $\Phi$ on the channel width $w$: while the suspension exhibits a strong circulation ($\Phi > 0.7$) for thin chambers, it quickly transitions into a stationary turbulent state ($\Phi < 0.2$) around $w_{\text{exp}} = 70 \mu\text{m}$.
We compared these results with simulations in a 2D periodic channel domain, to mimic the straight section of the racetracks (figure 1(b)). Ellipsoid swimmers, subject to both steric and hydrodynamic interactions, self-organise into a stream similar to the observed experimental motion, as seen in figure 5(d). We computed the net flow $\Phi$, this time summing over bulk swimmers only. We found the same qualitative behaviour with strong circulation only for thin channels $w < w^{*}_{\text{lin}} = 17\ell$ (where $\ell$ is the swimmer length). Figure 3 shows that the transition from a stable stream to an overall stationary state can be matched between experiments and simulation ($w^{*}_{\text{exp}} = w^{*}_{\text{lin}}$) by choosing $\ell = 4 \, \mu m$. Note that other outcomes, e.g. flow strength, are not necessarily matched.

3.2. Bacterial flow patterns under confinement
In unconfined environments, dense bacterial suspensions exhibit typical patterns of jets and swirls [10–13]. PDMS interfaces in racetracks change this pattern in order to allow for the net circulation. We now study the pattern stabilisation, through the averaged flow profile, depending on the channel width. If the flow were driven by a pressure gradient, a passive Newtonian fluid would produce a parabolic or pump flow [46]. Yet bacteria collectively generate irregular flow patterns.

We measure the profile or cross section of the flow patterns, averaged over time and space:

$$F(y) = \langle u(x, y, t) \cdot e_x \rangle_{(x,t)},$$

where the direction of $e_x$ is chosen such that $F(y)$ is on average positive. Figure 4(a) shows different behaviours depending on the channel width $w$.

For the thinnest channels ($w \approx 35 \, \mu m$) the flow is directed parallel to the channel length and $F$ takes a quasi-parabolic profile. $F$ then flattens for $w \approx 45 \, \mu m$ and splits into two peaks for $w \approx 55 \, \mu m$. At such width, small swirls start to emerge but are not strong enough to alter the circulation. When the width reaches the critical value $w > w^{*}_{\text{exp}} = 70 \, \mu m$, the suspension is able to form full vortices. $F$ takes a sinusoidal form such that bacteria on each side move in opposite directions and most vortices circulate in the same direction (CCW in figure 4(a)). For wider racetrack ($w \approx 100 \, \mu m$) the suspension recovers its quasi-turbulent state and $F$ exhibits several oscillations. In this last case, if we averaged over a longer period of time, the resulting profile should come to zero.

For channels between 50 and 70 $\mu m$ in width, we observe that the profile $F$ displays two maximum values, at a distance $y_{2m}$ from the PDMS interface (figure 4(b)). In order to measure this length for different widths, we fit $F$ with a combination of two symmetric beta distribution functions:

$$F_{\text{fit}}(y) = f^{(1)}_{\text{beta}} + f^{(2)}_{\text{beta}} = A_1 \left( 1 - \frac{y}{w} \right)^{\beta - 1} + A_2 \left( \frac{y}{w} \right)^{\beta - 1},$$

where $A_1$ and $A_2$ are the amplitude of each function and $\beta$ is a parameters setting the shape of the distribution. Each beta function is the symmetric of the other except for the amplitude: $f^{(1)}_{\text{beta}}(y) = \frac{A_1}{A_2} f^{(2)}_{\text{beta}}(w - y)$. The beta distribution functions are merely used for simplicity and have no physical connection to the bacterial suspensions.

We found that $F_{\text{fit}}$ correctly describes the flow profiles until $w \approx 75 \, \mu m$ (figure 4(a)). For $w \approx 35 \, \mu m$, the two beta functions are almost identically and mostly overlap. They then come apart to form a double-peak.
function \( w \approx 55 \mu m \) which then becomes anti-correlated \( (A_1A_2 < 0) \) for \( w \approx 75 \mu m \). For the largest racetrack, \( F_{\text{fit}} \) only captures the first and last peaks but not the bulk behaviour.

We then measure the distance \( y_m \) at which \( f_{\text{beta}}^{(1)} \) reaches its maximum (represented as a thin line on figure 4(b)). Figure 4(d) shows that \( y_m \) does not depend on the racetrack width: the flow profile is simply the sum of two beta functions, of width-independent shape, and that move apart as the channels widen, suggesting that the circulation is driven by bacteria close to the wall.
Simulations show a similar behaviour, with parabolic ($w = 6\ell$), flattened ($w = 9\ell$), double-peaked ($w = 15\ell$) and sinusoidal profiles ($w = 19\ell$, figure 4(b)). The only significant difference lies in the bacteria motion at the boundary: simulations reveal that these swimmers move against the bulk circulation: $F_{\text{simu}}(0) \cdot F_{\text{simu}}(3\ell) < 0$. We also observed experimentally that bacteria at interfaces swim against the bulk circulation, but PIV measurements could not catch this feature.

Both the swimmer motion at the boundary and the flow profile fitting suggest that bacteria close to the wall play an important role in the suspension ordering.

### 3.3. Boundaries and fluid flow drive the bacterial circulation

We turn to simulations to understand how boundaries affect the bacteria organisation and in particular to find the causes of the bulk circulation as observed in experiments. We consider different scenarios: dilute or dense suspensions, with or without hydrodynamic interactions. The examples in figure 5 have a channel width of $w = 6\ell$.

We first neglect hydrodynamics and consider swimmers interacting between themselves and with the boundary through direct steric repulsions only. In both dilute and dense cases (figures 5(a), (b)), swimmers aggregate at the boundary to form packs or hedgehog-like clusters, as previously observed [45, 47]. Due to their
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**Figure 5.** Snapshots from simulations of micro-swimmers in a 2D channel. (a, b) Dilute and dense suspensions of motile ellipses without hydrodynamical interactions ($\sigma = 0$). (c, d) Dilute and dense suspensions with hydrodynamical interactions ($\sigma = -1$). Collectively-generated fluid flow fields are shown superimposed (the magnitude in plot (d) has been halved). In both cases the fluid flow is not purely longitudinal and a wavy-like pattern is discernible.

**Figure 6.** Fluorescently labelled bacteria indicate orientation and motion direction of cells at the PDMS wall and in bulk. Mutant *B. subtilis* (strain amyE::hag(T204C) DS1919 3610 [43]) were tagged at the body and flagella, respectively with FM 4-64 (false coloured red and blue) and Alexa 488 C5 maleimide (false coloured green).
The cells in the bulk, whose overall collective motion can be

\[ m = 85 \text{ m} \]

slowly move alongside it. Cells in the bulk jam in clusters with little organisation discernible.

We next include fluid flows generated by bacteria. In dilute suspensions, as shown in figure 5(c), swimmers aggregate at the boundary and form packed layers similar to the steric-only case. However they generate a strong fluid stream, against their swimming direction. If the channel width is sufficiently small, the fluid reorients the opposite layer such that swimmers on each channel side move in the same direction. This packing and organisation is not observed experimentally at low densities, most probably because 2D simulations do not allow for overlap whereas bacteria in 20 \( \mu \text{m} \) deep racetracks can easily swim and roll-over one-another.

When the density is further increased (figure 5(d)), the same mechanism is at work: the two boundary-bound layers of swimmers drive a strong fluid flow, reorienting and advecting the cells that now fill up the centre of the channel. Bulk swimmers have a biased orientation against this flow but do not swim fast enough to overcome the advection. They are thus transported against the boundary layers giving rise to a macroscopic bulk circulation similar to the experimental observations (figure 1(b)).

The simulations also clarify the profile shapes (figure 4). Sketches of the swimmers, drawn at the channel walls in figure 4(c), indicate their swimming orientation and the direction of the fluid flow they push back. This flow advects over a distance \( \sim \gamma \), the cells in the bulk, whose overall collective motion can be fitted with the double beta function profiles.

Experimentally, bacteria can flow over 60 \( \mu \text{m} \text{ s}^{-1} \), significantly faster than the single cell swimming speed of 10 \( \mu \text{m} \text{ s}^{-1} \). This high velocity suggests that the bulk bacterial motion is indeed dominated by fluid advection.

To verify the organisation predicted by simulations, we track fluorescently labelled bacteria (figure 6). We deduced the cell orientation from the relative position of the body and flagella and the overall motion from the body displacement. We found the same biased orientation against the flow in the bulk of thin channels (\( w < w^* = 70 \mu \text{m} \)): out of the 24 labelled bacteria tracked, 4 were oriented along the \( e_\gamma \) direction, 4 were swimming with the flow and 16 were swimming against the flow (oriented against their overall motion direction). Cells at the surface had a forward motion, against the bulk circulation, confirming the organisation found in simulations.

### 3.4. Spatial and temporal variations in bacterial flow patterns

Our current model—edge bacteria driving the bulk flow through hydrodynamic interactions—explains the overall circulation. As described earlier, bacteria in thin racetracks also form partial and travelling swirls, reminiscent of the unconfined turbulent state. We study this flow pattern by computing both the correlation lengths and the motion of swirls.

We first compute the spatial correlation from which we extract two characteristic lengths: the oscillation period \( L_e \) and the amplitude decay length \( L_c \). To do so, we take advantage of the lateral confinement and modify the classical two-point velocity correlation function to focus on the variation of the orthogonal flow \( u_\gamma \) along the channel length \( e_\gamma \):

\[
C(s) = \frac{\sum u_\gamma(x, y, t) \cdot u_\gamma(x + s, y, t)}{\sum \| u_\gamma(x, y, t) \|^2},
\]

where \( u_\gamma = u \cdot e_\gamma \), and taking the sum over PIV sub-windows in the bulk of a channel of a full 5 second long movie. \( C \) exhibits decaying oscillations (figure 7(b)), a behaviour that was observed in previous work [48] but, to our knowledge, has not been fully analysed.

Two main approaches have been used to compute the correlation length: either fitting with a decaying exponential [11, 13] or taking the distance at which \( C \) reaches zero or its first minimum [47]. Instead we fit \( C \) with a function that describes both the decay and the oscillations:

\[
C_{\text{fit}} = [Ae^{-s/L_e} + (1 - A)] \cdot \cos(2\pi s/L_c),
\]

where the first term indicates the amplitude decaying over the length \( L_e \) and the cosine term highlights the oscillation period \( L_c \) (swirl size).

Figure 7(a) shows that both \( L_e \) and \( L_c \) increase with the racetrack width and reach a plateau around \( w \approx 85 \mu \text{m} \). This size is comparable with \( w^* = 70 \mu \text{m} \) when streaming ceases and the suspension is able to form full vortices. Moreover values at the plateau, \( L_e^* \approx 70 \mu \text{m} \) and \( L_c^* \approx 90 \mu \text{m} \), are comparable in large racetracks and unconfined 2D chambers (noted \( \infty \) on the graphs).

Even though we also do observe a wave pattern in simulations, the correlation function mostly reflects the domain periodicity.

The bacterial flow patterns then are not static: while the bacteria stream in the channel, the partial swirls they generate travel as well. To quantify this effect we measure the flow pattern motion by the spatial correlation between two time points:
taking the sum over all PIV sub-windows inside the channel and over a full 5 second long movie. $C_{\text{swirl}}$ takes a maximum value at $s^*$ which gives a wave speed: $U_{\text{wave}} = s^* / \Delta t$. We compare this speed to the averaged circulation speed $\langle u_\chi \rangle_{x,y,t}$, and find that the wave travels slightly faster than the bacteria: median $\left( \frac{U_{\text{wave}}}{U_\chi} \right) = 1.2$ (standard error: 0.1). Yet, a more detailed analysis is required to fully understand the dynamics between cell motion and wave propagation in this particular confinement.

4. Discussion

We have shown that confining a dense bacterial suspension into a thin periodic racetrack leads to the spontaneous formation of a stable circulation along it. A similar setup has been previously used to study two different active matter systems: marching locusts [49] and rolling colloidal particles [27]. As for bacteria, collective colloid motion is driven by hydrodynamic interactions, but can result in the formation of travelling waves and density shocks while the bacterial suspension always appears spatially homogeneous.

To understand how the suspension self-organises in racetracks, we have measured the net flow, flow correlation and profile depending on the channel width. We reproduced these results with simulations, revealing the fluid flows generated by the bacteria. Combining these different approaches, we draw a picture in figure 8 of how bacterial motion, hydrodynamic interactions and confinement drive the macroscopic circulation.

Independently of the channel size, bacteria at the PDMS surface move along a given direction while propelling the fluid in the opposite direction, over a distance $Y_u \approx 14 \mu m$. This fluid flow, much faster than the single cell’s swimming speed, reorients and advects cells in the suspension. As a result bulk bacteria are transported against their swimming orientation in a backward motion. Different behaviours then arise for varying channel widths. For thin channels ($w < w^* = 70 \mu m$) the fluid flow on one side reaches the opposite interface and reorients the bacteria such that all cells at the different surfaces move in the opposite direction to the bulk circulation, and give rise to the parabolic, flat and double-peaked profiles (figure 4). Inside the channel, confinement restricts the turbulent bacterial motion such that bacteria form partial swirls that mostly travel with the bacterial stream, without affecting the overall circulation. For intermediate channel widths

![Figure 7. Bacterial flow correlation depending on the channel width w. (a) Measurement of the correlation lengths depending on the racetrack width w. Both oscillation period and amplitude decay length scale reach a plateau state at large width (dashed lines). Points are averaged over at least five movies, recorded on at least two different days. Error bars: standard error. $\infty$: unconfined quasi-2D chambers. (b) Example of a correlation function $C(s)$ experimentally measured and fitted.](image)
(70 < \( w < 90 \, \mu\text{m} \)) the suspension forms full vortices, showing no net circulation (\( \Phi < 0.2 \)). Yet the coordination between opposite sides is not lost but now appears to be anti-correlated (as shown in the sinusoidal flow profiles, figure 4). In particular, vortices in the channels have a preferred rotation direction, either clockwise or counterclockwise, depending on the orientation of the bacteria at the interface. For even larger channels, \( w > 90 \, \mu\text{m} \), the suspension recovers its unconfined turbulent state and no correlation is observed between opposite PDMS walls. However it still remains unclear how the critical length-scale of the vortical macroscopic structures in the collective motion of this bacterium is selected.

Some continuum models of active matter in confinement have previously predicted unidirectional flow or net circulation [21–25]. Ravnik et al [24] found that the bacterial flow in a pipe has a weak (~1%) component along the y and z directions, but with vortex patterns quite different from the wave-like stream we observe here. Fielding et al [22] considered 2D channels of varying width but observed circulation for channels wider than the suspension vortex size, in contradiction with our net flow measurements (figure 3). Neef and Kruse [25] consider active polar fluids in annular domains and see surprisingly similar patterns to ours such as unidirectional streaming, moving or stationary vortices for increasing channel widths in the extensile particle case. Many of the differences between these theoretical predictions may arise from the difficulty of setting realistic boundary conditions and on including both the swimmer-generated fluid flow and bacterial motion in such continuum models.

Despite many previous simulation studies on micro-swimmers in channels or between plates, this specific collective behaviour we report here has not been observed before in experiments or simulations. Menzel [50] performed simulations of self-propelled particles using a Vicsek model with aligning interactions, no hydrodynamics, and observed collective migration along the channel in the form of particle clusters and lanes. Costanzo et al [45] simulated elongated swimmers in a periodic channel, interacting directly and through dipolar-generated fluid flows, but did not observe any collective behaviour and net circulation when external flow was not imposed. One possible explanation is that they computed the hydrodynamic interactions without taking into account boundaries. Here we include mirror images to approximate the PDMS interface which enhances the bulk fluid stream. These small differences between these studies highlight the key roles played not only by the surfaces and the cell-driven flows arising from them but also of the elongated particle shapes that are needed for the swimmers orienting and ordering alongside each other at the wall.

Yet, the behaviour of bacteria in racetracks is reminiscent of some previous experiments and can be understood as a combination of insights deriving from them. Swimming bacteria glued to a surface can coordinate their orientations and create a net flow far from the wall. Darnton et al [51] used this effect to propel small objects while Kim et al [37] used it to turn a microfluidic channel into a bacteria-powered pump. This phenomenon is qualitatively similar to what we observed in the racetracks, except here the bacteria are free and swimming along the surface while creating fluid flow in the bulk. Other studies have shown that the motion of swimmers in micro-channels is affected by an external shear flow [34, 38–41]. In particular, swimmers there are biased to swim against the flow as we also observed in simulations and confirmed with fluorescence labelling.

Finally, the self-organisation in racetracks is comparable to what was observed when bacteria were confined in flattened drops: the bacteria at the interface move in opposite direction to the bulk, which itself is advected by the fluid [14, 15]. In both experiments (drops and racetracks), we found that the net circulation breaks down around 70 \( \mu\text{m} \), the typical size of swirls in unconfined chambers [36] and also the critical diameter of circular drops below which bacterial motion stabilizes into one vortex [14].

Microfluidic channels have been used previously to study the behaviour of micro-swimmers, notably to sort bacteria \( E. \text{coli} \) by length [52] or direct spermatozoa [53, 54] by making use of ratcheting channels. The curves of the micro-channels in those cases are designed to guide the swimmers in one specified direction. Here we used...
straight walls, such that the spontaneously emerging stream can occur in either direction with equal probability. As an extension of our work, one could design a circular channel with ratcheted surfaces to direct the suspension stream in a chosen left or right direction.

Dense bacterial suspensions have been extensively studied without confinement and yet their self-organisation presents new challenges and surprises. The experiments presented here not only give insight into the effect of confinement but also help in understanding the general behaviour of such suspensions. In particular, we have shown that the correlation function could be analysed as two parts: an oscillating and a decaying term. To our knowledge, other studies have described only one of the two, fitting the vortex size or the persistence length. Here confinement into a racetrack stabilises the suspension to form a more regular pattern, emphasising this structure. With the added insight of our work, some previous results could be reinterpreted: for example, Gachelin et al [13] have shown the persistence length of an E. coli suspension to increase with the density, which translates to a slower decay of the correlation function C(s) at higher densities. However the first zero of the C(s) occurred, over all their measurements, at the constant value s* ≈ 55 μm, indicating that the swirl size does not depend on the concentration but an intrinsic length scale associated with the swimming bacteria.

Finally, this confinement setup opens new avenues for the study of collective behaviours in more complex natural or artificial environments, that could include networks of channels, various solid or liquid interfaces, and chemical attractants. Notably, Wilking et al showed B. subtilis biofilms to form channels, on average 90 μm in diameter [4], comparable with our critical width w* ≈ 70 μm. Although the primary role of these channels is to transport liquid and nutrients, they could also direct the migration of single or groups of swimming bacteria. A better understanding of the interactions and how they drive phenomena in these systems can guide us into better control of collective bacterial behaviour and possible use in technological applications.
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