Q1 Thickallman 2014

$$M \stackrel{\times}{\times} + \chi \stackrel{\times}{\times} + K \stackrel{\times}{\times} = \S(l)$$

 $M \stackrel{\times}{\times} + \chi \stackrel{\times}{\times} + K \stackrel{\times}{\times} = \S(l)$
 $M \stackrel{\times}{\times} + \chi \stackrel{\times}{\times} = \S(l)$
 $M \stackrel{\times}{\times} + \chi \stackrel{\times}{\times} = \S(l)$
 $(1) \stackrel{\times}{\times} - K \stackrel{\times}{\times} + K \stackrel{\times}{\times} = \S(l)$
 $(1) \stackrel{\times}{\times} + K \stackrel{\times}{\times} = \S(l)$
 $\chi(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi(l) e^{-2\pi i f t} dt$
 $\frac{d}{dt} [\chi(t)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi(l) e^{-2\pi i f t} dt$
 $\frac{d}{dt} [\chi(t)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi(l) e^{-2\pi i f t} dt$
 $\frac{d}{dt} [\chi(l)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi(l) e^{-2\pi i f t} dt$
 $\frac{d}{dt} \chi(l)$
 $= -2\pi i f \chi(l)$
 $\Rightarrow former from from f(l)$
 $\chi(-2\pi i f \chi(f) + K \chi(f)) = \S(f)$
 $\chi^{2}(-2\pi i f \chi(f) + \kappa^{2} \chi(l)) = [\S(f)]^{2}$
 $4\pi^{2} g^{2} [\chi(f)] [f^{2} + \frac{\kappa^{2}}{4\pi^{2} g^{2}}] = 4ghT$
 $= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi(f) = [f^{2} + \frac{\kappa^{2}}{4\pi^{2} g^{2}}] = 4ghT$
 $\frac{\pi^{2} \chi(f)^{2} [f^{2} + \frac{\kappa^{2}}{4\pi^{2} g^{2}}] = 4ghT$
 $\stackrel{\times}{=} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi(f) = [\pi^{2} \chi(f)^{2} + \frac{\kappa}{2} \chi(f)] = [g^{2} (f)]^{2}$
 $\frac{\chi_{1}}{4\pi^{2} g^{2} [\chi(f)]} [f^{2} + \frac{\kappa^{2}}{4\pi^{2} g^{2}}] = 4ghT$
 $\stackrel{\times}{=} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi(f) = [\chi(f)]^{2} = \frac{LT}{\pi^{2} \chi(f)^{2} (f^{2} + f^{2})}$
 $\frac{\chi_{2}}{4\pi^{2} g^{2} (f)^{2} (f^{2} + f^{2})} = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi^{2} g^{2} (f^{2} + f^{2})}$

Michaelman 2014
Q2
Fore or Diprie withoputtic field:

$$F = \nabla \times (B \times \mu)$$

$$F = (\mu \cdot \nabla)B - (\nabla \cdot B)\mu = (\mu \cdot \nabla)B$$
For stationary Magnetic fields $\nabla xB = 0$
 $\mu = \text{constant}$
 $(\mu \cdot \nabla)B_{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \mu_{i} \partial_{i} B_{e} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \mu_{i} \partial_{e} B_{i} = [\nabla (\mu \cdot B)]_{e}$
 $\partial_{i} B_{e} = \partial_{e} B_{i}$
 $F = \nabla (\mu \cdot B)$
Fore along $E = F_{2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial_{2}} (\mu \cdot B)_{2}$
as with Lethers
 $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \int_{i=1}^{3} F_{2}$
 $h = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \mu_{i} \partial_{i} B_{e} = -\mu_{oni} h$
 $Every f = -\mu_{oni} h$
 $Every f = -\mu_{oni} h$
 $K = \frac{\mu_{i}T}{(5 \times 2)^{2}}$
Fore cliques on writing fore h cashe is free
ca to determined by $\langle \delta x^{2} \rangle$

$$(1) from lecture (NULLON)
E(R) = $\left(\frac{\log TA}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\pi}{2R}\right) = 90^{\circ} bad$

$$Complete loop
E(R) = \frac{\log TA\pi}{R} = 360^{\circ} bad$$

$$applied force f$$

$$\Rightarrow E(R) = \frac{\log TA\pi}{R} + 2\pi Rf$$

$$work against outbidd
force
(11)
$$\frac{dE(R)}{dR} = 0 = -\frac{\log TA\pi}{R^2} + 2\pi f$$

$$= R^{*} = (\log TA/2f)$$

$$f = 1 pN, A = 50 mm, F = 300K = 3$$

$$2\pi R \approx 60 mm$$

(11)
Torvingel lange$$$$

$$E_{T} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mu_{a} T (\theta^{2})}{L} = \frac{2}{2} \frac{\mu_{a} T C (2\pi n)^{2}}{L}$$

$$\Delta E_{T} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mu_{n} T (4\pi^{2} ((n+\eta)^{2} - n^{2}))}{L}$$
for soning from $n \Rightarrow n+1$ turns
$$\approx 2\pi^{2} \mu_{a} T C (2n)/L$$
for large n



Michaelman 204



Michaelman 2014





dp(t) = - h(t) p(t) + h+(t)(A-p(t)) dt = - h(t) p(t) + h+(t)(A-p(t)) h+ = 0 dhe - b 3 separative of proteins prevents reformation of Lond!

molecular with le much faster than experiment $\Rightarrow Le(E) \rightarrow Le(F), k_{+}=0$

$$f \frac{dp(F)}{dF} = -k(F)p(F)$$

$$h(F) = h(F) =$$

Michaelman 2014

26 r>>> POISSON and STOKES $2 \frac{d^2 v}{dx^2} = \epsilon_0 \epsilon_r \frac{d^2 \psi}{dx^2} E$ U, Er, E constant n div = EOEr dy E+A potentical n' channel 2 [v-] N= E. E. [Y] E + AX (no slip houded) Zeh pluy flow profile vo A = O since we need that there is no flow with no fuild! V=0, E=0! N= 0 sure lange dame! n vo = for Ye-g7E U. = - COERSE this Debye Cayo limit, flor is and determined by charge a suitace and not by radiis (see question). if r= 20H por site should matter!

Dr. Ulrich F. Keyser - ufk20 (at) cam.ac.uk

Soft Matter and Biological Physics

Michaelmas 2014 Question 7 (1) Stoke - Einstein KBT = D will B=9N6 we get D = hot and since be can ignore RNG the interaction with the jel we get for the time I to diffure Nb $N^2 b^2 = D \Sigma$ =7 $\chi = \frac{N^2 b^2}{D} = \frac{2Nb}{k_R T} N^2 b^2 = 2 \frac{N^3 b^3}{k_R T}$ put in mumbers 12 = 30,000. 0.34 nm = 10 pm b = 100 nm (Kuhn syment) => 100.100 mm = N.6= L => 2 = 2 40 s



and manify in X - direction => project $\Delta s \cdot E => F_N = \frac{Q}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} E \cdot \Delta s_j = \frac{QE}{L} \sum_{j=1}^{L} \Delta s_j$ $\Rightarrow F_{N,X} = \frac{QE}{L} R_X = \beta_{DNA} V = \beta_{DNA} s_j$

 $F_{N,x}$ is the total axial force on the chain in dirichian of E. So the relation of the chain above its contour is $\dot{s} = \frac{RER_{x}}{BL}$ (*)

We need now the movement of the centre of mans: MRcm = Zmiri 1=2 MAS:

Will mans per unit length $m_i = H \Delta s_i$ and using that it segment is $\dot{\tau}_i = \dot{s} \dot{\tau}_i$ $K = cm = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (H \Delta s_i \dot{s} \dot{\tau}_i)$ $\dot{R} = cm = \frac{\dot{s}}{L} \Sigma \dot{\tau}_i \cdot \Delta s_i = \frac{\dot{s}}{L} R$ We give want the movement wix $\Rightarrow \quad \dot{x}_{em} = \frac{s}{L} R_{x}$ to get $V_{d, \mathcal{H}}$ we averal and use (\mathcal{X}) (alcound) $\begin{aligned} &\dot{x}_{em} = \frac{s}{L} R_{x} \\ &= \frac{s}{L} R_{x} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL} R_{x} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL} R_{x} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \Rightarrow \langle \dot{x}_{em} \rangle - \frac{q}{BL^{2}} \\ &= \frac{q$

at some voltage i

$$V du \mu = \frac{F}{NbN} = 3 33.10^{-5} \text{ m}$$

 $L = 25,000 \text{ bp} = 8.5 \text{ pm}$
 $\Rightarrow L_{25 \text{ hb}} = 12 \text{ cm} \text{ m} 1 \text{ how}$

Dr. Ulrich F. Keyser - ufk20 (at) cam.ac.uk

Question 7: Polymers in Confinement

Solution:

(i) The electrostatic potential in the channel is V(x) = -Ex so we can easily write down the energy for the molecule when entering the channel is

$$\Delta U(x) = -\rho E \int_0^x x dx = -\frac{1}{2}\rho E x^2$$

i believe that we do not have to mention that U(x = 0) = 0 as a boundary condition. This is of course a major simplification as there will be a finite electric field outside of the channel due to the access resistance.

(ii) First explain the sign: the DNA has to be straight inside the channel so fewer configurations are available so entropy is lower in this state with $\Delta S < 0$.

Dependence on x: entropy is an extensive quantity and therefore proportional to the length L of the strand. Since the DNA in the cavity has a fixed configuration (i.e. no entropy), we have $S = S(L)(1 - x/L \text{ so obviously } \Delta S \propto -x$. Well in principle this explains also the sign. I believe it is good to split this into two discussions - but do as you see fit.

Remark: In the event that there are questions about entropy as extensive quantity for WLC polymers ... The number of possible configurations will be

$$Z_N = (\text{No. of configs/link1})(\text{No. of configs/link2})...(\text{No. of configs/linkN}) = (\text{const})^N$$

So we get for the entropy

$$S = k_B \ln(Z_N) = N k_B \ln(\text{const}) \Leftrightarrow S \propto N$$

(iii) Now we can write down ΔG as was asked in the question as

$$\Delta G = -\frac{1}{2}\rho Ex^2 + \gamma Tx$$

A sketch will look like the plot shown below. Of course the position of the barrier will depend on all parameters as expected from the question. Calculate the stationary points of ΔG :

$$\frac{d\Delta G}{dx} = -\rho E x_c = \gamma T = 0$$
$$x_c = \frac{\gamma T}{\rho E}$$

This is expected since at higher fields the 'barrier'/maximum gets closer and closer to the channel entrance - and smaller of course. No we can get the height:

$$\Delta G^* = \Delta G(x_c) = \frac{\gamma^2 T^2}{2\rho E}$$

(iv) As T is increased the entropic energy cost for reaching the transition state increases while the electrostatic energy remains unchanged. In other words, the entropic chain gets stiffer with increasing temperature resisting the pulling force.

Michaelmas 2014 Question 9

stive pulse sensing: [Bookwork] label. Fre detaction detection of molecule by AI. detection (init given by diameter lensk of nanopor [3] Calculate Resistance [Partly Boolevork] Total respirance à 2 times apper come . variation of radius along 2: $d(z) = r + 2 \frac{(Rr)}{p}$ Resultance : $\mathcal{R} = 2S \left(\frac{e}{A(z)} dz \right)$ 2.0 $= \frac{28}{1} \int dt \frac{1}{(r+2(r-r))^2}$ $= 2S \left[- \frac{e}{r+2(r-r)} \right]$ $= 2 \frac{ge}{\pi} \left[-\frac{1}{(e-r)r + (e-r)^2} + \frac{1}{(e-r)r} \right]$ $= 2 \frac{se}{\pi} \frac{1}{rR} \left[\frac{-rR+r^2+R^2-rR}{(R-r)^2} \right]$ $\mathcal{R}_{r} = 2 \frac{se}{\pi} \frac{1}{rR} \bullet \bullet$ Ohm's law j= I = U/R Arca = Arca I [partly booward] [6] has to be $f_{o \rightarrow e} = \frac{\frac{4}{2} \frac{2}{3e}}{\pi \left(r + 2 \left(\frac{R \cdot r}{e}\right)\right)^2} \frac{URr}{2\pi \left(r + 2 \left(\frac{R \cdot r}{e}\right)\right)^2 Se}$ Split now. for o->e C-222



 $bt e^{-2e^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\int T\left(R+(2-e)\left(\frac{r-R}{e}\right)\right)^{2}dz}$ 3/3 $\frac{\pi e}{\mu(r-R)} \frac{3}{3} \left[r^3 - R^3 \right]$ $\Rightarrow \Delta t = \Delta t_{0-e} + \Delta t_{0-1e} \sim \frac{2\pi l}{3} \int \left[r^3 - r^3 \right]$ L'NEW Level - por - molecule interactions [6] micreane At - entropic pamei for entering & could hangeore not accounted for [2] L>>e - conclation between two poses possible . 3/2 ho relaxation before 2nd hamlocatia [27

Michaelmas 2014 Question 10

change is free energy AG proton = let lu (cin / cout) + e 4m to make on ATP molecule DG ADP-DATP = DG + lent (h (CATP / c) - h (CADP / c) - h (CP/c)) (I use at > 0 mice this is stored energy, o 6° -0 also possible) total change in free energy OG + + NOG poben + OGADP-ATP For a filling revemble process & G tot =0 =) so combining all from above yields AG° = - N (hat ha (cmi/cours) te Ym) - hat (h(CATP /c°) - h (cap/c°) - h (4/c°)) = hot h (can cappop / cin capp C°) - Ne Ym (ii) irreversible movem AGHT <0 redo Calculation AG° < LegT la (course App Cp / Cm (me 1°) - Netin The answe m'(i) is an upper bound. This is inhistice mice some energy is lost in the moren due to dissipation to les langes stard in ATP

Michaelmas 2014 Question 11

Lipid membrine provides scaffold for motor · and provide barrier for iou/proton. Membrane potentical and proton gradient are Used to create votary motion, Energy = Stople [4] [bookwork] Ux formula Spip= est-lest & <u>FHitz</u> $\Delta \mu_p = 0 \Rightarrow \mu_s T R_n \frac{\Sigma H_0^{-1} J}{\Sigma U_0^{-1} T} = e \Delta T$ [Hot] = e that . [H;+] = e - 4.65 [A;+] = 1 14. 10 14 =>[45]=97. 3 [parting bookwork] Rotation direction Find & G G+, G-P= 0.01 T= 37°C P-= 0.99 P- = e AG/4ET. --> [3] AG.≈. 4.6 hat ≈ A. 9: - 10]