Particle Diffusion in a Quasi-Two-Dimensional Bacterial Bath
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We study the effect of bacterial motion on micron-scale beads in a freely suspended soap film. Given the sizes of bacteria and beads, the geometry of the experiment is quasi-two-dimensional. Large positional fluctuations are observed for beads as large as 10 \( \mu \)m in diameter, and the measured mean-square displacements indicate superdiffusion in short times and normal diffusion in long times. Though the phenomenon is similar to Brownian motions of small particles, its physical origin is different and can be attributed to the collective dynamics of bacteria.

The incessant motion of particles in a fluid is due to multiple collisions of the particles with the surrounding fluid molecules. In this classical picture of Brownian motion both the particles and fluid molecules are passive, driven by thermal fluctuations. In this paper we study a new situation of two types of particles in a fluid, but one of them is active and the other passive. Explicitly, we study the motion of micron-scale beads in a bath of bacteria. The problem is biologically relevant in that motility of microorganisms not only reflects their survival strategies, resulting from millions of years of evolution, but also helps maintain the ecological balance by active transport of nutrients in aqueous environments. A concentrated population of swimming bacteria is also a nonlinear dynamical system, exhibiting spatiotemporal patterns that are of interest to physical scientists.

A particular feature of our experiment is that the geometry is quasi-two-dimensional; the beads and bacteria coexist in a freely suspended horizontal soap film. The use of such a film significantly reduces hydrodynamic dissipation to its surroundings, as the shear viscosity of air is only 1/100 of the film. One observes that large beads fluctuate wildly with the mean-square displacement (MSD) displaying two regimes in time. For short times \( t < t_C \), the MSD is superdiffusive, whereas for long times \( t > t_C \), normal diffusion is recovered. Though the effect appears to be similar to the underdamped to overdamped transition in Brownian systems, the crossover in our experiment is not due to the particles’ inertia. Rather it is a result of transient formations of coherent structures, swirls and jets, in the bacterial bath. The \( t_C \) is thus the lifetime of these coherent structures and is found to increase linearly with the bacterial density \( n \). If an effective temperature \( T_{eff} \) would be assigned to the motion of the beads, it follows from the Stokes-Einstein relation that \( T_{eff} \) is 2–3 orders of magnitude larger than room temperature [2].

The bacteria used were a wildtype Escherichia coli (RP437), which have been studied extensively for their chemotactic behavior [3–5]. The cell body is typically 1 \( \mu \)m in diameter and 2–3 \( \mu \)m in length. The bacteria swim by rotating several flagella at a frequency of about 100 Hz. To facilitate video imaging and tracking, the bacteria were transformed to carry a plasmid which expressed green fluorescence proteins (GFP) [6]. The bacteria were grown using a standard protocol, washed, and resuspended in a motility medium [5]. The stock solution contained \( n = 5.4 \times 10^{10} \) cells \( / \)cm\(^3\), corresponding to 10% in volume. Other concentrations were obtained by dilution with the motility solution along with a trace amount of polystyrene (PS) spheres.

In order to draw a stable film, surfactant IGEPAL (Sigma) was added to each sample. This is a nonionic surfactant with a critical micellar concentration (cmc) \( \phi_c = 0.83 \) mM. Test runs with 0.01 < \( \phi < 100 \) cmc indicated that IGEPAL had no discernible effect on bacterial swimming either in the bulk or in the film. In the measurements therefore \( \phi = \phi_c \) was kept constant for all samples. A soap film \( (0.4 \times 0.4 \) cm\(^2\)\) seeded with PS particles was supported on four thin glass fibers and maintained in a humidity chamber. Figure 1 is a schematic of the chamber along with a fluorescence image of the film containing 10 \( \mu \)m PS beads and the bacteria. The finite exposure time (0.03 s) caused the tracks of the bacteria to be somewhat smeared but not those of the beads. The film thickness was approximately the diameter of the beads, as confirmed by focusing the microscope at different depths in the film.

Phase contrast microscopy was used to follow the motion of the PS beads. The images were digitized and analyzed using a PC. To better characterize the motion of the beads, the velocity distribution of the bacteria was also measured. The GFPs incorporated in E. coli cells made their visualization simple by fluorescence microscopy. A series of images, 1/30 s apart, allowed swimming velocity of individual bacteria to be measured and the velocity distribution \( \langle v \rangle \) calculated. Experimentally \( \langle v \rangle \) was found to obey the Maxwell’s distribution, \( \langle v \rangle = \frac{3 k_B}{m \nu_0^2} \exp(-\frac{v^2}{\nu_0^2}) \), with \( \nu_0 = 20 \) \( \mu \)m/s.

Visual observation showed that for small densities bacteria tended to swim more smoothly in the film than in the
bulk fluid; i.e., they did not tumble as frequently. This was partly due to the restricted space of the film and partly to the nutritional condition of the medium. In high densities, however, collisions between bacteria and hydrodynamic dispersion randomized their swimming directions, yielding a velocity distribution that was identical to that of an ideal gas. A striking observation was that the positions of the beads, as large as 10 μm in diameter, fluctuated strongly as delineated in Figs. 2(A) and 2(B). This is in sharp contrast with Brownian motion of these particles that showed no discernible motion in the absence of bacteria. Particle tracks, such as those in Fig. 2, allowed the MSD to be calculated:

\[
\langle \Delta r^2(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \left[ \langle \vec{r}_i(t + \tau) \rangle - \langle \vec{r}_i(\tau) \rangle \right]^2.
\]

Here both the time and the particle averages were used, with \( N \) and \( i \) being the number and the index of individual beads. In typical measurements ten to a hundred particle tracks were followed up to 5 min.

Figure 2(C) shows a set of measurements with two different beads of diameters \( 2R = 4.5 \) and 10 μm, but with the same bacterial concentration \( n \sim 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3} \). As can be seen, the data were extensive, spanning several decades in time. The two measurements gave nearly identical trends, despite different particles used. For short times \((0.03 < t < 1 \text{ s})\), diffusion appeared to be anomalous with \( \langle \Delta r^2(t) \rangle \propto t^\alpha \), where \( 1.5 < \alpha < 2.0 \). For long times \((10 < t < 300 \text{ s})\), however, the data were consistent with normal diffusion, with \( \alpha = 1.0 \). The above measurements suggested that there was certain persistence in the particle motion induced by bacterial bombardments in short times. This was consistent with the visual observation [see Fig. 2(A)] which showed that particle tracks consisted of straight segments of different lengths, indicative of ballisticlike motion. For sufficient long times [see Fig. 2(B)], particle tracks were randomized, giving rise to Brownian-like behavior.

Heuristically, one can define an effective long-time diffusion coefficient \( D_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2} \delta \langle \Delta r^2(\tau) \rangle / \delta \tau \), where the finite time difference \( \delta \tau \) is taken to be the longest time of the measurements, 200 < \( \tau \) < 300 s. In this case one finds \( D_{\text{eff}} \approx 1.0 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s} \) and \( 4.3 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s} \) for the 4.5 and 10 μm beads, respectively. This observation allows us to draw a few interesting conclusions: (i) It suggests that \( D_{\text{eff}} \approx 1/R \), which has the same \( R \) dependence as the Stokes-Einstein relation, \( D_T = k_B T / 6 \pi \eta R \), where \( \eta \approx 0.01 \) poise is the viscosity of the fluid. Such a result is expected for a system at thermal equilibrium, but not for a nonequilibrium system such as ours. (ii) Since in a previous experiment it was shown that the thermal diffusion coefficient for the beads in the film is of the same order of magnitude as that in the corresponding bulk fluid [7], it follows from the Stokes-Einstein relation that...
$D_T \sim 10^{-9} \text{cm}^2/\text{s}$ for both particles. This implies that the effective temperature of the bacterial bath is about 100 times greater than room temperature.

We next turned our attention to the effects of bacterial concentration $n$ on the motion of 10 $\mu$m beads. It was observed that as $n$ increases the particle motion became more energetic. Inspection of background motion of bacteria with a large $n$ revealed intermittent large-scale flows in the form of swirls and occasionally jets. The typical scale of the swirls was roughly 10–20 $\mu$m. Figure 3 shows a set of measurements with $n = 0.67, 1.34$, and $5.35 \times 10^{10} \text{cm}^{-3}$. On this log-log plot the MSD data are again rather similar for different $n$, consisting of two different regimes as in Fig. 2(C). The most noticeable feature of the plot is a remarkable increase of the characteristic time $t_C$ (see vertical arrows), which marks the crossover from the short- to the long-time regimes as $n$ increases. Correspondingly, there is a length scale $\ell_C(= \sqrt{\langle \Delta r^2(t_C) \rangle})$ which also increases with $n$. For the highest concentration, $t_C \approx 2 \text{s}$ and $\ell_C \approx 10 \mu$m. The latter is comparable to the swirl size observed visually.

A simple calculation shows that even for 10 $\mu$m beads the short-time persistent motion cannot be due to the particles’ inertia. This is because their motion is strongly damped in the viscous fluid with a typical damping time $t_0 = m/\gamma \approx 10^{-5} \text{s}$, too short to be seen in the measurement. Here $m$ is the particle mass and $\gamma = 6 \pi \eta R$ is the frictional coefficient. Our observations therefore suggest that forces on the beads are not random but correlated over certain spatial and temporal scales. Such correlations must be related to the spontaneous formation of swirls in the bacterial bath. To model dynamic fluctuations of the beads, we wrote a simple Langevin-type equation with the “collisional force” that is exponentially correlated in time:

$$m \frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} = -\gamma \vec{v} + \vec{f}(t), \quad (1)$$

$$\langle \vec{f}(t) \rangle = 0, \quad (2)$$

$$\langle \vec{f}(0) \cdot \vec{f}(t) \rangle = \frac{4D\gamma^2}{t_C} \exp(-t/t_C), \quad (3)$$

where $D$ is an effective diffusion coefficient of a test particle immersed in the bacterial bath, and $t_C$ is the lifetime of the swirls. From Eqs. (1)–(3), the particle velocity autocorrelation function could be derived in the limit $t_C \gg t_0$,

$$\langle \vec{v}(t) \cdot \vec{v}(0) \rangle = \langle v(0)^2 \rangle \exp(-t/t_C), \quad (4)$$

where $\langle v(0)^2 \rangle = 2D/t_C$. The diffusion of the test particle evolving according to the Langevin dynamics can be calculated if the velocity autocorrelation function is known [8]. This yields for our system

$$\langle \Delta r^2(t) \rangle = 4D[1 - \exp(-t/t_C)]. \quad (5)$$

It is evident from Eq. (5) that particle motion exhibits two different asymptotic regimes. For $t \ll t_C$, the motion is ballistic like with $\langle \Delta r^2(t) \rangle \approx \frac{D}{t_C} t^2$, whereas for $t \gg t_C$ it is diffusive with $\langle \Delta r^2(t) \rangle \approx D t$. Using $t_C$ and $D$ as adjustable parameters, the data in Fig. 3 could be fit reasonably well using the above simple model except at very small times. The quality of the fit could also be shown by plotting $\langle \Delta r^2(t) \rangle/4Dt_C$ vs $t/t_C$ for different $n$. In this case a universal curve $f(x) = x(1 - e^{-x})$ should result and was indeed observed as delineated in the inset in Fig. 3. Since no clear $t^2$ regime was observed, our measurement thus did not rule out the possibility that $\alpha$ in short times could be somewhat less than 2. The above fitting procedure allowed us to extract $D$ and $t_C$ as a function of $n$. To a good approximation, both $D$ and $t_C$ were found to depend linearly on $n$ as shown respectively by the circles and the squares in Fig. 4. One could also calculate the rms distance of the particles in time $t_C$, $\ell_C = \sqrt{\langle \Delta r^2(t_C) \rangle}$, which is a crude measure of the size of the coherent structures in the flow. In this case, $\ell_C$ was also found to increase linearly with $n$ as delineated by the triangles in Fig. 4. Since by definition $v_{\text{rms}}(\equiv \langle v(0)^2 \rangle^{1/2}) = \sqrt{2D/t_C}$, one expects that $v_{\text{rms}}$ of the particle is independent of $n$. This was found to be approximately the case, and numerically $v_{\text{rms}} \approx 5.0 \pm 1.5 \mu$m/s. Constancy of $v_{\text{rms}}$ also implied $\ell_C = v_{\text{rms}}t_C$, which we checked by plotting $\ell_C$ vs $t_C$ as shown in the inset in Fig. 4. A linear regression to the data gave the slope $v_{\text{rms}} = 6.2 \mu$m/s, which is consistent with the calculation using $v_{\text{rms}} = \sqrt{2D/t_C}$.

This experiment raises some interesting questions concerning the physics of an array of self-propelling objects and their interactions with passive particles. One of the intriguing questions is how the energy is distributed among different members of the system. Since the $v_{\text{rms}}$ of the
beads in the experiment was independent of \( n \), \( v_{\text{rms}} \) must be a simple function of the velocity of bacteria \( v_0 \) and some geometrical factors of the bacteria and the beads. In general one may write \( v_{\text{rms}}^2 / v_0^2 = (a/R)^\beta \), where \( a \) is some effective radius of the bacteria. This property is expected for Brownian particles in thermal equilibrium, since in this case equipartition of energy does not apply to our system and the solvent molecules share the same amount of energy \( k_B T \). Thus the mean-square velocity ratio must be inversely proportional to the mass ratio, giving \( \beta = 3 \). For our experiment, since \( D_{\text{eff}} \sim v_{\text{rms}}^2 t_C \sim 1/R \) and \( t_C \) is expected to be a property of the background fluid and the bacteria (not that of the beads), it suggests \( \beta = 1 \). This observation therefore indicates that the simple mechanism of equipartition of energy does not apply to our system and that hydrodynamic interactions must play a significant role.

Another intriguing issue is the spontaneous organization of bacteria to form swirls and jets that are many times their body size. Certain bacteria are known to swim up or down gradients formed by chemicals (chemotaxis), light (phototaxis), or temperature (thermotaxis). The average unidirectional motion of the bacteria when coupled to the gravitational field can cause instabilities akin to Rayleigh-Bénard convection in a layer of fluid heated from below. Such phenomena, termed bioconvection, have been studied extensively [1]. In our system, however, there was no identifiable gradient. The small film thickness \( h = 10 \mu \text{m} \), which gave an effective Rayleigh number \( \text{Ra} = n v (\Delta \rho ) \rho v_{\lambda b} / \kappa \sim 1 \), also seemed to rule out the possibility of a gravity-driven instability. Here, \( w \) and \( D_0 \) are, respectively, the volume and the diffusivity of a bacterium, \( \Delta \rho \) is the mass density difference between the bacteria and the fluid, \( g \) is the gravitational constant, and \( \nu \) is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid. In the above estimate \( \Delta \rho / \rho = 0.3 \), and \( D_0 = v_0^2 \tau = 4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s} \), with \( \tau = 1 \text{ s} \) being the average run time of the bacteria [4]. It is possible, however, that coherent structures formed by swimming bacteria can result from their volume exclusion and hydrodynamic correlation. Such effects have been exploited recently using computer simulations [9] and a spin model [10].

In our view, beads in a bacterial bath represent a new dynamic system with much of its statistical and hydrodynamic properties yet to be explored. It bears a number of features in common with colloidal suspensions and granular flows where collective behaviors are the hallmark of their dynamics [11,12]. In terms of hydrodynamics, the bacterial bath may also shed light on an outstanding question concerning the nature of long-wavelength velocity fluctuations when a fluid is stirred at small scales by a random force field [13]. Based on general physical grounds Saffman predicted that the energy spectrum \( E(k) \) should scale as \( k^{d-1} \), where \( d \) is the spatial dimension of the system [14]. This result is believed to be robust [13] and works even for \( \text{Re} \ll 1 \). However, there have been very few experiments that were able to create forcing at small enough scales to test this result. It remains an intriguing possibility that one can use genetic or nutritional means to alter the swimming patterns of the bacteria and thus yield different forcing characteristics.
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[6] The plasmid expresses the GFP of the jellyfish Aequorea [see H. Morise et al., Biochemistry 13, 2656 (1997)]. The GFP gene is under control of a Luc promoter and the plasmid is ampicillin resistant.