

Mathematical Tripos Part IB: Lent 2018

Numerical Analysis – Lecture 13¹

Pivoting Naive LU factorization fails when, for example, $A_{1,1} = 0$. The remedy is to exchange rows of A , a technique called *pivoting*. Specifically, at the k 'th step of the algorithm we look for another row $p \geq k$ such that the entry $(A_{k-1})_{p,k}$ is nonzero. We permute rows p and k and proceed. The algorithm with pivoting can thus be written as follows:

- Let $A_0 = A$.
- For $k = 1, \dots, n$: find $p \geq k$ such that $(A_{k-1})_{p,k} \neq 0$. Let P_k be the permutation matrix² that swaps positions k and p . Let \mathbf{u}_k^\top be the k 'th row of $P_k A_{k-1}$ and \mathbf{l}_k be $\frac{1}{(P_k A_{k-1})_{k,k}} \times (k\text{'th column of } P_k A_{k-1})$. Set $A_k = P_k A_{k-1} - \mathbf{l}_k \mathbf{u}_k^\top$.

If we unroll the algorithm we have $A_1 = P_1 A_0 - \mathbf{l}_1 \mathbf{u}_1^\top$, $A_2 = P_2 P_1 A - P_2 \mathbf{l}_1 \mathbf{u}_1^\top - \mathbf{l}_2 \mathbf{u}_2^\top$, etc. and at the end, since $A_n = 0$ (and P_n the identity matrix):

$$P_{n-1} \cdots P_1 A = \tilde{\mathbf{l}}_1 \mathbf{u}_1^\top + \cdots + \tilde{\mathbf{l}}_n \mathbf{u}_n^\top \quad (5.2)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{l}}_k = P_{n-1} \cdots P_{k+1} \mathbf{l}_k$. Note that the first $k-1$ components of $\tilde{\mathbf{l}}_k$ are zero since this is the case for \mathbf{l}_k and since the permutations P_{k+1}, \dots, P_{n-1} only permute components of index $\geq k+1$. Therefore, Equation (5.2) can be rewritten as:

$$PA = \tilde{L}U$$

where $P = P_{n-1} \cdots P_1$ is a permutation matrix, and $\tilde{L} = [\mathbf{l}_1 \ \dots \ \mathbf{l}_n]$ is unit lower triangular, and U is upper triangular.

There is one situation where the algorithm above can still fail: this if for some k , *all* the entries in the k 'th column of A_{k-1} are zero. In this case one can choose \mathbf{l}_k to be the vector with a 1 at position k and zero elsewhere, and choose \mathbf{u}_k^\top to be the k 'th row of A_{k-1} , and $P_k = I$ (identity matrix). With this choice, the first k rows and columns of $A_k = A_{k-1} - \mathbf{l}_k \mathbf{u}_k^\top$ become zero as desired (this is not the only choice of $P_k, \mathbf{l}_k, \mathbf{u}_k$ that works in this case; other choices are possible).

We have thus shown that for any matrix A (even singular) one can find a permutation matrix P such that PA has an LU factorization.

Pivoting is not only important to find an element that is nonzero, but also for the overall numerical stability of the algorithm. A common choice of pivot p is to take $p \geq k$ such that $|(A_{k-1})_{p,k}|$ is maximum. This ensures in particular that the entries of \mathbf{l}_k are all bounded above by 1 in magnitude.

Symmetric matrices Let A be an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix (i.e., $A_{k,\ell} = A_{\ell,k}$). An analogue of LU factorization that takes advantage of symmetry consists in expressing A in the form of the product LDL^\top , where L is $n \times n$ lower triangular, with ones on its diagonal and D is a diagonal matrix. This is a special case of an LU factorization with $U = DL^\top$. If we let $\mathbf{l}_1, \dots, \mathbf{l}_n$ be the columns of L then this factorization takes the form $A = \sum_{k=1}^n D_{k,k} \mathbf{l}_k \mathbf{l}_k^\top$. To compute this factorization, we can use an algorithm very similar to the one for the computation of LU factorization (without pivoting): Set $A_0 = A$ and for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ let \mathbf{l}_k be the multiple of the k th column of A_{k-1} such that $L_{k,k} = 1$. Set $D_{k,k} = (A_{k-1})_{k,k}$ and form $A_k = A_{k-1} - D_{k,k} \mathbf{l}_k \mathbf{l}_k^\top$.

Example Let $A = A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 4 & 11 \end{bmatrix}$. Hence $\mathbf{l}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $D_{1,1} = 2$ and

$$A_1 = A_0 - D_{1,1} \mathbf{l}_1 \mathbf{l}_1^\top = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 4 & 11 \end{bmatrix} - 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We deduce that $\mathbf{l}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $D_{2,2} = 3$ and $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

¹Corrections and suggestions to these notes should be emailed to h.fawzi@damp.cam.ac.uk.

²A permutation matrix is a matrix with exactly one 1 in each row and in each column; the remaining entries being 0. For example $P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is a permutation matrix and PA exchanges the two rows of A .

Symmetric positive definite matrices Recall: A is positive definite if $\mathbf{x}^\top A \mathbf{x} > 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$.

Theorem Let A be a real $n \times n$ symmetric matrix. It is positive definite if and only if it has an LDL^\top factorization in which the diagonal elements of D are all positive.

Proof. Suppose that $A = LDL^\top$ and let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Since L is nonsingular (it is lower triangular and all diagonal elements are equal to 1), $\mathbf{y} := L^\top \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$. Then $\mathbf{x}^\top A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}^\top D \mathbf{y} = \sum_{k=1}^n D_{k,k} y_k^2 > 0$, hence A is positive definite.

Conversely, suppose that A is positive definite. We wish to demonstrate that an LDL^\top factorization exists. We denote by $\mathbf{e}_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the k th unit vector. Hence $\mathbf{e}_1^\top A \mathbf{e}_1 = A_{1,1} > 0$ and \mathbf{l}_1 & $D_{1,1}$ are well defined. We now show that $(A_{k-1})_{k,k} > 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. This is true for $k = 1$ and we continue by induction, assuming that $A_{k-1} = A - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} D_{j,j} \mathbf{l}_j \mathbf{l}_j^\top$ has been computed successfully.

Define $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as the solution of the following system of equations: $\mathbf{l}_j^\top \mathbf{x} = 0$, $j = 1, \dots, k-1$, $x_k = 1$ and $x_j = 0$ for $j = k+1, \dots, n$. This is a system of n linear equations in the unknown $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The matrix of this system of equations is upper triangular with ones on the diagonal hence it is invertible and our system has a unique solution. Now observe that since the first $k-1$ rows & columns of A_{k-1} vanish, and since $x_k = 1$ and the components $k+1, \dots, n$ of \mathbf{x} vanish we have $(A_{k-1})_{k,k} = \mathbf{x}^\top A_{k-1} \mathbf{x}$. Thus, from the definition of A_{k-1} and the choice of \mathbf{x} ,

$$(A_{k-1})_{k,k} = \mathbf{x}^\top A_{k-1} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^\top \left(A - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} D_{j,j} \mathbf{l}_j \mathbf{l}_j^\top \right) \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^\top A \mathbf{x} - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} D_{j,j} (\mathbf{l}_j^\top \mathbf{x})^2 = \mathbf{x}^\top A \mathbf{x} > 0,$$

as required. Hence $(A_{k-1})_{k,k} > 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and the factorization exists. \square

Conclusion It is possible to check if a symmetric matrix is positive definite by trying to form its LDL^\top factorization.

Cholesky factorization Define $D^{1/2}$ as the diagonal matrix whose (k,k) element is $D_{k,k}^{1/2}$, hence $D^{1/2} D^{1/2} = D$. Then, A being positive definite, we can write

$$A = (LD^{1/2})(D^{1/2}L^\top) = (LD^{1/2})(LD^{1/2})^\top.$$

In other words, letting $\tilde{L} := LD^{1/2}$, we obtain the *Cholesky factorization* $A = \tilde{L} \tilde{L}^\top$.

Sparse matrices It is often required to solve *very* large systems $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ ($n = 10^5$ is considered small in this context!) where nearly all the elements of A are zero. Such a matrix is called *sparse* and efficient solution of $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ should exploit sparsity. In particular, we wish the matrices L and U to inherit as much as possible of the sparsity of A and for the cost of computation to be determined by the number of nonzero entries, rather than by n . The following theorem shows that certain zeros of A are always inherited by an LU factorization.

Theorem Let $A = LU$ be an LU factorization (without pivoting) of a sparse matrix. Then all leading zeros in the rows of A to the left of the diagonal are inherited by L and all the leading zeros in the columns of A above the diagonal are inherited by U .

Proof We assume that $U_{k,k} \neq 0$ for all $k = 1, \dots, n$ which is the same as saying that $(A_{k-1})_{k,k} \neq 0$ when running the LU factorization algorithm (without pivoting). If $A_{i,1} = 0$ this means that $L_{i,1}U_{1,1} = 0$ and so $L_{i,1} = 0$. If furthermore $A_{i,2} = 0$ we get $L_{i,1}U_{1,2} + L_{i,2}U_{2,2} = 0$ which implies $L_{i,2} = 0$ since $L_{i,1} = 0$. In general we get that if $A_{i,1} = \dots = A_{i,j} = 0$ where $j < i$ then $L_{i,1} = \dots = L_{i,j} = 0$. A similar reasoning applies for leading zeros in the columns of A above the diagonal. \square

Banded matrices The matrix A is a *banded matrix* if there exists an integer $r < n$ such that $A_{i,j} = 0$ for $|i - j| > r$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. In other words, all the nonzero elements of A reside in a band of width $2r + 1$ along the main diagonal. In that case, according to the previous theorem, $A = LU$ implies that $L_{i,j} = U_{i,j} = 0 \forall |i - j| > r$ and sparsity structure is inherited by the factorization.

In general, the expense of calculating an LU factorization of an $n \times n$ *dense* matrix A is $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ operations and the expense of solving $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$, provided that the factorization is known, is $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$. However, in the case of a banded A , we need just $\mathcal{O}(r^2 n)$ operations to factorize and $\mathcal{O}(rn)$ operations to solve a linear system. If $r \ll n$ this represents a very substantial saving!