## Mathematical Tripos Part IB: Lent 2019 Numerical Analysis – Lecture 4<sup>1</sup>

## 2.4 Least-squares polynomial fitting

Consider a scalar product

$$\langle g,h\rangle = \int_{a}^{b} w(x)g(x)h(x)\,\mathrm{d}x \tag{2.3}$$

on C[a, b] where w(x) > 0 for  $x \in (a, b)$ . Given  $f \in C[a, b]$  we want to find  $p \in \mathbb{P}_n[x]$  so as to minimise  $\langle f - p, f - p \rangle = ||f - p||^2$ . Call the optimal polynomial  $\hat{p}_n$ . The following theorem shows that  $\hat{p}_n$  can be easily expressed in terms of the orthogonal polynomials associated to (2.3).

**Theorem** Let  $p_0, p_1, p_2, \ldots$  be orthogonal polynomials associated to the inner product (2.3). Let  $f \in C[a, b]$ . Then the polynomial  $\hat{p}_n \in \mathbb{P}_n[x]$  that minimises  $||f - p||^2 = \langle f - p, f - p \rangle$  is given by

$$\hat{p}_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\langle f, p_k \rangle}{\langle p_k, p_k \rangle} p_k(x).$$
(2.4)

**Proof.** Because  $p_0, \ldots, p_n$  form a basis of  $\mathbb{P}_n[x]$ , any  $p \in \mathbb{P}_n$  can be written as  $p = \sum_{k=0}^n c_k p_k$  for some coefficients  $c_k$ . Thus we have, using orthogonality of the  $p_k$ s

$$\langle f - p, f - p \rangle = \left\langle f - \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k p_k, f - \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k p_k \right\rangle = \langle f, f \rangle - 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k \langle p_k, f \rangle + \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k^2 \langle p_k, p_k \rangle$$

To minimise this expression we find values of the  $c_k$ s that make the gradient equal to 0. We have:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial c_k} \langle f - p, f - p \rangle = -2 \langle p_k, f \rangle + 2c_k \langle p_k, p_k \rangle, \qquad k = 0, 1, \dots, n_k$$

hence setting these to zero we get  $c_k = \frac{\langle f, p_k \rangle}{\langle p_k, p_k \rangle}$  which gives the expression (2.4).

The approximation error we get with  $\hat{p}_n$  is

$$\langle f - \hat{p}_n, f - \hat{p}_n \rangle = \langle f, f \rangle - \sum_{k=0}^n \{ 2c_k \langle p_k, f \rangle - c_k^2 \langle p_k, p_k \rangle \} = \langle f, f \rangle - \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\langle p_k, f \rangle^2}{\langle p_k, p_k \rangle}.$$
 (2.5)

This identity can be rewritten as  $\langle f - \hat{p}_n, f - \hat{p}_n \rangle + \langle \hat{p}_n, \hat{p}_n \rangle = \langle f, f \rangle$ , reminiscent of the Pythagoras theorem. It is clear that increasing *n* brings the approximation error down. A natural question is: if we keep increasing *n* does the approximation error eventually reach 0? The answer is yes and this is a consequence of Weierstrass's theorem (which we are going to admit without proof):

**Theorem** (Weierstrass theorem) Let [a, b] be finite and let  $f \in C[a, b]$ . For any  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a polynomial p of high enough degree such that  $|f(x) - p(x)| \le \epsilon$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ .

To prove that  $||f - \hat{p}_n||^2 \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , note that by our definition of inner product (2.3) we have, for any p

$$\|f - p\|^2 = \int_a^b w(x)(f(x) - p(x))^2 dx \le \left(\max_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x) - p(x)|\right)^2 \int_a^b w(x) dx.$$

For any  $\delta > 0$  we know by Weierstrass theorem that there is a polynomial p of degree n (with n large enough) such that  $\max_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x) - p(x)| \le \sqrt{\delta / \int_a^b w(x) dx}$ . So for any  $N \ge n$  we have

$$\|f - \hat{p}_N\|^2 \le \|f - p\|^2 \le \left(\sqrt{\frac{\delta}{\int_a^b w(x)dx}}\right)^2 \int_a^b w(x)dx \le \delta.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Corrections and suggestions to these notes should be emailed to h.fawzi@damtp.cam.ac.uk.

This is true for any  $\delta > 0$  and so shows that  $||f - \hat{p}_n||^2 \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Using the identity (2.5) we get the following consequence:

**Theorem** (*The Parseval identity*) Let [a, b] be finite, and let  $p_0, p_1, p_2, \ldots$  be orthogonal polynomials for (2.3). Then for any  $f \in C[a, b]$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle p_k, f \rangle^2}{\langle p_k, p_k \rangle} = \langle f, f \rangle.$$
(2.6)

## 2.5 Least-squares fitting to discrete function values

Consider now the following problem: we are given m function values  $f(x_1), f(x_2), \ldots, f(x_m)$ , where the  $x_k$ s are pairwise distinct, and seek  $p \in \mathbb{P}_n[x]$  that minimises  $\sum_{k=0}^n (f(x_k) - p(x_k))^2$ . This corresponds to minimising  $\langle f - p, f - p \rangle$  with the following inner product:

$$\langle g,h\rangle := \sum_{k=1}^{m} g(x_k)h(x_k).$$
(2.7)

The result from the previous subsection extends directly to this situation as long as  $n \le m-1$  (note that (2.7) does not define a valid inner product on polynomials of degree greater than or equal m). So for  $n \le m-1$  we can solve the problem as follows:

1. Employ the three-term recurrence (2.3) to calculate  $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n$  (of course, using the scalar product (2.7));

2. Form 
$$p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\langle p_k, f \rangle}{\langle p_k, p_k \rangle} p_k(x)$$

## 2.6 Gaussian quadrature

We are again in C[a, b] and a scalar product is defined as in subsection 2.1, namely  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_a^b w(x) f(x) g(x) dx$ , where w(x) > 0 for  $x \in (a, b)$ . Our goal is to approximate integrals by finite sums,

$$\int_{a}^{b} w(x)f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \approx \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} b_k f(c_k), \qquad f \in C[a, b].$$

The above is known as a quadrature formula. Here  $\nu$  is given, whereas the points  $b_1, \ldots, b_{\nu}$  (the weights) and  $c_1, \ldots, c_{\nu}$  (the nodes) are independent of the choice of f.

A reasonable approach to achieving high accuracy is to require that the approximation is exact for all  $f \in \mathbb{P}_m[x]$ , where m is as large as possible – this results in *Gaussian quadrature* and we will demonstrate that  $m = 2\nu - 1$  can be attained.

Firstly, we claim that  $m = 2\nu$  is impossible. To prove this, choose arbitrary nodes  $c_1, \ldots, c_{\nu}$  and note that  $p(x) := \prod_{k=1}^{\nu} (x - c_k)^2$  lives in  $\mathbb{P}_{2\nu}[x]$ . But  $\int_a^b w(x)p(x) \, dx > 0$ , while  $\sum_{k=1}^{\nu} b_k p(c_k) = 0$  for any choice of weights  $b_1, \ldots, b_{\nu}$ . Hence the integral and the quadrature do not match.

Let  $p_0, p_1, p_2, \ldots$  denote, as before, the monic polynomials which are orthogonal w.r.t. the underlying scalar product.

**Theorem** Given  $n \ge 1$ ,  $p_n$  has n real distinct zeros in the interval (a, b).

**Proof.** Let  $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m$  be the points in (a, b) where  $p_n$  changes signs (equivalently these are the zeros of p of odd multiplicity) and let  $q(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} (x - \xi_i)$ . Observe that the polynomial  $p_n(x)q(x)$  does not change signs in (a, b): this is because all the roots of  $p_n(x)q(x)$  in (a, b) have even multiplicity. It thus follows that

$$|\langle q, p_n \rangle| = \left| \int_a^b w(x)q(x)p_n(x) \,\mathrm{d}x \right| = \int_a^b w(x)|q(x)p_n(x)| \,\mathrm{d}x > 0.$$

Since  $p_n$  is orthogonal to all polynomials of degree  $\leq n-1$  it follows that q must be of degree at least n, i.e.,  $m \leq n$ . On the other hand, since  $p_n$  is of degree n it can have at most n roots. Finally this means that  $p_n$  has n distinct real roots in (a, b).