## Mathematical Tripos Part IB: Lent 2020 Numerical Analysis – Lecture 16<sup>1</sup>

Householder reflections Let  $u \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$ . The  $m \times m$  matrix  $I - 2\frac{uu^{\top}}{\|u\|^2}$  is called a *Householder reflection*. Each such matrix is symmetric and orthogonal, since

$$\left(I - 2\frac{\boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{u}^{\top}}{\|\boldsymbol{u}\|^2}\right)^{\top} \left(I - 2\frac{\boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{u}^{\top}}{\|\boldsymbol{u}\|^2}\right) = \left(I - 2\frac{\boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{u}^{\top}}{\|\boldsymbol{u}\|^2}\right)^2 = I - 4\frac{\boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{u}^{\top}}{\|\boldsymbol{u}\|^2} + 4\frac{\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{u}^{\top}\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{u}^{\top}}{\|\boldsymbol{u}\|^4} = I.$$

Householder reflections offer an alternative to Given rotations in the calculation of a QR factorization.

Householder algorithm Our goal is to multiply an  $m \times n$  matrix A by a sequence of Householder reflections so that each product induces zeros under the diagonal in an entire column.

At the first step we seek a reflection that transforms the first column  $a_1$  of A to a multiple of  $e_1$ . Since the Householder reflection is orthogonal (it preserves Euclidean norm) the latter has to be  $\pm ||a_1||e_1$  where we are free to choose the sign. The Householder reflection that does this operation is given by the choice of vector  $u = a_1 - (\pm ||a_1||e_1)$ . For numerical stability the sign is usually chosen to be  $-\text{sign}(A_{11})$ .

More generally, at the beginning of the k'th step of the algorithm, the columns 1 to k-1 have been processed and have zeros under their diagonal element. Our goal is to find a Householder reflection that will induce zeros under the diagonal element of the k'th column. To do so we use a block orthogonal matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & H \end{bmatrix}$  where I is a  $(k-1) \times (k-1)$  identity matrix, and H is a  $(m-k+1) \times (m-k+1)$  Householder reflection associated with the choice  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} = \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}_k + \operatorname{sign}(A_{kk}) \| \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}_k \| \tilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_1$ , where  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}_k$  is the vector of size m-k+1 consisting of the entries of A under the diagonal in the k'th column, and  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_1$  is the vector of size m-k+1 with a 1 in the first position and zero elsewhere.

To summarize it is convenient to use the (Matlab-style) notation where  $A_{k:m,j}$  indicates the vector of size m - k + 1 obtained from rows  $k, \ldots, m$  of column j of A. Then the algorithm can be written as follows:

Given  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  with  $m \ge n$ . For k = 1 to n:

- Let  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}_k = A_{k:m,k} \in \mathbb{R}^{m-k+1}$
- Let  $\tilde{e}_1$  be the vector of size m k + 1 with a 1 in the first position and zero elsewhere.
- Let  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} = \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}_k + \operatorname{sign}(A_{kk}) \| \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}_k \| \tilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_1$
- For each column  $j = k, \ldots, n$  update  $A_{k:m,j} = A_{k:m,j} 2(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}^T A_{k:m,j}) \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} / \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}\|^2$ .

**Example**  $(k = 3, \text{ assuming the first two columns have already been processed)$ 

	$\begin{bmatrix} 2\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	7 -1		Γ2	1	[5]		$\begin{bmatrix} 2\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	7 -1	
A =	0 0 0	0 0 0		$\rightarrow$	$\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\ 1\\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\left[ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \end{array}  ight], \;\;  ilde{m{u}} =$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\-2\end{bmatrix}$	$\rightarrow$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}$	0 0 0	$7 \\ -1 \\ -3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	

Calculation of Q Like for the case of Givens algorithm, the matrix Q is not explicitly formed. To form Q explicitly we start with  $\Omega = I$  initially and, for each step we replace  $\Omega$ , by  $\left(I - 2\frac{\boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{u}^{\top}}{\|\boldsymbol{u}\|^2}\right)\Omega = \Omega - \frac{2}{\|\boldsymbol{u}\|^2}\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{u}^{\top}\Omega)$  where  $\boldsymbol{u} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{0}\\ \boldsymbol{u} \end{bmatrix}$  is obtained from  $\boldsymbol{\tilde{u}}$  by adding k-1 zeros above it<sup>2</sup>. However, if we require just the vector  $\boldsymbol{c} = Q^{\top}\boldsymbol{b}$ , say, rather than the matrix Q, then we set initially  $\boldsymbol{c} = \boldsymbol{b}$  and in each stage replace  $\boldsymbol{c}$  by  $\left(I - 2\frac{\boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{u}^{\top}}{\|\boldsymbol{u}\|^2}\right)\boldsymbol{c} = \boldsymbol{c} - 2\frac{\boldsymbol{u}^{\top}\boldsymbol{c}}{\|\boldsymbol{u}\|^2}\boldsymbol{u}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Corrections and suggestions to these notes should be emailed to h.fawzi@damtp.cam.ac.uk.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Indeed, note that the reflection  $I - 2\boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{u}^{\top} / \|\boldsymbol{u}\|^2$  is the same as the block orthogonal matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & H \end{bmatrix}$  where H is the Householder reflection corresponding to  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}$ .

**Givens or Householder?** If A is dense, it is in general more convenient to use Householder reflections. Givens rotations come into their own, however, when A has many leading zeros in its rows. E.g., if an  $n \times n$  matrix A consists of zeros underneath the first subdiagonal, they can be 'rotated away' in just n-1 Givens rotations, at the cost of  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  operations!

## 5.3 Linear least squares

Statement of the problem Suppose that an  $m \times n$  matrix A and a vector  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  are given. The equation  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ , where  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is unknown, has in general no solution (if m > n) or an infinity of solutions (if m < n). Problems of this form occur frequently when we collect m observations (which, typically, are prone to measurement error) and wish to exploit them to form an n-variable linear model, where  $n \ll m$ . (In statistics, this is known as *linear regression*.) Bearing in mind the likely presence of errors in A and  $\mathbf{b}$ , we seek  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  that minimises the Euclidean length  $||A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}||$ . This is the *least squares problem*.

**Theorem**  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a solution of the least squares problem iff  $A^{\top}(Ax - b) = 0$ . **Proof.** If x is a solution then it minimises

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) := \|A\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{b}\|^2 = \langle A\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{b}, A\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{b} \rangle = \boldsymbol{x}^\top A^\top A \boldsymbol{x} - 2\boldsymbol{x}^\top A^\top \boldsymbol{b} + \boldsymbol{b}^\top \boldsymbol{b}.$$

Hence  $\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{0}$ . But  $\frac{1}{2} \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) = A^{\top} A \boldsymbol{x} - A^{\top} \boldsymbol{b}$ , hence  $A^{\top} (A \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{b}) = \boldsymbol{0}$ . Conversely, suppose that  $A^{\top} (A \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{b}) = \boldsymbol{0}$  and let  $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Hence, letting  $\boldsymbol{y} = \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{x}$ ,

$$\begin{split} \|A\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{b}\|^2 &= \langle A\boldsymbol{x} + A\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{b}, A\boldsymbol{x} + A\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{b} \rangle = \langle A\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{b}, A\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{b} \rangle + 2\boldsymbol{y}^{\top}A^{\top}(A\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{b}) \\ &+ \langle A\boldsymbol{y}, A\boldsymbol{y} \rangle = \|A\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{b}\|^2 + \|A\boldsymbol{y}\|^2 \ge \|A\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{b}\|^2 \end{split}$$

and  $\boldsymbol{x}$  is indeed optimal.

**Corollary** Optimality of  $x \Leftrightarrow$  the vector Ax - b is orthogonal to all columns of A.

**Normal equations** One way of finding optimal  $\boldsymbol{x}$  is by solving the  $n \times n$  linear system  $A^{\top}A\boldsymbol{x} = A^{\top}\boldsymbol{b}$ ; this is the method of *normal equations*. This approach is popular in many applications. However, there are three disadvantages. Firstly,  $A^{\top}A$  might be singular, secondly sparse A might be replaced by a dense  $A^{\top}A$  and, finally, forming  $A^{\top}A$  might lead to loss of accuracy. Thus, suppose that our computer works in the IEEE arithmetic standard ( $\approx 15$  significant digits) and let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 10^8 & -10^8 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies A^{\top}A = \begin{bmatrix} 10^{16} + 1 & -10^{16} + 1 \\ -10^{16} + 1 & 10^{16} + 1 \end{bmatrix} \approx 10^{16} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Given  $\boldsymbol{b} = [0, 2]^{\top}$  the solution of  $A\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{b}$  is  $[1, 1]^{\top}$ , as can be easily found by Gaussian elimination. However, our computer 'believes' that  $A^{\top}A$  is singular!

## **QR** and least squares

Let A be an  $m \times n$  matrix with  $m \ge n$ , and let A = QR be a reduced QR factorization where Q is  $m \times n$  has orthonormal columns and R is  $n \times n$  upper triangular. We know that  $\boldsymbol{x}$  is a solution to the least squares problem iff  $A\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{b}$  is orthogonal to all columns of A. Since the columns of Q span the same space as the columns of A this is equivalent to saying that  $Q^{\top}(A\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{b}) = 0$ . Since the columns of Q form an orthonormal system we have<sup>3</sup>  $Q^{\top}Q = I_n$ , and so this leads to the equation  $R\boldsymbol{x} = Q^{\top}\boldsymbol{b}$ . The latter can be solved using backsubstitution.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Note however that  $QQ^{\top}$  is not equal to the identity matrix! (Q is a rectangular matrix here)