

13 Nonnegative multivariate polynomials

We start looking in this lecture at polynomials in more than one variable. We first fix some notations. We denote by $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ the space of polynomials in n variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$. A *monomial* is an expression $x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are integers. We will often use the shorthand notation $\mathbf{x}^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$. The degree of a monomial $\mathbf{x}^\alpha$ is $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$. The degree of a polynomial is the largest degree of its monomials. For example the polynomial $p(x_1, x_2) = x_1x_2^2 + x_1x_2 + 1$ has degree 3. We will also use the notation $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{\leq d}$ for the space of polynomials of degree at most d .

We are interested in polynomials $p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ that are nonnegative on $\mathbb{R}^n$, i.e., such that $p(x_1, \dots, x_n) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. An obvious sufficient condition for a polynomial to be nonnegative is for it to be a sum of squares.

Definition 13.1. A polynomial $p \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]$ is a *sum of squares* if there exist polynomials $q_1, \dots, q_k \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]$ such that $p(\mathbf{x}) = q_1(\mathbf{x})^2 + \dots + q_k(\mathbf{x})^2$.

Exercise 13.1. Show that if $p \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is nonnegative then p has even degree. Show that if $\deg p = 2d$ and $p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^k q_i(\mathbf{x})^2$ then necessarily $\deg q_i \leq d$ for each $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Exercise 13.2. Show that the space $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{\leq d}$ of polynomials of degree at most d has dimension $\binom{n+d}{d}$.

We saw that for polynomials of one variable ($n = 1$) any nonnegative polynomial is a sum-of-squares. It turns out that in general this is not the case. Let $P_{n,2d}$ be the cone of nonnegative polynomials in n variables of degree at most $2d$. Let $\Sigma_{n,2d}$ be the cone of polynomials of degree at most $2d$ that are sums of squares.

Theorem 13.1 (Hilbert). $P_{n,2d} = \Sigma_{n,2d}$ if and only if $n = 1$ or $2d = 2$ or $(n, 2d) = (2, 4)$.

We have already seen that $P_{n,2d} = \Sigma_{n,2d}$ in the case $n = 1$. The case $2d = 2$ can be proved using, e.g., eigenvalue decomposition of symmetric positive semidefinite matrices. The last case $(n, 2d) = (2, 4)$ is more difficult. For more on this problem and the cases where $P_{n,2d} \neq \Sigma_{n,2d}$, we refer to [Rez00] and [BPT12, Chapter 4].

Checking whether a general polynomial is nonnegative is hard computationally. On the other hand checking whether a polynomial is a sum-of-squares can be done using semidefinite programming. This is the object of the next theorem and it is the analogue of Theorem 11.2 in the multivariate setting. For convenience we let $s(n, d) = \dim \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{\leq d} = \binom{n+d}{d}$.

Theorem 13.2. Let $p(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of degree $2d$ with expansion:

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n: |\gamma| \leq 2d} p_\gamma \mathbf{x}^\gamma$$

Then $p(\mathbf{x})$ is a sum-of-squares if and only if there exists a matrix $Q \in \mathbf{S}^{s(n,d)}$ such that $Q \succeq 0$ and

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n \\ |\alpha|, |\beta| \leq d \\ \alpha + \beta = \gamma}} Q_{\alpha, \beta} = p_\gamma \quad \forall \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\gamma| \leq 2d. \quad (1)$$

Proof. Throughout the proof we use the notation $[\mathbf{x}]_d$ for the vector of size $s(n, d)$ containing all monomials of degree at most d . For example if $n = 2$ and $d = 2$ then $[\mathbf{x}]_d = (1, x_1, x_2, x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_2^2)$. We prove the theorem in a sequence of equivalences (some of the steps are explained below):

$$\begin{aligned} p \text{ is sum-of-squares} &\iff \exists q_1, \dots, q_k \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{\leq d} \text{ s.t. } p = \sum_{i=1}^k q_i^2 \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{\iff} \exists q_1, \dots, q_k \in \mathbb{R}^{s(n,d)} \text{ s.t. } p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^k (\langle q_i, [\mathbf{x}]_d \rangle)^2 \\ &\iff \exists q_1, \dots, q_k \in \mathbb{R}^{s(n,d)} \text{ s.t. } p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \langle q_i q_i^T, [\mathbf{x}]_d [\mathbf{x}]_d^T \rangle \\ &\iff \exists q_1, \dots, q_k \in \mathbb{R}^{s(n,d)} \text{ s.t. } p(\mathbf{x}) = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^k q_i q_i^T, [\mathbf{x}]_d [\mathbf{x}]_d^T \right\rangle \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{\iff} \exists Q \in \mathbf{S}_+^{s(n,d)} \text{ s.t. } p(\mathbf{x}) = \langle Q, [\mathbf{x}]_d [\mathbf{x}]_d^T \rangle \\ &\stackrel{(c)}{\iff} \exists Q \in \mathbf{S}_+^{s(n,d)} \text{ s.t. } p_\gamma = \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n \\ |\alpha|, |\beta| \leq d \\ \alpha + \beta = \gamma}} Q_{\alpha, \beta} \quad \forall \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\gamma| \leq 2d. \end{aligned}$$

In (a) we identified polynomials $q_1, \dots, q_k$ with their vector of coefficients $q_1, \dots, q_k \in \mathbb{R}^{s(n,d)}$. In (b) we let $Q = \sum_{i=1}^k q_i q_i^T$. The last step (c) is obtained by matching coefficients in $p(\mathbf{x}) = \langle Q, [\mathbf{x}]_d [\mathbf{x}]_d^T \rangle$; indeed we have:

$$[\mathbf{x}]_d^T Q [\mathbf{x}]_d = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} Q_{\alpha, \beta} \mathbf{x}^\alpha \mathbf{x}^\beta = \sum_{\gamma} \left(\sum_{\alpha, \beta: \alpha + \beta = \gamma} Q_{\alpha, \beta} \right) \mathbf{x}^\gamma.$$

□

Example 1. Let us look at a concrete example of polynomial. This example is taken from [BPT12, Example 3.38, page 64]. We want to decide whether the polynomial

$$p(x, y) = 2x^4 + 5y^4 - x^2y^2 + 2x^3y + 2x + 2$$

is a sum of squares. Here $n = 2$ and $2d = 4$ so our matrix Q will be indexed by monomials of degree $d = 2$ in $n = 2$ variables

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} q_{00,00} & q_{00,10} & q_{00,01} & q_{00,20} & q_{00,11} & q_{00,02} \\ & q_{10,10} & q_{10,01} & q_{10,20} & q_{10,11} & q_{10,02} \\ & & q_{01,01} & q_{01,20} & q_{01,11} & q_{01,02} \\ & & & q_{20,20} & q_{20,11} & q_{20,02} \\ & & & & q_{11,11} & q_{11,02} \\ & & & & & q_{02,02} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

(We only wrote the entries above the diagonal since the matrix Q is symmetric.) Checking whether $p(x, y)$ is a sum-of-squares is equivalent to checking whether there is a matrix Q of the form (2) that satisfies the linear constraints (1). In our case there is a total of $s(n, 2d) = s(2, 4) = \binom{6}{4} = 15$ linear equations, one for each monomial $\mathbf{x}^\gamma$ of degree at most $2d$. We only write some of these equations below just to give an idea: (the equations below are the ones we get for the monomials $\gamma = (4, 0)$, $\gamma = (2, 2)$ and $\gamma = (0, 2)$)

$$\begin{aligned} x^4 \quad (\gamma = (4, 0)) : \quad & 2 = q_{20,20} \\ x^2 y^2 \quad (\gamma = (2, 2)) : \quad & -1 = 2q_{20,02} + q_{11,11} \\ y^2 \quad (\gamma = (0, 2)) : \quad & 0 = 2q_{00,02} + q_{01,01}. \end{aligned}$$

Checking feasibility of the resulting semidefinite program will tell us that $p(x, y)$ is indeed a sum of squares. See [BPT12, Example 3.38, page 64] for an explicit sum-of-squares decomposition of $p(x, y)$.

Motzkin polynomial In this paragraph we are going to look at an example of a polynomial that is nonnegative but not a sum-of-squares in the case $(n, 2d) = (2, 6)$ (cf. Theorem 13.1). Consider the *Motzkin polynomial* defined by:

$$M(x, y) = x^4 y^2 + x^2 y^4 + 1 - 3x^2 y^2.$$

One can show that $M(x, y)$ is nonnegative via the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality. Indeed we have, for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\frac{1}{3}(x^4 y^2 + x^2 y^4 + 1) \geq (x^6 y^6)^{1/3} = x^2 y^2.$$

On the other hand one can show that $M(x, y)$ is not a sum of squares. In fact one can prove even more generally that $M(x, y) - \gamma$ is not a sum-of-squares for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 13.1. *$M(x, y) - \gamma$ is not a sum of squares for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. This proof is based on [Lau09, Example 3.7]. Assume $M(x, y) - \gamma = \sum_k q_k^2$ where $q_k(x, y) = a_k x^3 + b_k y^3 + c_k x^2 y + d_k x y^2 + e_k x^2 + f_k y^2 + g_k x y + h_k x + i_k y + j_k$. Since the coefficient of x^6 in $M - \gamma$ is zero we get $\sum_k a_k^2 = 0$ i.e., $a_k = 0$ for all k . Similarly we get $b_k = 0$ for all k . The coefficient of x^4 in $M - \gamma$ is also zero and so we now get $\sum_k a_k h_k + e_k^2 = 0$ which yields $e_k = 0$ for all k since we have $a_k = 0$. Similarly by looking at the coefficient of y^4 we get $f_k = 0$. Now looking at the coefficient of x^2 we get $\sum_k e_k j_k + h_k^2 = 0$ which again yields $h_k = 0$ for all k . Similarly by looking at the coefficient of y^2 we get $i_k = 0$ for all k . Finally our polynomials q_k must look like $q_k = c_k x^2 y + d_k x y^2 + g_k x y + j_k$. Now looking at the coefficient of $x^2 y^2$ we get that $-3 = \sum_k g_k^2$ which is impossible. $\square$

References

- [BPT12] Grigoriy Blekherman, Pablo A. Parrilo, and Rekha R. Thomas. *Semidefinite optimization and convex algebraic geometry*. SIAM, 2012. 1, 2, 3
- [Lau09] Monique Laurent. Sums of squares, moment matrices and optimization over polynomials. In *Emerging applications of algebraic geometry*, pages 157–270. Springer, 2009. 3
- [Rez00] Bruce Reznick. Some concrete aspects of hilbert’s 17th problem. *Contemporary Mathematics*, 253:251–272, 2000. 1