

## Mathematical Tripos Part II: Michaelmas Term 2022

## Numerical Analysis – Lecture 2

**Approximation 1.6** The *nine-point method*:

$$u_{i,j} = h^2 f_{i,j}$$

As such, it again produces error of  $\mathcal{O}(h^4)$ . However, this can be remedied by a clever trick of adding the term  $\frac{1}{12}h^4\nabla^2 f$  to the right-hand side, with the 5-point approximation to  $h^2\nabla^2 f$ , which increases the order to  $\mathcal{O}(h^6)$  (see Exercise 1).

**Problem 1.8** Finite-difference discretization of  $\nabla^2 u = f$  replaces the PDE by a large system of linear equations. In the sequel we pay special attention to the *five-point formula*, which results in the approximation

$$h^2\nabla^2 u(x, y) \approx u(x-h, y) + u(x+h, y) + u(x, y-h) + u(x, y+h) - 4u(x, y). \quad (1.5)$$

For the sake of simplicity, we restrict our attention to the important case of  $\Omega$  being a *unit square*, where  $h = \frac{1}{m+1}$  for some positive integer  $m$ . Thus, we estimate the  $m^2$  unknown function values  $u(ih, jh)_{i,j=1}^m$  (where  $(ih, jh) \in \Omega$ ) by letting the right-hand side of (1.5) equal  $h^2 f(ih, jh)$  at each value of  $i$  and  $j$ . This yields an  $n \times n$  system of linear equations with  $n = m^2$  unknowns  $u_{i,j}$ :

$$u_{i-1,j} + u_{i+1,j} + u_{i,j-1} + u_{i,j+1} - 4u_{i,j} = h^2 f(ih, jh). \quad (1.6)$$

(Note that when  $i$  or  $j$  is equal to 1 or  $m$ , then the values  $u_{0,j}$ ,  $u_{i,0}$  or  $u_{i,m+1}$ ,  $u_{m+1,j}$  are known boundary values and they should be moved to the right-hand side, thus leaving fewer unknowns on the left.) Having ordered grid points, we can write (1.6) as a linear system, say

$$A\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{b}.$$

Our present concern is to prove that, as  $h \rightarrow 0$ , the numerical solution (1.6) tends to the exact solution of the Poisson equation  $\nabla^2 u = f$  (with appropriate Dirichlet boundary conditions).

**Example 1.9 (Natural ordering)** The way the matrix  $A$  of this system looks depends of course on the way how the grid points  $(ih, jh)$  are being assembled in the one-dimensional array. In the *natural ordering*, when the grid points are arranged by columns,  $A$  is the following block tridiagonal matrix:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} B & I & & \\ I & B & I & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & I & B & I \\ & & & I & B \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 1 & & & \\ 1 & -4 & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 1 & -4 & 1 \\ & & & 1 & -4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Matlab demo** (natural ordering animation): [www.damtp.cam.ac.uk/user/hf323/M21-II-NA/demos/partii.php](http://www.damtp.cam.ac.uk/user/hf323/M21-II-NA/demos/partii.php).

Before heading on let us prove the following simple but useful theorem whose importance will become apparent in the course of the lecture.

**Theorem 1.10 (Gershgorin theorem)** All eigenvalues of an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  are contained in the union of the Gershgorin discs in the complex plane:

$$\sigma(A) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \Gamma_i, \quad \Gamma_i := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - a_{ii}| \leq r_i\}, \quad r_i := \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|.$$

**Proof.** For any matrix  $A$ , if  $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$  and  $|x_i| = \max |x_j|$ , then the  $i$ th equation of the relation  $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$  gives

$$|\lambda - a_{ii}| \cdot |x_i| = \left| \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} x_j \right| \leq \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}| |x_j| \leq |x_i| \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}| =: |x_i| r_i,$$

and after dividing by  $|x_i|$  we obtain  $|\lambda - a_{ii}| \leq r_i$ . So, for any eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $A$ , the inequality  $|\lambda - a_{ii}| \leq r_i$  is valid for at least one value of  $i$ , hence the theorem.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.11** *For any ordering of the grid points, the matrix  $A$  of the system (1.6) is symmetric and negative definite.*

**Proof.** Equation (1.6) implies that if  $a_{ij} \neq 0$  for  $i \neq j$ , then the  $i$ -th and  $j$ -th points of the grid  $(ph, qh)$ , are nearest neighbours. Hence  $a_{ij} \neq 0$  implies  $a_{ij} = a_{ji} = 1$ , which proves the symmetry of  $A$ . Therefore  $A$  has real eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

It remains to prove that all the eigenvalues are negative. The arguments are parallel to the proof of Gershgorin theorem. Let  $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$ , and let  $i$  be an integer such that  $|x_i| = \max |x_j|$ . With such an  $i$  we address the following identity (which is a reordering of the equation  $(A\mathbf{x})_i = \lambda x_i$ ):

$$\underbrace{(\lambda - a_{ii}) x_i}_{|\lambda + 4| |x_i|} = \underbrace{\sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} x_j}_{\leq 4 |x_i|}. \quad (1.7)$$

Here  $a_{ii} = -4$  and  $a_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$  for  $j \neq i$ , with at most four nonzero elements on the right-hand side. It is seen that the case  $\lambda > 0$  is impossible. Assuming  $\lambda = 0$ , we obtain  $|x_j| = |x_i|$  whenever  $a_{ij} = 1$ , so we can alter the value of  $i$  in (1.7) to any of such  $j$  and repeat the same arguments. Thus, the modulus of every component of  $\mathbf{x}$  would be  $|x_i|$ , but then the equations (1.7) that occur at the boundary of the grid and have fewer than four off-diagonal terms (see (1.6)) could not be true. Hence,  $\lambda = 0$  is impossible too, hence  $\lambda < 0$  which proves that  $A$  is negative definite.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.12** *The eigenvalues of the matrix  $A$  are*

$$\lambda_{k,\ell} = -4 \left( \sin^2 \frac{k\pi h}{2} + \sin^2 \frac{\ell\pi h}{2} \right), \quad h = \frac{1}{m+1}, \quad k, \ell = 1 \dots m.$$

**Proof.** Let us show that, for every pair  $(k, \ell)$ , the vectors

$$\mathbf{v} = (v_{i,j}), \quad v_{i,j} = \sin ix \sin jy, \quad \text{where } x = k\pi h, \quad y = \ell\pi h,$$

are the eigenvectors of  $A$ . Indeed, for  $i, j = 1 \dots m$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (A\mathbf{v})_{i,j} &= \sin(jy) [\sin(ix - x) - 2\sin(ix) + \sin(ix + x)] \\ &\quad + \sin(ix) [\sin(jy - y) - 2\sin(jy) + \sin(jy + y)] \\ &= \sin(jy) \sin(ix) [2\cos x - 2] + \sin(ix) \sin(jy) [2\cos y - 2] = \lambda v_{i,j}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the terms  $u_{i\pm 1,j}$ ,  $u_{i,j\pm 1}$  do not appear in (1.6) for  $i, j = 1$  or  $i, j = m$ , respectively, therefore (for such  $i, j$ ) we should have dropped the corresponding components from above equation, but they are equal to zero because  $\sin(i-1)x = 0$  for  $i = 1$ , while  $\sin(i+1)x = 0$  for  $i = m$ , since  $x = \frac{k\pi}{m+1}$ . Thus, the eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_{k,\ell} = [2\cos x - 2] + [2\cos y - 2] = -4 \left( \sin^2 \frac{x}{2} + \sin^2 \frac{y}{2} \right) = -4 \left( \sin^2 \frac{k\pi h}{2} + \sin^2 \frac{\ell\pi h}{2} \right). \quad \square$$

**Remark 1.13** As a matter of independent mathematical interest, note that for  $1 \leq k, \ell \ll m$  we have  $\sin x \approx x$ , hence the eigenvalues for the discretized Laplacian  $\nabla_h^2$  are

$$\frac{\lambda_{k,\ell}}{h^2} \approx -\frac{4}{h^2} \left[ \frac{k^2 \pi^2 h^2}{4} + \frac{\ell^2 \pi^2 h^2}{4} \right] = -(k^2 + \ell^2) \pi^2.$$

Now, recall (e.g. from the solution of the Poisson equation in a square by separation of variables in Maths Methods) that the *exact* eigenvalues of  $\nabla^2$  (in the unit square) are  $-(k^2 + \ell^2) \pi^2$ ,  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , with the corresponding eigenfunctions  $V_{k,\ell}(x, y) = \sin k\pi x \sin \ell\pi y$ . So, the eigenvectors of the discretized  $\nabla_h^2$  are the values of  $V_{k,\ell}(x, y)$  on the grid-points, and the eigenvalues of  $\nabla_h^2$  approximate those for continuous case.