

## Mathematical Tripos Part II: Michaelmas Term 2023

### Numerical Analysis – Lecture 5

**Stability, consistency and the Lax equivalence theorem** Suppose that a numerical method for a partial differential equation of evolution can be written in the form<sup>1</sup>

$$\mathbf{u}^{n+1} = A_h \mathbf{u}^n,$$

where  $\mathbf{u}^n \in \mathbb{R}^M$ ,  $A_h \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times M}$  is a matrix, and  $h = \frac{1}{M+1}$ . Fix a norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\mathbb{R}^M$ , and let  $\|A_h\| = \sup \frac{\|A_h \mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|}$  be the corresponding induced matrix norm. If we define *stability* as preserving the boundedness of  $\mathbf{u}^n$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , then since

$$\|\mathbf{u}^n\| \leq \|A_h^n \mathbf{u}^0\| \leq \|A_h\|^n \|\mathbf{u}^0\|,$$

we get:

$$\|A_h\| \leq 1 \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \text{the method is stable.}$$

If we denote the exact solution of the PDE by  $\hat{u}(x, t)$  and let  $\hat{\mathbf{u}}^n = (\hat{u}(mk, nt))_{1 \leq m \leq M}$ , then we have  $\hat{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} = A_h \hat{\mathbf{u}}^n + \boldsymbol{\eta}^n$  where  $\boldsymbol{\eta}^n$  is the local truncation error. The error vector  $\mathbf{e}^n = \hat{\mathbf{u}}^n - \mathbf{u}^n$  satisfies

$$\mathbf{e}^{n+1} = A_h \mathbf{e}^n + \boldsymbol{\eta}^n.$$

Using  $\|A_h\| \leq 1$  and assuming  $\|\mathbf{e}^0\| = 0$ , we get  $\|\mathbf{e}^n\| \leq \|\boldsymbol{\eta}^{n-1}\| + \dots + \|\boldsymbol{\eta}^0\|$ . If *consistency* holds, i.e.,  $\|\boldsymbol{\eta}^n\| = O(k^2)$ , then we see that  $\|\mathbf{e}^n\| \leq nck^2$  for some constant  $c > 0$ . Since  $n \leq T/k$  we end up with  $\|\mathbf{e}^n\| \leq cTk$ , and so  $\|\mathbf{e}^n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow 0$  uniformly in  $n \in [1, T/k]$ . This shows convergence.

We have thus arrived at the *Lax equivalence theorem*: “consistency + stability = convergence” (more precisely what we have proved here is the implication  $\implies$ ).

**Norms** The discussion above involves a choice of norm on  $\mathbb{R}^M$ . There are two standard choices of norms:

- *Sup-norm*. Here, we choose

$$\|\mathbf{u}\| = \|\mathbf{u}\|_\infty = \max_{i=1, \dots, M} |u_i|.$$

It can be easily shown that the corresponding induced norm for a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times M}$  is given by:

$$\|A\|_{\infty \rightarrow \infty} := \sup_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{\|A\mathbf{x}\|_\infty}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty} = \max_{i=1, \dots, M} \sum_{j=1}^M |A_{ij}|.$$

This the choice of norm we implicitly used in the convergence proof of Theorem 2.1 (Lecture 4). The matrix in this case was

$$A_h = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 2\mu & \mu & & & \\ \mu & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & \mu & \mu & \\ & & & \mu & 1 - 2\mu \end{bmatrix},$$

for which we get  $\|A_h\|_{\infty \rightarrow \infty} = |1 - 2\mu| + 2\mu \leq 1$  if  $\mu \leq 1/2$ .

- *Normalized Euclidean norm*. Another common choice of norm is the normalized Euclidean length, namely,

$$\|\mathbf{u}\| := \sqrt{\frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M |u_i|^2}.$$

<sup>1</sup>Assuming zero boundary conditions

The reason for the factor  $\frac{1}{M}$  is to ensure that, because of the convergence of Riemann sums, we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{u}\| := \left[ \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M |u_i|^2 \right]^{1/2} \rightarrow \left[ \int_0^1 |u(x)|^2 dx \right]^{1/2} =: \|u\|_{L_2} \quad (h = 1/(M+1) \rightarrow 0),$$

The induced matrix norm in this case is the *spectral norm* (or the *operator norm*) and is denoted  $\|A\|_2$ <sup>2</sup>

$$\|A\|_2 := \sup_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{\|A\mathbf{x}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2}.$$

The spectral norm of  $A$  is equal to the largest singular value of  $A$ . Equivalently, we can write  $\|A\|_2 = [\rho(AA^T)]^{1/2}$  where  $\rho$  is the spectral radius:

$$\rho(M) := \max \{ |\lambda| : \lambda \text{ eigenvalue of } M \}.$$

For certain matrices, such as normal matrices, one can show that  $\|A\|_2 = \rho(A)$ .

**Definition 1.19 (Normal matrices)** A complex matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M}$  is *normal* if it commutes with its conjugate transpose, i.e.,  $A\bar{A}^T = \bar{A}^T A$ .

Examples of real normal matrices include symmetric matrices ( $A = A^T$ ) and skew-symmetric matrices ( $A = -A^T$ ). Any normal matrix  $A$  can be diagonalized in an orthonormal basis, i.e.,  $A = QD\bar{Q}^T$  where  $Q$  unitary,  $Q\bar{Q}^T = \bar{Q}^T Q = I$ , and  $D$  is diagonal. Note however that the diagonal elements  $D_{ii}$  are not necessarily real!

**Proposition 1.20** *If  $A$  is normal, then  $\|A\|_2 = \rho(A)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathbf{u}$  be any vector. We can expand it in the basis of the orthonormal eigenvectors  $\mathbf{u} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{q}_i$ . Then  $A\mathbf{u} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i a_i \mathbf{q}_i$ , and since  $\mathbf{q}_i$  are orthonormal, we obtain

$$\|A\|_2 := \sup_{\mathbf{u}} \frac{\|A\mathbf{u}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{u}\|_2} = \sup_{a_i} \frac{\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^M |\lambda_i a_i|^2 \right\}^{1/2}}{\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^M |a_i|^2 \right\}^{1/2}} = |\lambda_{\max}|.$$

**Example 1.21** We can analyze the stability of [(2.2), Lecture 4] using the eigenvalue methods just described. The recurrence (2.2) can be written as:

$$u_m^{n+1} = u_m^n + \mu (u_{m-1}^n - 2u_m^n + u_{m+1}^n), \quad m = 1 \dots M,$$

in the matrix form

$$\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} = A_h \mathbf{u}_h^n, \quad A_h = I + \mu A_*, \quad A_* = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & & & \\ & 1 & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}_{M \times M}.$$

Here  $A_*$  is Toeplitz, symmetric, tridiagonal (TST), with  $\lambda_\ell(A_*) = -4 \sin^2 \frac{\pi \ell h}{2}$ , hence  $\lambda_\ell(A_h) = 1 - 4\mu \sin^2 \frac{\pi \ell h}{2}$ , so that its spectrum lies within the interval  $[\lambda_M, \lambda_1] = [1 - 4\mu \cos^2 \frac{\pi h}{2}, 1 - 4\mu \sin^2 \frac{\pi h}{2}]$ . Since  $A_h$  is symmetric, we have

$$\|A_h\|_2 = \rho(A_h) = \begin{cases} |1 - 4\mu \sin^2 \frac{\pi h}{2}| \leq 1, & \mu \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ |1 - 4\mu \cos^2 \frac{\pi h}{2}| > 1, & \mu > \frac{1}{2} \quad (h \leq h_\mu). \end{cases}$$

We recover the fact that the method is stable for  $\mu \leq 1/2$ .

<sup>2</sup>Note that if  $\|\cdot\|$  is the normalized Euclidean norm, then  $\|A\mathbf{x}\|/\|\mathbf{x}\| = \|A\mathbf{x}\|_2/\|\mathbf{x}\|_2$  where  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = (\sum_i |x_i|^2)^{1/2}$  is the usual (unnormalized) Euclidean norm