

14 Sum-of-squares hierarchies

Let $p(\mathbf{x})$ be a polynomial and consider the problem of minimizing p on \mathbb{R}^n . We saw last time that we can formulate the following semidefinite program:

$$\max \gamma : p(\mathbf{x}) - \gamma \text{ is a sum-of-squares.} \quad (1)$$

If we call v_0 the value of (1) then it is clear that $v_0 \leq \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} p(\mathbf{x})$. In the case of univariate polynomials we have equality because nonnegative polynomials are sums of squares. However in general we can have a strict inequality.

Recall the Motzkin polynomial from last lecture:

$$M(x, y) = x^4y^2 + x^2y^4 + 1 - 3x^2y^2.$$

We saw that M is nonnegative but $M - \gamma$ is not a sum-of-squares for any γ . This means that $v_0 = -\infty$! The lower bound obtained from the semidefinite relaxation is not useful.

Even though $M(x, y)$ is not a sum-of-squares it turns out that the polynomial $(1+x^2+y^2)M(x, y)$ is a sum-of-squares. Indeed one can verify that

$$\begin{aligned} (1+x^2+y^2)M(x, y) &= y^2(1-x^2)^2 + x^2(1-y^2)^2 + (x^2y^2-1)^2 \\ &\quad + x^2y^2\left(\frac{3}{4}(x^2+y^2-2)^2 + \frac{1}{4}(x^2-y^2)^2\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The previous equation clearly shows that $M(x, y) \geq 0$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

If we are interested in minimising a polynomial $p(\mathbf{x})$ we can thus define the following *sum-of-squares hierarchy*:

$$v_r := \max \gamma : (1+x_1^2+\dots+x_n^2)^r(p(\mathbf{x})-\gamma) \text{ is a sum-of-squares.} \quad (3)$$

It is not hard to show that the sequence (v_r) is monotonic nondecreasing and satisfies

$$v_0 \leq v_1 \leq v_2 \leq \dots \leq \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} p(\mathbf{x}).$$

Indeed $v_r \leq v_{r+1}$ because if for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $(1+x_1^2+\dots+x_n^2)^r(p(\mathbf{x})-\gamma)$ is a sum-of-squares then $(1+x_1^2+\dots+x_n^2)^{r+1}(p(\mathbf{x})-\gamma) = (1+x_1^2+\dots+x_n^2) \cdot (1+x_1^2+\dots+x_n^2)^r(p(\mathbf{x})-\gamma)$ is a sum-of-squares as a product of two sums of squares. Also $v_r \leq \min p(\mathbf{x})$ for any r because if $(1+x_1^2+\dots+x_n^2)^r(p(\mathbf{x})-\gamma)$ is a sum-of-squares then this means that $p(\mathbf{x})-\gamma \geq 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and so in particular $\min p(\mathbf{x}) \geq \gamma$.

Note that one can define another hierarchy of semidefinite relaxations where the multiplier $(1+x_1^2+\dots+x_n^2)^r$ is replaced by another nonnegative polynomial. This will yield in general a *different* hierarchy.

A natural question is to ask whether the sequence v_r defined in (3) converges to the minimum of p . Some results can be used to prove this under some conditions on p , like for example the following theorem of Reznick stated for homogeneous polynomials (a homogeneous polynomial of degree $2d$ is a polynomial only involving monomials of degree exactly $2d$):

Theorem 14.1 (Reznick, [Rez95]). *Assume $p \in \mathbb{R}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $2d$ such that $p(\mathbf{x}) > 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$. Then there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(x_0^2 + x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^r p(\mathbf{x})$ is a sum of squares.*

Note that if p is a nonnegative polynomial, then expressing $(1 + x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)p(\mathbf{x})$ as a sum of squares amounts to writing p as a sum of squares of *rational functions*. Hilbert's 17th problem asks whether any nonnegative polynomial can be written as a sum of squares of rational functions. This question was answered positively first by Artin in 1927. See [Rez00] for more on this question.

Constrained polynomial optimisation Let $p(\mathbf{x})$ be a polynomial and consider the problem of deciding nonnegativity of p on a set

$$S = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : g_1(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0, \dots, g_k(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0\} \quad (4)$$

where g_1, \dots, g_k are polynomials. One way to certify that $p \geq 0$ on S is to write

$$p = s_0 + s_1 g_1 + \dots + s_k g_k \quad (5)$$

where s_0, s_1, \dots, s_k are sums of squares. More generally one can consider certificates of the form:

$$p = s_0 + \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\} \\ I \neq \emptyset}} s_I \prod_{i \in I} g_i \quad (6)$$

where the s_I (for $I \subseteq [k]$, $I \neq \emptyset$) are sums of squares. Do such representations exist, for any nonnegative polynomial p on S ? The answer in general is no. However under some mild conditions on p and the description of S , one can guarantee the existence of such a representation. This is the content of so-called *Positivstellensatz* results:

Theorem 14.2 (Schmüdgen Positivstellensatz). *Assume S defined in (4) is compact and p a polynomial such that $p(\mathbf{x}) > 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in S$. Then there exist s_0 and s_I (for $I \subseteq [k]$, $I \neq \emptyset$) sums of squares, such that (6) holds.*

Theorem 14.3 (Putinar's Positivstellensatz). *Let S as defined in (4) and assume there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $\{\mathbf{x} : g_i(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0\}$ is compact. Assume p is a polynomial such that $p(\mathbf{x}) > 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in S$. Then there exist s_0, \dots, s_k sums of squares such that (5) holds.*

For any integer d consider the following optimisation problem:

$$v_r := \max_{\gamma} \quad \gamma \quad : \quad p - \gamma = s_0 + s_1 g_1 + \dots + s_k g_k, \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} s_0, s_1, \dots, s_k \text{ sums of squares} \\ \deg(s_0) \leq 2r \\ \deg(s_i g_i) \leq 2r \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, k. \end{cases}$$

For each r , the optimisation problem defining v_r can be formulated as a semidefinite program. Furthermore the sequence (v_r) is monotone nondecreasing and we have $v_r \leq \min_{\mathbf{x} \in S} p(\mathbf{x})$ for all r . If we assume there is i such that $\{\mathbf{x} : g_i(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0\}$ is compact, then Putinar's Positivstellensatz tells us that $v_r \rightarrow \min_{\mathbf{x} \in S} p(\mathbf{x})$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 1. *One can also define another hierarchy using the Schmüdgen type representation (6). The resulting semidefinite programs however will be much bigger since we need to search over 2^k sum-of-squares polynomials (rather than just $k + 1$ in the Putinar representation (5)).*

There are also other Positivstellensatz that do not rely on sums of squares. One such result is Polya's theorem.

Theorem 14.4 (Polya's theorem). *Let $p(\mathbf{x})$ be a homogeneous¹ polynomial in n variables and assume that $p(\mathbf{x}) > 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus \{0\}$. Then there exists an integer N such that the coefficients of the polynomial $(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n)^N p(\mathbf{x})$ are all nonnegative.*

Application to optimization: consider the problem of minimizing a homogeneous polynomial $p(\mathbf{x})$ of degree d on the unit simplex $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1 + \dots + x_n = 1\}$. One can define the following linear programming hierarchy:

$$v_N := \max_{\gamma} \quad (x_1 + \dots + x_n)^N (p(\mathbf{x}) - \gamma \cdot (x_1 + \dots + x_n)^d) \text{ has nonnegative coefficients}$$

Note that for any fixed N the coefficients of the polynomial

$$(x_1 + \dots + x_n)^N (p(\mathbf{x}) - \gamma \cdot (x_1 + \dots + x_n)^d)$$

are all linear in γ . This means that computing v_N amounts to solving a linear program. Polya's theorem guarantees that v_N converges to the minimum of p on the unit simplex as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Application: Dynamical systems and Lyapunov functions Consider a dynamical system

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = f(\mathbf{x})$$

where f is a polynomial. Assume that the origin $\mathbf{x} = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an equilibrium of the system, i.e., $f(0) = 0$. We would like to understand whether all the trajectories $\mathbf{x}(t)$ converge to 0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$. One way to check this is to find a Lyapunov function, which is a positive energy function that decreases along trajectories, i.e., $V(\mathbf{x}) > 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$ and $\frac{d}{dt}V(\mathbf{x}(t)) < 0$. Note that

$$\frac{d}{dt}V(\mathbf{x}(t)) = \left\langle \frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{x}(t), \nabla V(\mathbf{x}(t)) \right\rangle = \langle f(\mathbf{x}(t)), \nabla V(\mathbf{x}(t)) \rangle.$$

To find a Lyapunov function we can thus search for a function V that satisfies:

$$\begin{cases} V(\mathbf{x}) > 0 & \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \\ \langle \nabla V(\mathbf{x}), f(\mathbf{x}) \rangle < 0 & \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

The second condition ensures that the value $V(\mathbf{x}(t))$ decreases along trajectories. If we assume V to be a polynomial then the conditions (7) are polynomial positivity conditions. Consider the following sum-of-squares relaxation:

$$\text{Find polynomial } V(x_1, \dots, x_n) \text{ such that } \begin{cases} V(\mathbf{x}) \text{ is a sum-of-squares} \\ -\langle \nabla V(\mathbf{x}), f(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \text{ is a sum-of-squares.} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

If we impose a bound on the degree of V , then solving (8) amounts to a semidefinite feasibility problem.

¹I realise that I may have forgotten to write the assumption that p is homogeneous when stating Polya's theorem in lecture...

References

- [Rez95] Bruce Reznick. Uniform denominators in Hilbert’s seventeenth problem. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 220(1):75–97, 1995. [2](#)
- [Rez00] Bruce Reznick. Some concrete aspects of Hilbert’s 17th problem. *Contemporary Mathematics*, 253:251–272, 2000. [2](#)