

Symmetry and Particle Physics, 3

1. Define $\sigma_\mu = (1, \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ and $\bar{\sigma}_\mu = (1, -\boldsymbol{\sigma})$, with both four independent 2×2 matrices. Show

$$\sigma_\mu \bar{\sigma}_\nu + \sigma_\nu \bar{\sigma}_\mu = 2g_{\mu\nu} 1, \quad (\bar{\sigma}_\mu)^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \sigma_{\beta\dot{\beta}}.$$

Without assuming any explicit expression for the Pauli matrices $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ show that we must then have $\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\sigma_\mu x^\mu \bar{\sigma}_\nu x^\nu) = \det(\sigma_\mu x^\mu) = g_{\mu\nu} x^\mu x^\nu$.

For a matrix $A = [A_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}]$ explain why $A = \sigma_\mu \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\bar{\sigma}^\mu A)$. For $B = [B_\alpha{}^\beta]$ verify that

$$B = 1 \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(B) - \sigma_{[\mu} \bar{\sigma}_{\nu]} \frac{1}{8} \text{tr}(\sigma^{[\mu} \bar{\sigma}^{\nu]} B).$$

2. A Lie group has group elements $g(a)$ depending on group parameters a^r , with $g(0) = e$, the identity, and under group multiplication $g(a)g(b) = g(\varphi(a, b))$ for some $\varphi^r(a, b)$. Let $g(a)^{-1} = g(\bar{a})$ where $\varphi(\bar{a}, a) = 0$. Why must $\varphi^r(a, 0) = a^r$, $\varphi^r(0, b) = b^r$? Assume $\varphi^r(a, b)$ is expanded near the origin according to

$$\varphi^a(a, b) = a^a + b^a + c_{bc}^a a^b b^c + O(a^2 b, a b^2).$$

Use this to find $\bar{a}(a)$ for a small. Let $g(d) = g(a)^{-1} g(b)^{-1} g(a) g(b)$ and show that for a, b small $d^a = f_{bc}^a a^b b^c$ where $f_{bc}^a = c_{bc}^a - c_{cb}^a$. Using an expansion to one higher order show that the associativity condition $\varphi(\varphi(a, b), c) = \varphi(a, \varphi(b, c))$ leads to the Jacobi identity.

Assume the Lie group has generators T_a satisfying $[T_a, T_b] = f_{bc}^a T_c$. For an element of the Lie algebra $a^a T_a$ there is an associated group element given by $g(a) = \exp(a^a T_a)$. Use the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula for $\exp C = \exp A \exp B$ in the form $C = A + B + \frac{1}{2}[A, B] + \frac{1}{12}([A, [A, B]] + [B, [B, A]]) + \dots$ to obtain $\varphi(a, b)$ for a, b small and verify that this is compatible with the general expansion of φ .

3. Using the same notation as the previous question, where $c^r = \varphi^r(a, b)$, obtain

$$\frac{\partial c^r}{\partial b^s} = \lambda_s^a(b) \mu_a^r(c), \quad \mu_a^r(c) = \frac{\partial}{\partial b^a} \varphi^r(c, b) \Big|_{b=0}, \quad \mu_a^r(c) \lambda_r^b(c) = \delta_a^b.$$

Show that the equation for the structure constants f_{bc}^a may also be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial b^r} \lambda_s^a(b) - \frac{\partial}{\partial b^s} \lambda_r^a(b) = -f_{bc}^a \lambda_r^b(b) \lambda_s^c(b).$$

For those familiar with differential forms.

Defining the differential form $\omega^a(b) = db^r \lambda_r^a(b)$ verify that the above equation is equivalent, if d is the exterior derivative, to $d\omega^a(b) = -\frac{1}{2} f_{bc}^a \omega^b(b) \wedge \omega^c(b)$. Show that the Jacobi identity for f_{bc}^a is entailed by $d^2 \omega^a(b) = 0$. If g are matrices forming a representation, $g(c) = g(a)g(b)$, show that $g(b)^{-1} dg(b) = \omega^a(b) t_a$ where $\{t_a\}$ are matrix generators satisfying $[t_a, t_b] = f_{ab}^c t_c$.

4. An $SU(2)$ matrix may be represented by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1.$$

Let $\alpha = |\alpha| e^{i\lambda}$ where $|\alpha|^2 = 1 - |\beta|^2$ so that A is regarded as depending on the real parameter λ and the complex parameter β . Determine $d\lambda$, $d\beta$, $d\bar{\beta}$ in terms of infinitesimal ϕ , θ , $\bar{\theta}$ where

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha + d\alpha & \beta + d\beta \\ -\bar{\beta} - d\bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} + d\bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + i\phi & \theta \\ -\bar{\theta} & 1 - i\phi \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence show that the invariant measure for $SU(2)$ with these parameters is $d\lambda d^2\beta$. What are the ranges of λ and β ? Calculate $\int d\lambda d^2\beta$. (For $d\beta = d\beta_1 + i d\beta_2$, $d^2\beta = d\beta_1 d\beta_2$.)

5. Consider two $SU(2)$ algebras, $I_{\pm}, I_3$ and $U_{\pm}, U_3$, where $[I_3, I_{\pm}] = \pm I_{\pm}$, $[I_+, I_-] = 2I_3$ with $I_3^{\dagger} = I_3$, $I_+^{\dagger} = I_-$, and also similarly for $I \rightarrow U$. Assume

$$[I_-, U_+] = 0, \quad [I_3, U_+] = -\frac{1}{2}U_+, \quad [U_-, I_+] = 0 \quad [U_3, I_+] = -\frac{1}{2}I_+.$$

Define $V_+ = [I_+, U_+]$ and $V_- = V_+^{\dagger}$. Explain why $[I_+, V_+] = [U_+, V_+] = 0$. Evaluate $[V_+, V_-]$ and show that $V_{\pm}, V_3 = I_3 + U_3$ form a $SU(2)$ algebra. Let $U_3 = -\frac{1}{2}I_3 + \frac{3}{2}Y$. Work out the various commutators involving Y .

Explain how the **I**-spin and **U**-spin operators with the above relations generate a $SU(3)$ algebra.

6. For a simple Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$, with elements X_i such that $[X_i, X_j] = if_{ijk}X_k$ where f_{ijk} is totally antisymmetric, let T_i be matrices forming a representation R of $\mathcal{L}$ and assume $T_i T_i = C_R 1$. Define

$$\langle X_i, X_j \rangle = \text{tr}(T_i T_j) \frac{\dim \mathcal{L}}{C_R \dim R}.$$

(a) Evaluate $\langle J_3, J_3 \rangle$ in the j -th irreducible representation of $SU(2)$ and show that the result is independent of j .

(b) For $SU(3)$ show that the representation given by $T_i = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_i$ gives the same value for $\langle X_i, X_j \rangle$ as does the adjoint representation $(T_i^{\text{ad}})_{jk} = -if_{ijk}$.

7. Let $\{T_j^i\}$ be $n \times n$ matrices such that T_j^i has a 1 in the i 'th row and j 'th column and is zero otherwise. Show that they satisfy the Lie algebra

$$[T_j^i, T_l^k] = \delta_j^k T_l^i - \delta_l^i T_j^k.$$

Define $X = T_j^i X_j^i$ with arbitrary components X_j^i . Determine the adjoint matrix $(X^{\text{ad}})^n_{m, k, l}$ by

$$[X, T_l^k] = T_m^n (X^{\text{ad}})^n_{m, k, l},$$

and show that

$$\kappa(X, Y) = \text{tr}(X^{\text{ad}} Y^{\text{ad}}) = 2(n \sum_{i,j} X_j^i Y_j^i - \sum_i X_i^i \sum_j Y_j^j).$$

Show that $1 + \epsilon X \in U(n)$ for infinitesimal ϵ if $(X_j^i)^* = -X_j^i$. Hence show that in this case

$$\kappa(X, X) = -2n \sum_{i,j} |\hat{X}_i^j|^2, \quad \hat{X}_i^j = X_i^j - \frac{1}{n} \delta_i^j \sum_k X_k^k,$$

and therefore $\kappa(X, X) = 0 \Leftrightarrow X^{\text{ad}} = 0$. What restrictions must be made for $SU(N)$ and verify that in this case the generators satisfy $\kappa(X, X) < 0$ so the group is semi-simple.

8. For a group with a Lie algebra with a basis $\{T_a\}$ such that $[T_a, T_b] = f_{ab}^c T_c$ let $g_{ab} = \langle T_a, T_b \rangle$ where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an invariant symmetric bilinear form so that $\langle [X, Y], Z \rangle = -\langle Y, [X, Z] \rangle$. Show that $f_{abc} = g_{ad} f_{bc}^d$ is totally antisymmetric. If D_{μ} is an appropriate covariant derivative involving a gauge field A_{μ}^a verify

$$\partial_{\mu} \langle X(x), Y(x) \rangle = \langle D_{\mu} X(x), Y(x) \rangle + \langle X(x), D_{\mu} Y(x) \rangle.$$

Let $T_{\nu}^{\mu} = \langle F^{\mu\sigma}, F_{\nu\sigma} \rangle - \frac{1}{4} \delta_{\nu}^{\mu} \langle F^{\sigma\rho}, F_{\sigma\rho} \rangle$. Show, using the Bianchi identity, $\partial_{\mu} T_{\nu}^{\mu} = \langle D_{\mu} F^{\mu\sigma}, F_{\nu\sigma} \rangle$. For a variation δA_{μ}^a obtain also $\delta \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho} \langle F_{\mu\nu}, F_{\sigma\rho} \rangle = \partial_{\mu} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho} \langle \delta A_{\nu}, F_{\sigma\rho} \rangle$. By letting $A_{\mu} \rightarrow t A_{\mu}$ and differentiating with respect to t and then integrating show that

$$\frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho} \langle F_{\mu\nu}, F_{\sigma\rho} \rangle = \partial_{\mu} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho} \langle A_{\nu}, \partial_{\sigma} A_{\rho} + \frac{1}{3} [A_{\sigma}, A_{\rho}] \rangle.$$

9. With notation as in the previous question define a three dimensional Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} (g_{ab} A_{\mu}^a \partial_{\nu} A_{\rho}^b + \frac{1}{3} f_{abc} A_{\mu}^a A_{\nu}^b A_{\rho}^c).$$

For a gauge transformation $\delta A_{\mu}^a = -\partial_{\mu} \lambda^a - f_{bc}^a A_{\mu}^b \lambda^c$ show that $\delta \mathcal{L} = -\partial_{\mu} (\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} g_{ab} \lambda^a \partial_{\nu} A_{\rho}^b)$ so that $\int d^3x \mathcal{L}$ is invariant.