

The Standard Model 4, QCD

(1) In QCD let $a = g^2/(4\pi)^2$. The running coupling $a(\mu^2)$ is defined by

$$\mu^2 \frac{d}{d\mu^2} a = \beta(a), \quad \beta(a) = -\beta_0 a^2 - \beta_1 a^3 - \beta_2 a^4 + O(a^5).$$

Show that for a suitable choice of Λ

$$\frac{1}{a(\mu^2)} = \beta_0 \log \frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda^2} + \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0} \log \log \frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda^2} + O\left(1 / \log \frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda^2}\right).$$

If the coupling is redefined so that

$$\bar{a} = f(a) = a + v_1 a^2 + v_2 a^3 + O(a^4),$$

show that $\bar{\beta}(\bar{a}) = \beta(a) f'(a)$ and hence $\bar{\beta}_0 = \beta_0$, $\bar{\beta}_1 = \beta_1$, $\bar{\beta}_2 = \beta_2 + \beta_0(v_2 - v_1^2) - \beta_1 v_1$. If $\bar{a}(\mu^2) = f(a(\mu^2))$ is written in terms of $\bar{\Lambda}$ in the same form as a in terms of Λ above show that

$$\log \frac{\bar{\Lambda}^2}{\Lambda^2} = \frac{v_1}{\beta_0}.$$

Suppose a physical observable R has a perturbative expansion in terms of the coupling $a(\mu^2)$ of the form $R = a^N [r_0 + r_1 a + r_2 a^2 + \dots]$. Under the above redefinition $r_1 \rightarrow \bar{r}_1 = r_1 + N v_1 r_0$, $r_2 \rightarrow \bar{r}_2 = r_2 + N v_2 r_0 + \frac{1}{2} N(N-1) v_1^2 r_0 + (N+1) v_1 r_1$. Show that

$$\hat{r}_1 = r_1 - \beta_0 N r_0 \log \frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda^2}, \quad \hat{r}_2 = r_2 + N \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_0} r_0 - \frac{N+1}{2N} \frac{r_1^2}{r_0} - \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0} r_1,$$

are invariant under redefinitions of the couplings.

(2) For a fundamental complex scalar field φ the electromagnetic current has the form $J^\mu = i(\varphi^* \partial^\mu \varphi - \partial^\mu \varphi^* \varphi)$. Assuming that this field corresponds to the charged constituents of a hadron H obtain, treating the constituents as if they were free,

$$W_H^{\nu\mu}(q, P) \sim \int d^4 k W_\varphi^{\nu\mu}(q, k) (\Gamma_H(P, k) + \bar{\Gamma}_H(P, k)),$$

where

$$W_\varphi^{\nu\mu}(q, k) = \frac{1}{2} (2k + q)^\nu (2k + q)^\mu \delta((k + q)^2).$$

Hence obtain for $P \cdot q$ $W_2(P \cdot q, -q^2) = F_2(x, -q^2)$, $W_1(P \cdot q, -q^2) = F_1(x, -q^2)$, $x = -q^2/2P \cdot q$, the asymptotic forms in the deep inelastic limit $-q^2 \rightarrow \infty$

$$F_2(x, -q^2) \sim x(f(x) + \bar{f}(x)), \quad F_1(x, -q^2) \sim 0,$$

where, for $0 < x < 1$, and taking $k = \xi P + k'$ with $k' \cdot q$ bounded

$$f(x) = x \int d^4 k \delta(\xi - x) \Gamma_H(P, k), \quad \bar{f}(x) = x \int d^4 k \delta(\xi - x) \bar{\Gamma}_H(P, k).$$

(3)* Show that the tensor which appears in the discussion of deep inelastic electron scattering on a hadron H can be written as

$$W^{\nu\mu}(q, P) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^4x e^{iq \cdot x} \langle H, P | J^\nu(x) J^\mu(0) | H, P \rangle.$$

Assume that the current is expressible in terms of free quark fields by $J^\mu = : \bar{q} \gamma^\mu Q q :$, where Q is a matrix of quark charges in the space of quark flavours and $: : \$ denotes normal ordering. For free quarks show that

$$\langle 0 | q(x) \bar{q}(0) | 0 \rangle = \frac{i}{2\pi^2} \frac{\gamma \cdot x}{(x^2 - i\epsilon x^0)^2}.$$

Hence show, using Wick's theorem, that as $x^2 \rightarrow 0$ the currents are expected to satisfy an operator product expansion of the form

$$\begin{aligned} J^\nu(x) J^\mu(0) &\sim \frac{1}{\pi^4} \frac{1}{(x^2 - i\epsilon x^0)^4} (g^{\nu\mu} x^2 - 2x^\nu x^\mu) \text{tr}(Q^2) \\ &+ \frac{i}{2\pi^2} \frac{1}{(x^2 - i\epsilon x^0)^2} (x^\nu \mathcal{V}^\mu(x|0) + x^\mu \mathcal{V}^\nu(x|0) - g^{\nu\mu} x \cdot \mathcal{V}(x|0) + i\epsilon^{\nu\mu\alpha\beta} \mathcal{A}_\beta(x|0)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{V}^\mu(x|0)$, $\mathcal{A}^\mu(x|0)$ are bilocal operators,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}^\mu(x|0) &= : \bar{q}(x) \gamma^\mu Q^2 q(0) : - : \bar{q}(0) \gamma^\mu Q^2 q(x) :, \\ \mathcal{A}^\mu(x|0) &= : \bar{q}(x) \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 Q^2 q(0) : + : \bar{q}(0) \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 Q^2 q(x) :. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\langle H, P | \mathcal{V}^\mu(x|0) | H, P \rangle \Big|_{x^2=0} = 2(P^\mu f_1(x \cdot P) + x^\mu f_2(x \cdot P)),$$

and using, in the deep inelastic limit $-q^2, \nu = q \cdot P \rightarrow \infty$ with $x_B = -q^2/2\nu$ fixed,

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^4x e^{iq \cdot x} \frac{x^\mu}{(x^2 - i\epsilon x^0)^2} f(x \cdot P) \sim -\frac{\pi^2 i}{2\nu} q^\mu \tilde{f}(x_B) \quad \text{for } x > 0, \quad \tilde{f}(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int du e^{-iyu} f(u),$$

obtain in this limit $W_1(\nu, -q^2) \sim \frac{1}{2} \tilde{f}_1(x_B)$, $\nu W_2(\nu, -q^2) \sim x_B \tilde{f}_1(x_B)$. From their original definition show that $f_1(u) = -f_1(u)^* = -f_1(-u)$ and hence that $\tilde{f}_1(x_B)$ is real.

(4)* For two couplings g, y show that a solution of the coupled renormalisation flow equations

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} g_\tau^2 = b g_\tau^4, \quad \frac{d}{d\tau} y_\tau^2 = y_\tau^2 (r g_\tau^2 - s y_\tau^2),$$

with $b, r, s > 0$, is given by

$$\frac{g_\tau^2}{y_\tau^2} = \frac{1}{X} + \left(\frac{g_0^2}{y_0^2} - \frac{1}{X} \right) \left(\frac{g_0^2}{g_\tau^2} \right)^{\frac{r}{b}-1}, \quad \frac{g_0^2}{g_\tau^2} = 1 - b g_0^2 \tau, \quad X = \frac{r-b}{s}.$$

Hence if $r > b$ explain why for any initial y_0, g_0 we may expect $y_\tau^2 \rightarrow X g_\tau^2$ as τ increases.

The coupling of the top quark to the neutral Higgs field ϕ_0 in the standard model is given by $\mathcal{L}_{\phi,t} = -\sqrt{2}y(\bar{t}_L t_R \phi_0^\dagger + \bar{t}_R t_L \phi_0)$ where SSB gives $\langle \phi_0 \rangle = v/\sqrt{2}$ so that the top mass $m_t = yv$ with $v = (\sqrt{2}G_F)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \approx 250\text{GeV}$. The β -functions for the Yukawa coupling y and the QCD coupling g are

$$\beta^y = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} (9y^3 - 8yg^2), \quad \beta^g = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} 7g^3.$$

Determine the running couplings $y(\mu), g(\mu)$ from the above solution taking $\tau = -\ln(\mu^2/\mu_0^2)$. Suppose the flow equations are applied with μ_0 very large so that for $\mu \approx v$ we may assume that $y(\mu)$ is given in terms of $g(\mu)$ by the above fixed point. Determine m_t choosing a suitable value of μ if $g(m_Z)^2/4\pi = 0.117$, $m_Z = 91\text{ GeV}$. A better approximation for determining y_τ consists of assuming that $\mu_0 \approx 10^{16}\text{ GeV}$ and that in the above we can then neglect g_0^2/y_0^2 . What is m_t then?