

Path Integrals and Feynman Graphs

1. Let

$$K(q, t; q_0, t_0) = \left(\frac{m}{2\pi i(t - t_0)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{im \frac{(q - q_0)^2}{2(t - t_0)}}, \quad t > t_0.$$

be the amplitude for a particle to propagate from q_0 at time t_0 to q at time t . Show that

$$\int dq' K(q, t; q', t') K(q', t'; q_0, t_0) = K(q, t; q_0, t_0), \quad t > t' > t_0.$$

2. Show that the expression given for K in the last example satisfies

$$-\frac{1}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} K(x, t; 0, 0) = i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} K(x, t; 0, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} K(x, t; 0, 0) = \delta(x)$$

Use these facts to express the solution of the Schrödinger equation for a free particle $\Psi(x, t)$ in terms of initial data $\Psi(x, 0)$ and $K(x, t; 0, 0)$. Check this result for $\Psi(x, 0) = e^{ikx}$ with k constant.

3. For a harmonic oscillator with angular frequency ω

$$K(q, t; q_0, 0) = \left(\frac{m\omega}{2\pi i \sin \omega t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(im\omega \frac{(q^2 + q_0^2) \cos \omega t - 2qq_0}{2 \sin \omega t} \right).$$

Verify

$$\text{tr}(e^{-\beta H}) = \int dq K(q, -i\beta; q, 0), \quad \text{tr}(Pe^{-\beta H}) = \int dq K(q, -i\beta; -q, 0),$$

where H is the usual harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian and P is the parity operator. The operator trace is defined by $\text{tr}(O) = \sum_n \langle n | O | n \rangle$ where $\{|n\rangle\}$ is a complete orthonormal set of states. Note that we must require $\text{Re}\beta > 0$.

4. Consider the Lagrangian $L = \frac{1}{2}\dot{q}^2 - \frac{1}{2}c(t)q^2$. Show that the quantum amplitude K in this case can be approximated

$$K(q, t; q_0, t_0) \approx \frac{1}{(2\pi i\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}(N+1)}} \int \prod_{r=1}^N dq_r e^{iS[q]}, \quad S[q] = \sum_{r=0}^N \left(\frac{1}{2\epsilon} (q_{r+1} - q_r)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon c_r q_r^2 \right),$$

where we take $q_{N+1} = q$, $t - t_0 = T = (N + 1)\epsilon$ and $c_r = c(t_r)$ for $t_r = t_0 + r\epsilon$. Suppose Q_r , with $Q_0 = q_0$, $Q_{N+1} = q$, is the solution of $\frac{\partial}{\partial q_r} S[q] = 0$ for $r = 1, \dots, N$. Let $q = Q + f$ where $f_0 = f_{N+1} = 0$. Show that $S[q] = S[Q] + S[f]$ where $S[f] = \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \sum_{r,s} f_r A_{N,rs} f_s$ and we define $j \times j$ matrices \underline{A}_j by

$$\underline{A}_j = \begin{pmatrix} 2 - \epsilon^2 c_1 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 - \epsilon^2 c_2 & -1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 - \epsilon^2 c_3 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 2 - \epsilon^2 c_{j-1} & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & 2 - \epsilon^2 c_j \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence obtain

$$K(q, t; q_0, t_0) \approx \frac{1}{(2\pi i \epsilon \det \underline{A}_N)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{iS[Q]}.$$

By expanding along the j 'th row or column show that $\det \underline{A}_j = (2 - \epsilon^2 c_j) \det \underline{A}_{j-1} - \det \underline{A}_{j-2}$. For $c_r = 0$ show that $\det \underline{A}_N = N + 1$. If $D(t_j) = \epsilon \det \underline{A}_j$ show that as $N \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} D(t) = -c(t)D(t), \quad D(t_0) = 0, \quad D'(t_0) = 1.$$

Solve this for $c(t) = \omega^2$. For any N show that the eigenvalues of \underline{A}_N are $\lambda_n = 2(1 - \cos \frac{n\pi}{N+1}) - \epsilon^2 \omega^2$ for $n = 1, \dots, N$ and that $\lambda_n \approx \epsilon^2(\frac{n^2 \pi^2}{T^2} - \omega^2)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Use $\prod_{n=1}^N (2 \cos \theta - 2 \cos \frac{n\pi}{N+1}) = \sin(N+1)\theta / \sin \theta$ to work out $\det \underline{A}_N$ for large N in this case. How does this compare with using the large N approximation for λ_n ?

5. Prove the identity

$$G(\partial/\partial b)F(b) = F(\partial/\partial u)G(u)e^{ub}|_{u=0}$$

by assuming the functions F and G are expandable as power series (by linearity, it then suffices to consider $F(x) = x^n$ and $G(x) = x^m$). Extend this to the case of many variables.

6. Determine all connected one loop graphs, with their appropriate symmetry factors, which contribute to $\langle \phi(x_1)\phi(x_2) \rangle$, $\langle \phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\phi(x_3) \rangle$ and $\langle \phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\phi(x_3)\phi(x_4) \rangle$, for an interaction given by $V(\phi) = \frac{1}{6}g\phi^3 + \frac{1}{24}\lambda\phi^4$.

7. Using the expansion of

$$Z = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{x}} \cdot \underline{A}^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{x}}\right) \exp(-V(\underline{x})) \Big|_{\underline{x}=\underline{0}},$$

where $V(\underline{0}) = 0$ and if $V_{i_1 \dots i_n} = \partial_{i_1} \dots \partial_{i_n} V(\underline{x})|_{\underline{x}=\underline{0}}$ with $V_i = V_{ij} = 0$, determine possible three loop connected vacuum diagrams which are one particle irreducible (cannot be made disconnected by cutting one line). If $V^{(n)}$ denotes the n 'th derivative of V at $\underline{x} = \underline{0}$ and if for a given three loop diagram the contribution is $O(\underline{A}^{-r} (V^{(3)})^{s_3} (V^{(4)})^{s_4} (V^{(5)})^{s_5} (V^{(6)})^{s_6})$ show that we must have $r - \sum_n s_n = 2$ and $2r = \sum_n n s_n$. Hence verify that there are two diagrams involving just $V^{(4)}$ and one just $V^{(6)}$ and two just $V^{(3)}$ and 8 in all. What are the symmetry factors for each diagram?

8. A zero dimensional model for the functional integral in quantum field theory is obtained by considering

$$Z(\lambda) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int dx e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{24}\lambda x^4}, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

Obtain the N 'th order perturbation expansion $Z_N(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^N (-1)^n \left(\frac{\lambda}{24}\right)^n \frac{(4n)!}{2^{2n}(2n)!n!}$ and hence $W(\lambda) = \log Z(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{8}\lambda + \frac{1}{12}\lambda^2 - \frac{11}{96}\lambda^3 + O(\lambda^4)$. Show how the coefficients in the expansion of $W(\lambda)$ are the sums of the symmetry factors of the relevant connected vacuum diagrams (there is one at two loops, two at three loops and four at four loops).

Why is $Z(\lambda) < 1$? If you have access to Mathematica or equivalent plot $Z_N(\lambda)$ for $\lambda = 0.1$ against N and show that there is a region of N where the result appears to converge to a precise answer before blowing up for larger N . By expanding $e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}$ obtain a convergent strong coupling expansion in terms of powers $\lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}(2n+1)}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$. How many terms does one need for $\lambda = 0.1$ to get the previous result?