Hydrodynamic interactions between nearby slender filaments
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Abstract - Cellular biology abound with filaments interacting through fluids, from intracellular microtubules, to rotating flagella and beating cilia. While previous work has demonstrated the complexity of capturing nonlocal hydrodynamic interactions between moving filaments, the problem remains difficult theoretically. We show here that when filaments are closer to each other than their relevant length scale, the integration of hydrodynamic interactions can be approximately carried out analytically. This leads to a set of simplified local equations, illustrated on a simple model of two interacting filaments, which can be used to tackle theoretically a range of problems in biology and physics.

While one tends to think of biological cells as stubby, their environment is in fact rich with filamentous structures. Inside cells, polymeric filaments of microtubules, actin, and intermediate filaments fill the eukaryotic cytoplasm [1] and provide it with its mechanical structure [2]. Outside cells, the motion of flagella and cilia allows cells to generate propulsive forces [3–5] and induces flows critical to human health [6,7].

In all cases, these biological filaments are immersed in a viscous fluid in which they move at low Reynolds number, be it due to their polymerisation, to fluctuations and thermal forces, or to the action of molecular motors [8]. At low Reynolds number, the flows induced locally by the motion of filaments relative to a background fluid have a slow spatial decay as $\sim 1/r$ [9,10]. In situations where filaments are close to each other, we thus expect nonlocal hydrodynamic interactions to be important [11].

Integrating long-ranged hydrodynamic interactions between filaments has long been recognised as a challenging problem, and one where the theoretical approach has consisted of either full numerical simulations or very simplified analysis. A variety of computational methods have been developed to tackle it including slender-body theory [12–14], boundary elements to implement boundary integral formulations [15], the immersed boundary method [16,17], regularized flow singularities [18] and particle-based methods [19,20].

While these computational approaches allow to address complex geometries and dynamics, the difficulty of integrating long-range hydrodynamic interactions has prevented analytical approaches from providing insight beyond simplified setups. The two most common approaches in biophysics consist in replacing the dynamics in three dimensions by a two-dimensional problem for which the analysis may be easier to carry out [21,22], or by focusing on far-field hydrodynamic interactions and ignoring the geometrical details of near-field hydrodynamics, a popular approach to study synchronisation of flagella and cilia [23–29].

In realistic biological situations, three-dimensional filaments are not far from each other, but in fact are often found in the opposite, near-field, limit where their separation distance is much smaller than their length. This is illustrated in fig. 1(a) with three examples relevant to cell motility: synchronising flagella of spermatozoa; bundle of bacterial flagellar filaments; epithelium cilia. In order to capture the dynamics of these interacting filaments, new analytical tools are thus required.

In this paper, we show that analytical progress can be achieved by taking advantage of a separation of length scales. A generic two-filament setup (as in fig. 1(b)) is characterized by three length scales: the filament radius, $a$; the separation distance between the filaments, $h$; and the filament length, $L$. While far-field studies focus on the limit $h \gg \{L, a\}$, many biological situations are in the opposite near-field limit, for example in the case of waving cilia arrays [30], for which $\{a, h\} \ll L$, i.e., slender filaments close to each other compared to their typical size. We show here that in the special case where $a \ll h$, i.e., for filaments thinner than any another other length scale...
placements of the filaments are at most on the order of that the filaments are slender. Furthermore, since the dis-
noted two spermatozoa synchronising their flagella (reprinted with permission from Yang, Elgeti and Gompper [31]; © 2008, American Physical Society); flagellar filaments of peritrichous bacteria during swarming (reprinted with permission from Turner, Zhang, Darnton and Berg [32]; © 2010, American Society for Microbiology); epithelium cilia (courtesy of C. Daghlian, Wikimedia Commons). (b) Prototypical setup: two slender fil-
ament lengths of length $L$ and radius $a$ at a typical distance $h$ from each other.

in the problem, the hydrodynamic interactions between the filaments can be analytically integrated out, leading to a set of simplified local equations valid in the limit $a \ll h \ll L$. Our results, illustrated on a simple model of two interacting rigid filaments, will allow to tackle theoretically a range of problems in biology and physics.

Consider the two filaments in fig. 1(b), numbered #1 and #2. Denote the location of the centerline to filament $i$ as $r^{(i)}(s,t)$ where $s$ is the arclength, and let $t^{(i)}(s) = \partial r^{(i)}/\partial s$ be its unit tangent.

In order to compute the hydrodynamic forces on the fil-
aments, we exploit the two assumed separations of scales, $a \ll h \ll L$. We first note that the limit $a \ll L$ implies that the filaments are slender. Furthermore, since the dis-
placements of the filaments are at most on the order of their separation distance, $h$, their typical curvature, denoted $\kappa$, is at most of order $\kappa \sim h/L^2$. Since we assume the limit $h \ll L$, this means that we have always $kh \ll 1$ and $\kappa L \ll 1$, and the filaments undergo long-wavelength de-
formation. In that case, resistive-force theory may be used to calculate the hydrodynamic force densities on each filament [9,33,34]. Denoting the force densities $f^{(1)}$ and $f^{(2)}$, resistive-force theory states that they are proportional to the local velocity of the filament relative the background fluid, i.e.,

$$f^{(1)} = -\left(\xi_\perp \mathbf{I} + (\xi_\parallel - \xi_\perp) t^{(1)}(s)\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial r^{(1)}}{\partial t} - v^{(2)\rightarrow(1)}\right),$$  

$$f^{(2)} = -\left(\xi_\perp \mathbf{I} + (\xi_\parallel - \xi_\perp) t^{(2)}(s)\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial r^{(2)}}{\partial t} - v^{(1)\rightarrow(2)}\right),$$

where all fields are implicitly functions of $s$ and $t$ and where $\xi_\perp$ and $\xi_\parallel$ are the drag coefficients for motion in the direction perpendicular and parallel to its local tan-
gent [9,33,34]. We compute below the hydrodynamic force density acting on filament #1, the other one being de-
duced by symmetry. In eq. (1a), the term $v^{(2)\rightarrow(1)}$ denotes the flow induced by the motion of filament #2 near fila-
ment #1: it represents the effect of hydrodynamic interac-
tions and the goal of this paper is to show how to calculate its value.

As filament #2 undergoes in general both rotational and translational motion, we split $v^{(2)\rightarrow(1)}$ into the flows induced by local moments, $v^{(2)\rightarrow(1)}_{m}$ (rotation), and those induced by local forces, $v^{(2)\rightarrow(1)}_{F}$ (translation). We then write $v^{(2)\rightarrow(1)} = v^{(2)\rightarrow(1)}_{m} + v^{(2)\rightarrow(1)}_{F}$, and calculate the values of each term in the long-wavelength limit, $h \ll L$.

In order to simplify the presentation, we focus in detail on the derivation of the first velocity term, $v^{(2)\rightarrow(1)}_{m}$, induced by the rotational motion of filament #2, while the value of $v^{(2)\rightarrow(1)}_{F}$ is computed along similar lines (see below). Note that while $v^{(2)\rightarrow(1)}_{m}$ is exactly zero for non-
rotating filaments, e.g., in the case of the planar waving flagella of spermatozoa, it will be important in other situa-
tions involving rotation, e.g., the dynamics of bacterial flagellar filaments. Since $a \ll h$, the flow may be described by a superposition of flow singularities. If $\mathbf{m}^{(2)}$ denotes the hydrodynamic torque density acting on filament #2, the flow is given as a line of integral of rotlets (or point torques) as [35]

$$v^{(2)\rightarrow(1)}_{m}(s) = \int_{0}^{L} \frac{\mathbf{m}^{(2)}(s')}{8\pi\mu} \times \frac{\mathbf{R}(s,s')}{R(s,s')^3} ds',$$  

where $s$ and $s'$ are the arclengths along filaments #1 and #2 and $\mathbf{R}(s,s') = r^{(1)}(s) - r^{(2)}(s')$ is the relative position vector with magnitude $R$ (all quantities are implicit functions of time). If filament #2 rotates relative to the background fluid with rotation rate $\omega^{(2)}(s')$, then it is a classical result that

$$\mathbf{m}^{(2)}(s') = -\xi_\perp \omega^{(2)}(s') t^{(2)}(s'),$$

where the resistance coefficient in rotation is $\xi_\perp = 4\pi \mu a^2$.

We nondimensionalise lengths by $L$, leading to two dimensionless numbers: the filament aspect ratio, $\epsilon_a = a/L$, and the distance-to-size ratio, $\epsilon_s = h/L$. Times are nondimen-
sionalised by a relevant, problem-specific time scale $T$. The integral from eq. (2) becomes then in dimensionless form

$$v^{(2)\rightarrow(1)}_{m}(s) = \frac{\epsilon_a^2}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \bar{\omega}(s') \mathbf{t}^{(2)}(s') \times \frac{\mathbf{R}(s,s')}{R(s,s')^3} ds',$$  

and we drop the bars for notation convenience.

Since we are in the long-wavelength limit, it is nat-
ural to use Cartesian coordinates (fig. 2). We denote by $\mathbf{e}_x$ the unit vector along the mean direction of the (approximately) parallel filaments and describe the in-
stantaneous geometry of each filament as $r^{(i)}(t,s^{(i)}) = [x^{(i)}(t,s^{(i)}),y^{(i)}(t,s^{(i)})]$, where $s^{(1)} = s$ and $s^{(2)} = s'$. Introducing the notation $\Delta = s - s'$ and
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the planar vector \( \mathbf{d}(s; s') = [x^{(1)}(s) - x^{(2)}(s'), y^{(1)}(s) - y^{(2)}(s'), 0] \) of magnitude \( d = |\mathbf{d}| \), then the relative position vector \( \mathbf{r} \) is written by separating the direction along and perpendicular to the filaments as \( \mathbf{r} = \Delta \mathbf{e}_z + \epsilon_h \mathbf{d} \), with magnitude \( R = (\Delta^2 + \epsilon_h^2 d^2)^{1/2} \).

The schematic representation of how the integration is performed is shown in fig. 2(a) with detailed notation in fig. 2(b). Our method is inspired by a classical calculation due to Lighthill where, in order to describe the flow induced by the motion of a single filament, he separated the flow induced by point singularities into local and nonlocal terms using an intermediate length scale on which the filament was still slender but almost straight \([9]\). We introduce an intermediate length scale satisfying \( \epsilon_h \ll \delta \ll 1 \) and split the integration into two regions: 1) a nonlocal region, \( |\Delta| \geq \delta \), where the distance between two points on the filaments is dominated by \( R \sim |\Delta| \) since \( \epsilon_h \ll \delta \) (resulting velocity denoted \( \mathbf{v}^\text{NL} \)); and 2) a local region where \( |\Delta| \leq \delta \), and for which in the limit \( \delta \ll 1 \) we can approximate \( R \sim (\Delta^2 + \epsilon_h^2 d^2)^{1/2} \), where \( d_0 \) is the local filament-filament distance \( d_0(s; s') = d(s; s') = s \) (resulting velocity denoted \( \mathbf{v}^\text{L} \)). The final result, sum of \( \mathbf{v}^\text{NL} \) and \( \mathbf{v}^\text{L} \), should then be independent of the value of \( \delta \).

Changing the variable of integration in eq. (4) to \( \Delta = s - s' \), the nonlocal contribution to the integral is given by

\[
v^\text{NL}_{M} = \frac{\epsilon_h^2}{2} \left( \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} + \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \right) \omega^{(2)}(s - \Delta) \mathbf{u}^{(2)}(s - \Delta) \times \frac{\mathbf{R}}{R^3} d\Delta.
\]

Since \( |\Delta| \geq \delta \) and \( \epsilon_h \ll \delta \), we have \( R(s; s - \Delta) \approx |\Delta| \). Writing \( R = \Delta \mathbf{e}_z + \epsilon_h \mathbf{d}(s; s - \Delta) \) and \( \mathbf{u}^{(2)}(s - \Delta) = \mathbf{e}_z + \epsilon_h \mathbf{t}_\perp(s - \Delta) \), where \( \mathbf{t}_\perp(s - \Delta) = \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}^{(2)}}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}^{(2)}}{\partial \delta}, 0 \right) \big|_{s'=s-\Delta} \),

the integrand from eq. (5) is given by

\[
\epsilon_h \omega^{(2)}(s - \Delta) [\mathbf{e}_z \times \mathbf{d}(s; s - \Delta) + \mathbf{t}_\perp(s - \Delta) \times \Delta \mathbf{e}_z] \frac{1}{|\Delta|}. \tag{6}
\]

The leading-order term in eq. (6) diverges as \( 1/|\Delta|^3 \) in the limit \( \delta \to 0 \), leading to a final asymptotic integral as

\[
v^\text{NL}_{M} = \frac{\epsilon_h^2}{2} \left( \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} + \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \right) \omega^{(2)}(s - \Delta) \mathbf{e}_z \times \mathbf{d}(s; s - \Delta) \frac{d\Delta}{R^3} \Delta \tag{7}
\]

In the limit where \( \delta \to 0 \), the result in eq. (7) diverges and is dominated by the behavior of the integrand near the boundary, i.e., \( \Delta = 0 \). Calling \( d_0 \) the local direction between the filaments perpendicular to their long axis, i.e., \( \mathbf{d}_0 = \mathbf{d}(s; s) \) (fig. 2(b)), we obtain in the limit \( \delta \to 0 \)

\[
v^\text{NL}_{M} = \frac{\epsilon_h^2}{2} \omega^{(2)}(s) \mathbf{e}_z \times \mathbf{d}_0, \tag{8}
\]

at leading order.

Next we consider the local integration where we have

\[
v^\text{L}_{M} = \frac{\epsilon_h^2}{2} \left( \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} + \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \right) \omega^{(2)}(s - \Delta) \mathbf{t}^{(2)}(s - \Delta) \times \frac{\mathbf{R}}{R^3} d\Delta. \tag{9}
\]

In the local region, we can Taylor-expand \( \omega^{(2)} \) and \( \mathbf{d} \) around \( \Delta = 0 \) (i.e., around \( s' = s \)) as

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega(s - \Delta)^{(2)} & = \omega(s)^{(2)} + \Delta \omega_0^{(2)} + O(\Delta^2), \\
\mathbf{d}(s - \Delta) & = \mathbf{d}(s) + \Delta \mathbf{d}_0^{(2)} + O(\Delta^2),
\end{align*}
\]

where, under the long-wavelength approximation, the derivatives \( \omega_0^{(2)} \) and \( \mathbf{d}_0^{(2)} \) are of order one (i.e., the geometry and the rotation of the filaments vary on the length scale \( L \)). In that case, each term in the integrand can be expanded and we get at leading order that only the local values of the rotation rate, \( \omega^{(2)}(s) \), and the force, \( \mathbf{f}^{(2)}(s) \), enter the problem, with a local flow given by

\[
v^\text{L}_{M} = \frac{\epsilon_h^2}{2} \omega^{(2)}(s) \mathbf{e}_z \times \mathbf{d}_0 \left( \frac{2}{\epsilon_h d_0^2} \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \right). \tag{10}
\]

Adding up eqs. (8) and (12), we obtain the final flow induced by filament \#2, which is independent of the value of \( \delta \), given at leading order by

\[
v^{(2)\rightarrow(1)}_{M} = \frac{\epsilon_h^2}{6} \omega^{(2)}(s) \mathbf{e}_z \times \mathbf{d}_0. \tag{13}
\]

A similar approach may be used to evaluate the second velocity term, \( \mathbf{v}^{(2)\rightarrow(1)} \), induced by the forcing of filament
#2 on the fluid. In that case, the flow is given by a line integral of stokeslet singularities (point forces) as
\[
\mathbf{v}^{(2)\rightarrow(1)}_F = \int_0^L \frac{-\mathbf{f}^{(2)}(s')}{8\pi\mu} \cdot \left( \frac{1}{R} + \frac{\mathbf{RR}'}{R^3} \right) ds',
\]
(14)
where \(\mathbf{I}\) is the identity tensor and \(\mathbf{f}^{(2)}\) the force density acting on filament #2. One notable difference between eq. (2) and eq. (14) is that the integrand in eq. (2) is known explicitly (filament rotation), whereas that in eq. (14) has in it the quantity we are trying to determine, specifically the unknown force density, \(\mathbf{f}^{(2)}\). We can however proceed as above as long as \(\mathbf{f}^{(2)}\) varies on the length scale \(L\), and similarly for the other filament, so that the resulting velocities in eq. (1) will lead to a linear system to invert to determine both \(\mathbf{f}^{(1)}\) and \(\mathbf{f}^{(2)}\). After nondimensionalising force densities by \(8\pi\mu L/T\), the nonlocal contribution of the integral in eq. (14) is written as
\[
\mathbf{v}^{\text{NL}}_F = -\left( \int_{s-\delta}^{s} + \int_{s}^{s+\delta} \right) \left( \frac{1}{R} + \frac{\mathbf{RR}'}{R^3} \right) \cdot \mathbf{f}^{(2)}(s-\Delta)d\Delta,
\]
(15)
whose evaluation at leading-order value is given by the logarithmic term
\[
\mathbf{v}^{\text{NL}}_F = 2(\ln \delta)(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{e}_z\mathbf{e}_z) \cdot \mathbf{f}^{(2)}(s).
\]
(16)
Similarly, the local portion of the integral, written as
\[
\mathbf{v}_F^{L} = -\int_{s-\delta}^{s} \left( \frac{1}{R} + \frac{\mathbf{RR}'}{R^3} \right) \cdot \mathbf{f}^{(2)}d\Delta,
\]
(17)
can be Taylor-expanded and exactly integrated to lead to the local logarithmic dependence
\[
\mathbf{v}_F^L = 2 \ln \frac{\epsilon_kd_0}{\delta} (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{e}_z\mathbf{e}_z) \cdot \mathbf{f}^{(2)}(s).
\]
(18)
Adding eqs. (16) and (18) we obtain the final force term as
\[
\mathbf{v}^{(2)\rightarrow(1)}_F = 2 \ln (\epsilon_kd_0) (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{e}_z\mathbf{e}_z) \cdot \mathbf{f}^{(2)}(s),
\]
(19)
for the velocity induced by the unknown force density.

Returning to dimensional quantities eqs. (13)-(19) can be written as
\[
\mathbf{v}^{(2)\rightarrow(1)}_M = \left( \frac{a}{h(s)} \right)^2 \omega^{(2)}(s)\mathbf{e}_z \times \mathbf{h}(s),
\]
(20)
\[
\mathbf{v}^{(2)\rightarrow(1)}_F = \frac{1}{4\pi\mu} \ln \left( \frac{h(s)}{L} \right) (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{e}_z\mathbf{e}_z) \cdot \mathbf{f}^{(2)}(s),
\]
(21)
where \(\mathbf{h}(s)\) is the dimensional local vector between the filaments, i.e., \(\mathbf{h}(s) = \mathbf{r}_1(s) - \mathbf{r}_2(s)\), and \(h(s)\) its norm.

The results in eqs. (20), (21), together with eq. (1) are the main new results of this paper. They provide a linear, local relationship between the force density on each filament \(\mathbf{f}^{(i)}\) and the kinematics of their motion \((\omega^{(j)}\) and \(\partial f^{(k)}/\partial t\)). As a remark, we note that one is not allowed to formally take the limit \(h \rightarrow 0\) or \(h \rightarrow \infty\) in eqs. (20), (21), as both violate the limit \(a \ll h \ll L\) in which these formulae were derived.

For planar motion \((\omega^{(j)} = 0\) for \(j = 1, 2\), the algebra simplifies further. In eq. (1), since \(h \ll L\), the tangent vectors are \(\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{e}_z\) at leading order in \(h/L\) and since \(\xi \approx 2\mu L\)\([9]\) we have for each filament
\[
\xi \mathbf{I} + (\xi - \xi)\mathbf{tt} \approx \xi \left( \mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{e}_z\mathbf{e}_z \right) \equiv \mathbf{J},
\]
(22)
so that on each filament \(i\) we have the dynamic balance
\[
\mathbf{f}^{(i)}(s,t) - \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{v}^{(i)\rightarrow(i)} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}^{(i)}/\partial t},
\]
(23)
with \(j \neq i\). Given the tensorial operator appearing in eq. (21), we have to evaluate
\[
\left( \mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{e}_z\mathbf{e}_z \right) \cdot (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{e}_z\mathbf{e}_z) = \mathbf{I},
\]
(24)
and we further note that \(\xi \mathbf{I}/\mu L \approx 1/(\ln(1/\epsilon_a))\)\([9]\). As a result, eq. (23) simplifies for each filament to
\[
\mathbf{f}^{(i)}(s,t) = \frac{\ln(h(s)/L)}{\ln(a/L)} \mathbf{f}^{(j)}(s,t) = -\mathbf{J} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}^{(i)} / \partial t}{\partial t}.
\]
(25)

We now illustrate predictions of our theory on a simple model of two rigid filaments undergoing planar motion, and compare with numerical slender-body simulations. Consider two straight coplanar filaments of radius \(a\), length \(L\) with centerlines located at \([0, e_zy(z,t), z]\) and \([0, h_0 + e_zy(z,t), z]\). Assume for simplicity small amplitude motion \(\epsilon \ll 1\) and let us use our results to calculate the force density in the \(y\)-direction, \(f^{(i)} = f^{(i)} \cdot e_y\), in powers of the amplitude \((f^{(i)} = \epsilon f_1^{(i)} + \epsilon^2 f_2^{(i)} + \ldots)\) in the limit \(a \ll h_0 \ll L\). Writing \(h = h_0 + \epsilon h_1\), a Taylor expansion gives
\[
\ln(h/L) = \ln\left( h_0/L \right) + \epsilon h_1/h_0 + O(\epsilon^2),
\]
(27)
which we use to evaluate eq. (26) at order \(\epsilon\), leading to
\[
f_1^{(i)} = \frac{\xi}{1 - \left[ \ln(h_0/L)/\ln(a/L) \right]^2} \times \left( \frac{\ln(h_0/L)}{\ln(a/L)} \cdot \frac{\partial h^{(j)}/\partial t}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}^{(i)}/\partial t}{\partial t} \right).
\]
(28)
At order \(\epsilon^2\), eq. (25) becomes
\[
f_2^{(i)} + \frac{\ln(h_0/L)}{\ln(a/L)} f_2^{(j)} = -\frac{h_1}{h_0 \ln(a/L)} f_1^{(j)},
\]
(29)
Hydrodynamic interactions between nearby slender filaments

Assuming that both \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \) are periodic in time on the same period, then a time-average of eq. (29) using eq. (28) leads to identical mean force densities along both filaments as \( \langle f_2^{(1)} \rangle = \langle f_2^{(2)} \rangle = f_2(s) \), where

\[
f_2(s) = \frac{\xi_{\perp} \ln(a/L)}{2h_0[\ln(h_0/L) + \ln(a/L)]^2} \left( y - \frac{\partial y_+}{\partial t} \right),
\]

with \( y_+ \equiv y^{(1)} + y^{(2)} \) and \( y_- \equiv y^{(2)} - y^{(1)} \).

For illustration purposes, let us assume that the first filament undergoes sinusoidal rigid-body motion of the form \( y_1(t) = R(\sum_n \xi_n \exp in\omega t) \) while the second filament has the same motion with a phase difference \( \phi \), i.e., \( y_2(t) = y_1(t + \phi) \). Our theory, eq. (30), predicts that the two-rod system will pump the fluid by exerting a net force on it, \( F \), of magnitude

\[
F_2 = \frac{4\pi \omega L}{2h_0[\ln(h_0/L) + \ln(a/L)]^2} \sum_n n|\xi_n|^2 \sin(n\phi).
\]

Clearly eq. (31) predicts zero net force for in-phase (\( \phi = 0 \)) and out-of-phase (\( \phi = \pi \)) motion and thus an optimal phase difference between the two filaments exists.

We test in fig. 3 this theoretical prediction against a numerical implementation of nonlocal slender-body approximation in the case \( n = 1 \). We numerically solve for the force distribution along each filament using a Galerkin method based on Legendre polynomials. The net force on each filament is then computed at 15 equidistant points within a period, and the mean force calculated. While the theoretical approach (eq. (31)) was derived only asymptotically in the limit where \( a/h \to 0 \) and \( h/L \to 0 \) we see that even when these parameters are not asymptotically small (here \( a/h = 0.25 \) and \( h/L = 0.1 \)), the theoretical prediction (solid line) is able to capture the computational results (dashed line and symbols) with good approximation. In contrast, far-field predictions are off by more than two orders of magnitude.

In summary, we have used an asymptotic method to compute the hydrodynamic interactions between nearby filaments undergoing arbitrary rotation and translation. The key ingredient allowing the calculation to be carried out is to exploit the separation of length scales \( a \ll h \ll L \) which enables a representation of the flow as a superposition of fundamental singularities whose strengths vary only on long wavelengths compared to the separation between the filaments. While the work above was derived only in the case of filaments with main directions parallel to each other, future work with be required to generalise the results to the case of nonparallel filaments; we speculate that the “local” aspect of the final equation is likely to involve the point on each filament which is nearest to the other.

Like any other asymptotic derivation, a crucial question in our work is that of the magnitude of the error (i.e., the order of the next-order terms). To fix ideas, consider first a single filament undergoing planar deformation with a centerline described by \( [x, y(x, t)] \). The classical formula for the leading-order force density, \( f \), on the filament is \( f = \frac{\rho}{\mu} \phi \), with \( \phi \) logarithmic corrections in the aspect ratio of the filament from next-order terms beyond resistive-force theory, i.e., relative error \( O(h/\ln(L/a)) \) and ii) algebraic corrections in the typical slope of the filament, i.e., relative error \( O(h/L) \) due to the difference between the true instantaneous geometry of the filament and its mean direction [5]. The same relative errors apply to our current work. Additional errors arise in our work near the ends of the filaments. Specifically, in order for the nonlocal integrations to be carried out near the ends of the filaments, the arclength \( s \) needs to satisfy \( s \ll \min(s, L - s) \), with logarithmically (respectively, algebraically) small relative errors in \( h/\ln(s, L - s) \) from filament translation (respectively, rotation). Physically, this logarithmic accuracy of local hydrodynamics is the equivalent to that of resistive-force theory but extended to multiple filaments. The portion of the filament with an admissible arclength \( s \) satisfying \( \min(s, L - s) \gg h \) is of size \( L - 2s_0 \), with \( s_0 \gg h \). Since we are in the limit \( h \ll L \), the geometric mean \( s_0 = \sqrt{hL} \) satisfies the intermediate limit \( L \gg s_0 \gg h \). As a consequence, our results are able to provide the value of the hydrodynamic force density on the majority of the filaments, namely at least a portion of size \( L - 2\sqrt{hL} \).

We finally point out that while the addition of higher-order flow singularities than rotlet and stokeslets along each filament would improve the analysis, the resulting additional terms would decay spatially algebraically and faster than the terms in eqs. (20), (21), which provide thus the leading-order contribution in the limit \( a \ll h \ll L \).

The framework developed in this paper will allow to address theoretically a number of problems in the biomechanics of filaments where nonlocal hydrodynamic interactions may be integrated out analytically for example in cytoskeletal mechanics, hydrodynamic interactions and cellular propulsion, beyond the classical.
complementary, far-field approach. For example, two particular problems in the realm of biological synchronisation [11] could be tackled: the requirements for attraction and synchronisation between the rotating helical flagellar filaments of bacteria [37,38] and the generation of metachronal waves in cilia arrays [4,24,25]. Our results should also be applicable to a broad range of problems in physical sciences where slender bodies interact through a viscous fluid, such as liquid crystals. As an example, a set of recent measurements showed strong interactions between living organisms and a liquid crystal [39], a situation which could be addressed using our framework.
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