Selectively controlled magnetic microrobots with opposing helices

ABSTRACT

Magnetic microrobots that swim through liquid media are of interest for minimally invasive medical procedures, bioengineering, and manufacturing. Many of the envisaged applications, such as micromanipulation and targeted cargo delivery, necessitate the use and adequate control of multiple microrobots, which will increase the velocity, robustness, and efficacy of a procedure. While various methods involving heterogeneous geometries, magnetic properties, and surface chemistries have been proposed to enhance independent control, the main challenge has been that the motion between all microswimmers remains coupled through the global control signal of the magnetic field. Katsamba and Lauga [Phys. Rev. Appl. 5, 064019 (2016)] proposed transchiral micromotors, a theoretical design with magnetized spirals of opposite handedness. The competition between the spirals can be tuned to give an intrinsic nonlinearity that each device can function only within a given band of frequencies. This allows individual microrobots to be selectively controlled by varying the frequency of the rotating magnetic field. Here, we present the experimental realization and characterization of transchiral micromotors composed of independently driven magnetic helices. We show a swimming micromotor that yields negligible net motion until a critical frequency is reached and a micromotor that changes its translation direction as a function of the frequency of the rotating magnetic field. This work demonstrates a crucial step toward completely decoupled and addressable swimming magnetic microrobots.

Microbots, untethered mobile machines capable of navigating and manipulating in a sub-millimeter environment, are envisioned as a technology that will revolutionize healthcare, bioengineering, and manufacturing. For these applications, their manipulation in fluidic environments is of great interest for both applications and scientific studies. The control of multiple microrobots could increase their efficacy in various tasks, such as micromanipulation and cargo delivery. Thus, it is advantageous to study methodologies to control multiple magnetic microrobots. As microrobots become smaller, nonreciprocal swimming becomes a scalable mode of propulsion at low Reynolds numbers. Generating nonreciprocal motion with microrobots in low Reynolds number environments has been a topic of recent research; helical structures, swimming sheets, undulatory robots, and irregularly shaped clusters in a time-varying magnetic field have been proposed as fluidic propulsion solutions. Magnetic fields are of interest due to their long range and ability to safely penetrate tissues. The magnetic field induces a magnetic torque on the swimmer, yielding a propulsive force that scales more favorably than magnetic gradient pulling.

In two dimensions (2D), specialized surfaces are able to restrict the motion of microrobots, such that their response to the global control signal can be individualized. However, these methodologies are unable to be adapted for a workspace far from any boundaries. In three dimensions (3D), helical magnetic microrobots typically swim by rotating the magnetic field perpendicular to the desired axis of propulsion. An anisotropy in the fluid drag yields a forward force as a result of the net viscous drag on the structure. In a given frequency range, this motion leads to a stable forward propulsive force. An example response, called the step-out profile, is illustrated in Fig. 1(a). If the handedness of the spiral is reversed, the swimmer will have a negative propulsion in the same rotating magnetic field, as illustrated in Fig. 1(b). In each example, the swimming velocity linearly increases with the frequency until a critical “step-out” frequency is reached. This is the frequency where fluid resistive torque exceeds the maximum possible magnetic torque, and...
As the velocity profile of a single magnetized helix lacks the cutoff at low frequencies, Katsamba and Lauga proposed to couple two helices of opposite handedness in what is called the transchiral helical micromotor to achieve a banded velocity profile. This interval of actuating frequencies, an effective frequency band, would allow selective control over multiple micromotors. The helices are coupled such that they can freely rotate about the axial rod but are constrained to move at the same velocity, pushing or pulling each other in the opposite direction. The geometric and magnetic properties of the two helices can be selected to tune this force balance to give rise to the required banded velocity profile. An example is illustrated in Fig. 1(c). Here, before any of the two helices of the transchiral motor step out, the force balance is such that the motor is stationary. After the first step-out frequency, the helix that has not stepped out dominates, with a monotonically increasing velocity profile, until it also steps out, after which the velocity decreases to zero as the frequency is increased further. The force balance in a transchiral motor can also be tuned to give a velocity profile with positive and negative values in different frequency ranges, as illustrated in Fig. 1(d). This allows for reversal of the direction of motion by changing the actuating frequency. With different micromotors having distinct non-overlapping effective frequency bands, one can choose which to operate by tuning the magnetic field frequency appropriately. If one wishes to combine both features of selective control via the banded velocity profile and reversal of motion, then at least three helices would be required.

Here, we present the characterization of transchiral micromotors composed of independently driven magnetic helices. In order to couple the translation of the spirals but not their rotation, we used an axial rod that passes through the central axis of the helices and has disk-shaped tapers that prevent the helices from exiting the structure. This allows freedom of rotation between the helices. The helices push against the tapers and transmit their propulsive force to the axial rod, thereby resulting in the push/pull relation explained by Katsamba and Lauga. Without rotational coupling, the spirals respond to a rotating magnetic field as if they were not in the presence of other structures. As our Reynolds numbers are on the order of $10^{-2}$, we assume that the spirals operate in the Stokes flow regime, and the force exerted on the passive frame by each spiral will be proportional to the swimming velocity of the spiral. We fabricate and characterize two configurations of transchiral motors. The first configuration consists of two spirals that possess homogeneous geometry, opposite handedness, and differing magnetic strengths. At low frequencies, the net propulsion should be zero, as illustrated in Fig. 1(c). The second configuration consists of two helices with heterogeneous geometry, and the propulsion direction is dependent on the rotation frequency, as illustrated in Fig. 1(d). The assumption of this working principle is that there is a continuous mechanical contact between each spiral and the rod, such that each spiral is exerting a force on the rod that is completely in the direction of propulsion (the long axis of the rod). Thus, by pushing against the tapered ends of the rod, the two spirals are effectively pushing or pulling each other. If the spiral is not pushing perfectly in the direction of the long axis, a portion of the transmitted force could be perpendicular to the long axis of the micromotor, which may lead to a variation in the resulting speed.

Three species of helices were used as the mobile components of the transchiral motors. Their geometry and results are summarized in Table I. The second and third species possessed an additional half turn with a tapering diameter on both ends, to ensure that the spiral was...
contained and would not exit the axial rod. As our micromotors have an overall length of a few millimeters, the weight of the micromotors and the axial rod cannot be overcome by the propulsion of the micromotors. Thus, the micromotors are characterized while near the surface of their environment. The rotation of the spirals induced by the rotating magnetic field also contributes a rolling motion when near the surface. We employ microfluidic channels to constrain the lateral motion of the micromotors, such that the micromotors will only move along their long axis. The micromotor is, thus, bound by a 12 mm long channel with a square cross section of 300 × 300 μm².

Before each set of experiments, the channels were rinsed with ethanol and then sonicated for two minutes, which was repeated with de-ionized water. The sample was dried and then treated with oxygen plasma at a pressure of 0.2 mBar for two minutes. The channel was placed in a petri dish and filled with a mixture of 1% polysorbate 20 and de-ionized water. In an experiment, we tested the clockwise and counterclockwise rotation directions of the magnetic field for ten seconds each. Toward eliminating any possible preferred directionality due to vibration or lithography artifacts, this process was repeated three times. In the first, the entire workspace was rotated 180°, then the transchiral motor was manually rotated 180°, and the workspace was then rotated 180° again for the fourth run. For each ten second run, a least squares linear fit was applied to the position of the micro- motor, and this process yielded eight velocities for a given frequency. Some data points were manually removed if post-processing revealed that the micromotor was unable to overcome static friction.

Transchiral micromotors were fabricated using two-photon lithography in a Nanoscribe Photonic Professional GT using IP-S photoresist (Nanoscribe GmbH). In order to minimize fabrication errors, special care was taken to make sure that the central rod structure was split at the larger portion (disk) that would anchor the structure to the substrate. To ensure that the spirals were not permanently attached to the substrate or the center rod, 2 μm diameter support rods were placed on the bottom of each spiral loop and connected to a base layer that when sliced would be one polymerized layer, approximately 700 nm thick. The support cylinders were broken during the development process, allowing the spirals to rest on either the substrate or the rod. Sacrificial structures were printed over the passive rod and disks, preventing the deposition of metal onto these structures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spiral species and handedness</th>
<th>Wire diameter (μm)</th>
<th>Length (μm)</th>
<th>Turns</th>
<th>Spiral diameter (μm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A_L</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B_R,L.</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_R</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiral species and handedness</td>
<td>Cobalt thickness (nm)</td>
<td>Step-out frequency (Hz)</td>
<td>Maximum velocity (μm/s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_R</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B_R,L.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_R</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I. The properties of the individual spiral species used in physical experiments.
velocities. As the helices are always rotating, it is possible for them to encounter friction on the tapering disks of the axial rod. If the disk was too small, the spiral would not be contained. A larger disk would yield greater drag and inertia on the micromotor. At a diameter approximately equal to that of the spiral, then the spiral may become hooked on to the circle and cease rotation. Vibration of the workspace was kept minimal through relatively small magnetic field magnitudes. To minimize striction, the micromotors were given a monolayer coating of low surface energy fluorosilane, the microchannels were treated with oxygen plasma to ensure a high energy surface that would completely wet, and surfactant was added to the aqueous media.

The proximity to the microchannel walls and axial rod induce additional fluid drag on the micromotors. In general, these wall effects are dependent on the cube of the distance to the wall and become significant within one body length, the diameter of the spiral, of the wall. Within a few micrometers of contact, the fluid drag can be expected to be approximately 20% greater. The proximity of one helix to another yields the possibility of fluid drag coupling since a rotating helix induces an rotational fluid flow, which then acts on the second helix, rotating it and yielding a forward propulsion. The separation of micromotors is, thus, facilitated by the axial rod as the induced fluid flow decays with the square of the distance from the helix. However, additional separation comes with an additional structural mass and increases the overall size of the motor, and thus, the separation distance is an important design parameter of transchiral motors.

The microchannel side walls prevent the rolling of the microswimmer on the channel surface and remove the need of steering the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transchiral configuration</th>
<th>Rod diameter (µm)</th>
<th>Disk diameter (µm)</th>
<th>Spiral combination</th>
<th>Expected behavior</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>B_L + C_R</td>
<td>No motion until 5 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reversing direction between 5 and 11 Hz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 2. Quantitative results of individual microspirals in axial rods and transchiral micromotors in a 2 mT rotating magnetic field. Multiple 10 second runs were made for a given frequency and experimental conditions described in the text. Error bars indicate the standard deviation of the velocity of multiple runs. The insets for each plot show scanning electron micrographs of the corresponding single contained spirals and transchiral motors. Species are indicated above the spirals. Red indicates the spirals and axial rod that are coated in magnetic material. Yellow coloring indicates inert resin. Scale bars in (a) and (d) are 250 µm. (a) Single helix with a diameter of 100 µm. (b) Single helix with a diameter of 200 µm. (c) Single helix with a diameter of 200 µm and double the magnetic material of the spiral used in (b). (d) Transchiral motor with two identical spirals with opposing handedness and differing magnetic strengths. (e) Transchiral motor with heterogeneous spiral helices.

FIG. 3. Transchiral micromotor addressability in a 2 mT rotating magnetic field. The microchannels are outlined in red, and motion is indicated by the white arrow. (a) Initial positions with configuration designations. (b) After ~40 s rotating at 4 Hz, configuration I had no net motion and configuration II moved to the center. After an additional ~55 s, rotating at 7 Hz, has reversed the propulsion direction of configuration II and configuration I has translated to the right. Multimedia view: https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5143007.1
microswimmer during characterization. A single helical spiral is able to steer and reorient by a change in the direction of the rotating magnetic field, which induces a rigid body torque on the helix.1,2 Far from a solid boundary, the transchiral motor can be steered similarly since the entire microswimmer has a net magnetization, which can be used to reorient the microswimmer.

This methodology theoretically allows an infinite number of spirals in one micromotor, and each could step out at a different frequency.3 A special case of the three spiral micromotor is to yield no net motion before the first and after the third step-out frequencies. The spirals need to be designed such that there is no net motion until the first spiral steps out. In addition, the third spiral’s behavior after step-out must counter the other two spirals’ nonlinear decay. If these conditions are met, there is only net motion in a specific frequency range. A workspace with multiple micromotors with different frequency ranges can then independently drive each motor with no net motion to the other. The size of the micromotor is limited by physical scaling. The magnetic torque scales with the volume of the magnetic material, while friction scales with the micromotor’s size. The magnetic torque scales with the volume of the magnetic material, while friction scales with the surface contact area and viscous drag scales with the length.19 Intrinsically stronger magnetic materials and more slippery coatings would allow for a further decrease in the micromotor’s size.

In this Letter, we have presented the fabrication and experimental characterization of transchiral micromotors, swimming micromotors with two magnetic helical structures free to independently rotate. Their translation forces are coupled through an axial tapered rod, which restricts their forward motion. We showed two configurations, one which did not have a net propulsion until a critical frequency and the other which had direction reversal at higher frequencies. This work has shown that multiple motion primitives are possible with magnetic micromotors and that complex, efficient, and sub-millimeter remote swimming machines are part of the microrobotics paradigm. Future work will focus on the fabrication of micromotors an order of magnitude smaller for use in real-world 3D applications. A constant swimming offset has been shown to compensate for gravity in order to yield no net translation in 3D although this would require a more complex coupling mechanism, precluding the use of a swimmer that has no net propulsion for a given frequency band.15
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