Stochastic dynamics of active swimmers in linear flows
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Most classical work on the hydrodynamics of low-Reynolds-number swimming addresses deterministic locomotion in quiescent environments. Thermal fluctuations in fluids are known to lead to a Brownian loss of the swimming direction, resulting in a transition from short-time ballistic dynamics to effective long-time diffusion. As most cells or synthetic swimmers are immersed in external flows, we consider theoretically in this paper the stochastic dynamics of a model active particle (a self-propelled sphere) in a steady general linear flow. The stochasticity arises both from translational diffusion in physical space, and from a combination of rotary diffusion and so-called run-and-tumble dynamics in orientation space. The latter process characterizes the manner in which the orientation of many bacteria decorrelates during their swimming motion. In contrast to rotary diffusion, the decorrelation occurs by means of large and impulsive jumps in orientation (tumbles) governed by a Poisson process. We begin by deriving a general formulation for all components of the long-time mean square displacement tensor for a swimmer with a time-dependent swimming velocity and whose orientation decorrelates due to rotary diffusion alone. This general framework is applied to obtain the convectively enhanced mean-squared displacements of a steadily swimming particle in three canonical linear flows (extension, simple shear and solid-body rotation). We then show how to extend our results to the case where the swimmer orientation also decorrelates on account of run-and-tumble dynamics. Self-propulsion in general leads to the same long-time temporal scalings as for passive particles in linear flows but with increased coefficients. In the particular case of solid-body rotation, the effective long-time diffusion is the same as that in a quiescent fluid, and we clarify the lack of flow dependence by briefly examining the dynamics in elliptic linear flows. By comparing the new active terms with those obtained for passive particles we see that swimming can lead to an enhancement of the mean-square displacements by orders of magnitude, and could be relevant for
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biological organisms or synthetic swimming devices in fluctuating environmental or biological flows.
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### 1. Introduction

A complete physical understanding of many processes occurring at small scales and involving active particles has proven both challenging and an exciting avenue for biomechanics and bioengineering research. Important biological topics with ongoing research include the dynamics of plankton in marine ecosystems (Guasto, Rusconi & Stocker 2012), the collective behaviour of dense micro-organism suspensions (Koch & Subramanian 2011) and their appendages (Lauga & Goldstein 2012), and the interactions between swimming cells and complex environments (Lauga & Powers 2009). In the bioengineering world, the focus is on the design of effective, and practical synthetic locomotion systems able to carry out future detection, diagnosis and treatment of diseases (Paxton et al. 2006; Abbott et al. 2009; Mallouk & Sen 2009; Mirkovic et al. 2010).

Focusing on the dynamics of a single active particle or self-propelled cell, most classical work considered the kinematics and energetics of deterministic locomotion in a quiescent fluid. Owing to their small sizes, many swimming cells, in particular, bacteria and small single-cell eukaryotes, as well as many synthetic swimmers, are expected to have their swimming direction affected by thermal fluctuations (Lovely & Dahlquist 1975; Pedley & Kessler 1992; Berg 1993; Ishikawa & Pedley 2007; Howse et al. 2007; ten Hagen, van Teeffelen & Lowen 2009, 2011a; Lauga 2011). Even for bacteria large enough to not be Brownian, there continue to be stochastic fluctuations in orientation that are largely athermal in origin. For instance, for a bacterium *Escherichia coli* during a swimming run, the observed rate of orientation decorrelation is one order of magnitude faster than that predicted based on a rotary Brownian diffusivity (Berg 1993), and is likely due to shape fluctuations of the imperfect bundle of bacterial flagella (Locsei & Pedley 2009; Subramanian & Koch 2009; Koch & Subramanian 2011).

Furthermore, in most situations of biological or applied interest, self-propelled organisms and synthetic swimmers are subject to external flows, for example plankton transported by small-scale turbulence, bacteria in the initial stages of environmental biofilm formation or swimming through human organs. Similarly, any future practical implementation of artificial micron-scale swimmers will have to be able to navigate through flowing bodily fluids, in particular the bloodstream (Abbott et al. 2009; Kosa et al. 2012; Wang & Gao 2012).

Previous classical studies have addressed the effect of external flows on Brownian motion of passive spherical colloids, most notably in simple shear (San-Miguel & Sancho 1979; Subramanian & Brady 2004) and for the more general case of an arbitrary incompressible linear flow (Foister & van de Ven 1980). For a passive spherical Brownian particle in a linear flow, the long-time diagonal element of its mean-square displacement dyadic along the flow direction is proportional to the third power of time in the case of simple shear, grows at an exponential rate (along the extensional axis) in the case of pure extensional flow, but continues to display a diffusive scaling in the case of solid-body rotation. In the case of a shear flow,
Clercx & Schram (1992) studied a similar problem based on the time-dependent linearized Navier–Stokes equations, instead of the Stokes equations, in order to address the non-trivial modifications in the short-time dynamics arising from the inclusion of fluid inertial effects. The analogous situation in the absence of a flow is classical work (Hinch 1975; Zwanzig & Bixon 1970; Hauge & Martin-Löf 1973), and accounting for the finite time scale on which vorticity diffuses leads to an algebraic (rather than exponential) decay in the relevant correlations. For non-spherical particles, the dynamics cannot in general be obtained in closed form, since the translational dispersion is intimately coupled to the orientation distribution, and the latter cannot be determined analytically for arbitrary values of the rotary Péclet number (Frankel & Brenner 1991, 1993). Asymptotic analysis is, however, possible both for small values of the rotary Péclet number (Brenner 1974; Brenner & Condiff 1974) and in the limit of weak Brownian motion (Leal & Hinch 1971).

The dynamics of active particles in shearing flows has been addressed in recent studies. Jones, Baron & Pedley (1994) calculated, in the absence of noise, the direction of swimming of bottom-heavy micro-organisms immersed in shear flows. Bearon & Pedley (2000) modelled a spherical chemotactic bacterium and derived an advection–diffusion equation for the cell density which included the influence of shear. Locsei & Pedley (2009) addressed the run-and-tumble dynamics of bacteria and the effect of a shear flow on the chemotaxis response of the cell. More recently, ten Hagen, Wittkowski & Lowen (2011b) characterized, in two spatial dimensions, the dynamics of a spherical self-propelled particle in a shear flow and subject to an external torque, and obtained an enhancement of the ~t^3 mean-square dynamics. The effect of an external linear flow on the rheology of, and the pattern formation by, suspensions of active particles was considered by Rafai, Jibuti & Peyla (2010) and Saintillan (2010a,b), Pahlavan & Saintillan (2011).

In this paper we quantify the interplay between fluctuations (thermal or otherwise) and a prototypical external flow, namely a steady, incompressible linear flow, on the dynamics of an active particle. The particle is assumed to be spherical, a geometry relevant to many biological and bioengineering situations, including the dynamics of self-catalytic colloidal spheres (Golestanian, Liverpool & Ajdari 2007; Howse et al. 2007; Jülicher & Prost 2009; Brady 2010), active droplets (Thutupalli, Seemann & Herminghaus 2011; Schmitt & Stark 2013) and the alga Volvox (Drescher et al. 2009). The activity of the particle, which is free to move in three spatial dimensions, is modelled as a prescribed swimming velocity in its body frame. We first develop the analysis in the case where the particle is subject to both rotational and translational Brownian motion, in addition to being convected by the ambient linear flow. We then extend the results to include the biologically relevant reorientation mechanism associated with the run-and-tumble dynamics exhibited by many bacteria (Berg 1993, 2004). We ignore other potentially relevant reorientation mechanisms, including phase slips which occur between the pair of anterior flagella of the Chlamydomonas algae (Polin et al. 2009), hydrodynamically mediated collisions that govern the dynamics at high volume fraction (Ishikawa & Pedley 2007) and run-and-reverse dynamics (Guasto et al. 2012).

After setting up the problem in §2, we derive in §3, by means of an elementary rotational transformation, the transition probability density for a particle whose orientation evolves on account of a rotary diffusion process. We then use this probability density to find all components of the swimming direction correlation matrix. We exploit these results to calculate the general expression for the mean-square displacement dyadic of the active particle in §4 and evaluate each of its
-components analytically in the specific case of an active particle undergoing steady swimming in § 5. In the absence of external flow, or for passive particles, our analytical results recover the well-known classical limits. By focusing on three prototypical flows (simple shear, extension and solid-body rotation) in § 6, we demonstrate that the particle activity does not modify the long-term temporal scalings for the mean-square displacements, but increases its coefficients in the case of shear and extension while the results are unchanged in the case of solid-body rotation. In § 7, we extend the analysis to include an additional intrinsic orientation decorrelation mechanism, namely that associated with correlated tumbles, the occurrence of which is modelled as a Poisson process. We demonstrate that the effect of tumbles may be simply incorporated as an additive contribution to the rate of orientation decorrelation, and the results already obtained may therefore be readily extended to include swimmers whose orientation evolves due to both rotary diffusion and run-and-tumble dynamics. We close by offering a physical discussion of our results in § 8 using scaling arguments. In particular, we explain the singular flow-independent nature of solid-body rotation by considering the behaviour of the mean-squared displacement in elliptic linear flows (i.e. two-dimensional linear flows with closed streamlines), and examining its dependence on the ratio of the ambient vorticity to extension. Comparing the coefficients in the active versus passive case, we see that swimming can lead to enhancement of the mean-square dynamics by orders of magnitude, a result which could be relevant for both biology and bioengineering.

2. A spherical active particle in an incompressible linear flow

We consider a spherical particle of radius $a$ that self-propels (swims) in a three-dimensional fluctuating environment and in the presence of a general linear external flow. In the absence of noise and external flow, we assume that the particle swims at the intrinsic velocity $U_s(t)$, prescribed in the body frame of the particle. We use a Cartesian coordinate system with vectors $\{i, j, k\}$ and corresponding coordinates $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$. The external flow, $U_\infty$, is assumed to be any general two-dimensional linear, incompressible flow of the form $U_\infty = (Gx_2, \alpha Gx_1, 0)$, with $G > 0$ denoting the deformation rate. The particle orientation is described by the angles $(\theta, \varphi)$ in a spherical coordinates system, where $\theta$ and $\varphi$ are the polar and azimuthal angles, respectively. The dimensionless parameter $\alpha$ allows us to tune the type of external flow considered, from pure rotation ($\alpha = -1$) to shear ($\alpha = 0$) and extensional flow ($\alpha = 1$).

The over-damped balance of forces and torques on the particle leads to the Brownian dynamics equations determining its instantaneous translational velocity, $U(t)$, and angular velocity, $\Omega(t)$, as solutions to

\[
R_U(U - U_s - U_\infty) = \tilde{f}, \quad R_\Omega(\Omega - \Omega_\infty) = \tilde{g},
\]

(2.1)

where $\Omega_\infty = \omega_0 k$, with $\omega_0 = (G/2)(\alpha - 1)$, is the angular velocity of the particle induced by the general linear flow. In (2.1), $R_U = R_U I$ and $R_\Omega = R_\Omega I$ are the viscous resistance coefficients ($R_U = 6\pi \eta a$ and $R_\Omega = 8\pi \eta a^3$ in a Newtonian fluid of shear viscosity $\eta$) and $I$ is the unit tensor. The vectors $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{g}$ represent zero-mean Brownian random forces and torques whose correlations in their components are governed by the fluctuation–dissipation theorem as

\[
\langle \tilde{f}_i(t) \tilde{f}_j(t') \rangle = 2k_b TR_U \delta_{ij} \delta(t - t'), \quad \langle \tilde{g}_i(t) \tilde{g}_j(t') \rangle = 2k_b TR_\Omega \delta_{ij} \delta(t - t'),
\]

(2.2)

with $\langle \cdot \rangle$ representing ensemble averaging (Doi & Edwards 1999).
Denoting the particle location as \( \mathbf{x}(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), x_3(t))^T \), the equation governing \( \mathbf{x}(t) \), from (2.1), can be formally written as

\[
\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \mathbf{Mx}(t) + U_s(t)e(t) + \mathbf{f}(t), \quad \mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & G & 0 \\ \alpha G & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( e(t) = (e_1(t), e_2(t), e_3(t))^T \) is a unit vector pointing in the instantaneous swimming direction of the particle, \( U_s(t) \) the magnitude of the instantaneous swimming velocity along \( e(t) \) (in other words, \( U_s(t) = U_s(t)e(t) \)), and \( \mathbf{f} \equiv R^{-1}_U \mathbf{f} \).

Similarly, the director vector, \( \mathbf{e} \), follows the dynamics (Coffey, Kalmikov & Valdron 1996)

\[
\frac{d\mathbf{e}}{dt} = [\omega_\alpha \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{g}(t)] \times \mathbf{e}(t),
\]

where \( \mathbf{g} \equiv R^{-1}_G \mathbf{g} \).

In the stochastic system of equations (2.3)–(2.4), the equation for the particle orientation, (2.4), can be solved first and its solution can then be used in (2.3) to obtain the particle position. In order to determine all components of the symmetric mean-square displacement tensor, \( \langle \mathbf{x}(t) \mathbf{x}(t)^T \rangle \), we therefore first have to compute all components of the orientation correlation matrix.

3. Rotational probability distribution function and orientation correlations

The orientation correlation matrix, \( \langle \mathbf{e}(t) \mathbf{e}(0)^T \rangle \), can be evaluated if we know the orientation transition probability distribution function (p.d.f.), \( P(e, t|e_0, 0) \), with \( e(0) \equiv e_0 \), governing the swimmer orientation, \( e(t) \). Since the angular velocity of the spherical swimmer is along the \( \mathbf{k} \)-axis, to determine \( P \) we apply to (2.4), a rotational transformation around the \( \mathbf{k} \) direction of the frame fixed at the particle centre, namely

\[
\mathbf{e}(t) = \mathbb{R}(t)\mathbf{e}'(t), \quad \mathbb{R}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \omega_\alpha t & -\sin \omega_\alpha t & 0 \\ \sin \omega_\alpha t & \cos \omega_\alpha t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( \mathbf{e}'(t) \) is the orientation vector in a coordinate system rotating with the flow vorticity. This transformation reduces (2.4) to \( d\mathbf{e}'/dt = \mathbf{g}'(t) \times \mathbf{e}'(t) \), whose p.d.f. for the director vector is classically given by an infinite sum over spherical harmonics (Berne & Pecora 2000). The transformation between the fixed and rotating frames of reference, in a spherical coordinate system with its polar axis along the ambient vorticity, only involves the two azimuthal angles (\( \varphi' = \varphi - \omega_\alpha t \), \( \varphi \) and \( \varphi' \) are the azimuthal angles for fixed and rotating frames of references, respectively). Substituting this transformation, we find the required p.d.f. of the director, \( P \), in a general linear flow as

\[
P(e, t|e_0, 0) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} e^{-D_G(l+1)t} Y^{m*}_l(\theta_0, \varphi_0) Y^m_l(\theta, \varphi) e^{-im\omega_\alpha t},
\]

where \( \{Y^m_l\} \) are the spherical harmonics (Abramowitz & Stegun 1970), \( \{Y^{m*}_l\} \) their complex conjugates, and \( \theta_0 \) and \( \varphi_0 \) are the polar and azimuthal angles for \( e_0 \). In (3.2), \( D_G \) is the rotary diffusivity for the particle. When it has a thermal origin, it is determined in terms of the amplitude of the Brownian force correlation (see (2.2)).
and is given by \( k_B T/R_\Omega \). The underlying random fluctuations in orientation may not be Brownian, however, in which case \( D_\Omega \) may be directly inferred from the observed rate of change of the mean square angular displacement (Berg 1993). With the explicit expression for the p.d.f. known, the correlation matrix for the swimming orientation may then be evaluated. The \( ij \)th component is given by

\[
\langle e_i(t)e_j(0) \rangle = \int d^2 e_0 \int d^2 e_0 e_i(t)e_j(0) G(e, t; e_0, 0),
\]

(3.3)

where \( i, j \) are in 1,2,3, and where \( G(e, t; e_0, 0) \) is the joint p.d.f. for the director vector with orientation \( e_0 \) at time \( t = 0 \) and orientation \( e(t) \) at time \( t \) (Berne & Pecora 2000). For an assumed isotropic distribution of orientation at the initial instant, this joint probability is given by the product of the uniform p.d.f. for \( e_0 \) \((1/4\pi)\) with the transition p.d.f. \( (P) \) for the orientation vector \( e(t) \), given that we know that the orientation was \( e_0 \) at \( t = 0 \) and thus we have

\[
G(e, t; e_0, 0) = \frac{1}{4\pi} P(e, t|e_0, 0).
\]

(3.4)

Using this formalism, all components of \( \langle e(t)e(0)^T \rangle \) may be systematically obtained. For example, for \( i = 1 \) and \( j = 2 \), solving (3.3) directly leads to

\[
\langle e_1(t)e_2(0) \rangle = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} e^{-D_\Omega(l(l+1))t} e^{-im\omega_\alpha t} D_1^m,
\]

(3.5)

where

\[
D_1^m = (-1)^m \int \int h_1 d\theta_0 d\phi_0 \int \int h_2 d\theta d\phi, \quad \text{(3.6)}
\]

\[
h_1 = \sin^2 \theta_0 \sin \phi_0 Y_l^{-m}(\theta_0, \phi_0), \quad h_2 = \sin^2 \theta \cos \phi Y_l^m(\theta, \phi).
\]

(3.7)

By orthogonality, we can show that \( D_l^m = 0 \) if \( l \neq 1 \), and by explicitly evaluating the coefficients \( D_1^m \) we get

\[
\langle e_1(t)e_2(0) \rangle = -\frac{1}{3} e^{-2D_\Omega t} \sin \omega_\alpha t.
\]

(3.8)

All other components of the orientation correlation matrix, \( \langle e(t)e(0)^T \rangle \), can be obtained similarly, leading to the final result

\[
\langle e(t)e(0)^T \rangle = \frac{1}{3} e^{-2D_\Omega t} \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \omega_\alpha t & - \sin \omega_\alpha t & 0 \\
\sin \omega_\alpha t & \cos \omega_\alpha t & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

(3.9)

In the plane of the linear flow, the components of the orientation correlation matrix follow an exponential decay modulated by a harmonic function with frequency equal to the linear flow-induced rotation rate. Note that upon setting \( \omega_\alpha = 0 \) in (3.9), we recover the classical exponential decay in orientation direction from Brownian motion in the absence of flow, \( \langle e_i(t)e_i(0) \rangle = e^{-2D_\Omega t} \) (Doi & Edwards 1999).

### 4. Mean-square displacement tensor

We now turn to determining the general formula for the mean-square displacement dyadic, i.e. the symmetric tensor \( \langle x(t)x(t)^T \rangle \). An integration of (2.3) with initial
condition \( x(0) = 0 \) leads to the formal solution
\[
x(t) = \int_0^t U_s(t') e^{M(t-t')} e(t') \, dt' + \int_0^t e^{M(t-t')} f(t') \, dt'.
\] (4.1)

Using the definition of the exponential matrix, one can show that
\[
e^{M(t-t')} = \begin{bmatrix}
\cosh \sqrt{\alpha} G(t-t') & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \sinh \sqrt{\alpha} G(t-t') & 0 \\
\sqrt{\alpha} \sinh \sqrt{\alpha} G(t-t') & \cosh \sqrt{\alpha} G(t-t') & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
b_{11} & b_{12} & 0 \\
b_{21} & b_{22} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}.
\] (4.2)

We start by computing the diagonal elements of \( \langle x(t)x(t)^T \rangle \). In order to do so we remark that, if \( \beta \) denotes one component of the particle position, \( \beta = x_i \), then
\[
\frac{d \langle \beta(t)\beta(t) \rangle}{dt} = 2 \langle \beta \frac{d\beta}{dt} \rangle.
\] (4.3)

With the initial condition \( \beta(0) = 0 \), equation (4.3) can be integrated once to obtain exactly
\[
\langle \beta(t)\beta(t) \rangle = 2 \int_0^t \langle \beta \frac{d\beta}{dt} \rangle \, dt.
\] (4.4)

We then proceed to perform the multiplications on the right-hand side of (4.3) applied to each of the three components of \( x(t) \) given by (4.1) and (4.2). After using the fluctuation–dissipation theorem stating that \( \langle f_i(t)f_j(t') \rangle = 2D_B \delta(t - t') \), where \( D_B \) is the Brownian diffusion constant, \( D_B = k_B T/R_U \), and using that the random force and swimming direction are not correlated we obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
\langle x_1(t) \frac{dx_1}{dt}(t) \rangle &= G \int_0^t U_s(t') b_{1k}(t, t') \int_0^t U_s(t_2)b_{2l}(t, t_2) \langle e_k(t')e_l(t_2) \rangle \, dt_2 \, dt' \\
&\quad + G \int_0^t b_{1l}(t, t') \int_0^t b_{2k}(t, t_2) \langle f_i(t')f_k(t_2) \rangle \, dt_2 \, dt' \\
&\quad + U_s(t) \int_0^t U_s(t_2)b_{1l}(t, t_2) \langle e_1(t) e_l(t_2) \rangle \, dt_2 + D_B,
\end{align*}
\] (4.5)

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle x_2(t) \frac{dx_2}{dt}(t) \rangle &= \alpha G \int_0^t U_s(t') b_{1k}(t, t') \int_0^t U_s(t_2)b_{2l}(t, t_2) \langle e_k(t')e_l(t_2) \rangle \, dt_2 \, dt' \\
&\quad + \alpha G \int_0^t b_{1l}(t, t') \int_0^t b_{2k}(t, t_2) \langle f_i(t')f_k(t_2) \rangle \, dt_2 \, dt' \\
&\quad + U_s(t) \int_0^t U_s(t_2)b_{2l}(t, t_2) \langle e_2(t) e_l(t_2) \rangle \, dt_2 + D_B,
\end{align*}
\] (4.6)

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle x_3(t) \frac{dx_3}{dt}(t) \rangle &= U_s(t) \int_0^t U_s(t') \langle e_3(t) e_3(t') \rangle \, dt' + D_B,
\end{align*}
\] (4.7)

where \( k, l \) are in \{1, 2\} (Einstein summation notation).

In order to compute the off-diagonal elements of \( \langle x(t)x(t)^T \rangle \) we directly use the integration in (4.1)–(4.2) which provides each component, \( x_1, x_2, x_3 \), of the particle
trajectory. The ensemble average of the direct multiplication of these components together with the fact that random force and swimming direction are not correlated leads to the general results

\[ \langle x_1(t)x_2(t) \rangle = \int_0^t U_s(t')b_{1k}(t,t') \int_0^t U_s(t_2)b_{2l}(t,t_2) \langle e_k(t')e_l(t_2) \rangle \, dt_2 \, dt' \]

\[ + \int_0^t b_{1l}(t,t') \int_0^t b_{2k}(t,t_2) \langle f_l(t')f_k(t_2) \rangle \, dt_2 \, dt', \quad (4.8) \]

\[ \langle x_1(t)x_3(t) \rangle = 0, \quad (4.9) \]

\[ \langle x_2(t)x_3(t) \rangle = 0. \quad (4.10) \]

Independently of its swimming kinematics, for an active particle immersed in a two-dimensional linear flow, the correlations between the particle components in the plane of the linear flow and perpendicular to it are zero.

5. Application to steady swimming

In the previous section, the general formulae for each component of the mean-square displacement dyadic, \( \langle x(t)x(t)^T \rangle \), were derived. The final results, although analytically explicit, can be quite involved if \( U_s(t) \) is a complicated function of time. To get further insight into the impact of swimming on the effective particle dynamics, we apply our framework to the case of an active particle swimming in a steady fashion, i.e. \( U_s(t) = U \), where \( U \) is a constant speed.

To illustrate how this assumption can be exploited, we consider (4.8) for the correlation in the cross terms of the active particle, \( \langle x_1(t)x_2(t) \rangle \). When \( U_s = U \), using the fact that

\[ \int_0^t b_{1l}(t,t') \int_0^t b_{2k}(t,t_2) \langle f_l(t')f_k(t_2) \rangle \, dt_2 \, dt' = 2D_B \int_0^t b_{1l}(t,t')b_{2l}(t,t') \, dt' \quad (5.1) \]

equation (4.8) becomes

\[ \langle x_1(t)x_2(t) \rangle = U^2 \int_0^t b_{1k}(t,t') \int_0^t b_{2l}(t,t_2) \langle e_k(t')e_l(t_2) \rangle \, dt_2 \, dt' \]

\[ + 2D_B \int_0^t b_{1l}(t,t')b_{2l}(t,t') \, dt'. \quad (5.2) \]

Using (4.2), one easily finds that

\[ 2D_B \int_0^t b_{1l}(t,t')b_{2l}(t,t') \, dt' = D_B \frac{\sinh^2 \left( \sqrt{\alpha G} t \right)}{G} + D_B \frac{\sinh^2 \left( \sqrt{\alpha G} t \right)}{\alpha G}. \quad (5.3) \]

Furthermore, an inspection of (5.2) shows that four integrals (denoted \( F_1-F_4 \)) have to be evaluated, namely

\[ F_1 = U^2 \int_0^t b_{11}(t,t') \int_0^t b_{21}(t,t_2) \langle e_1(t')e_1(t_2) \rangle \, dt_2 \, dt', \quad (5.4) \]

\[ F_2 = U^2 \int_0^t b_{11}(t,t') \int_0^t b_{22}(t,t_2) \langle e_1(t')e_2(t_2) \rangle \, dt_2 \, dt', \quad (5.5) \]
With an identical mathematical procedure, we can solve for the other three integrals with the constants where

\[ F = 58, M. Sandoval, K. N. Marath, G. Subramanian and E. Lauga \]

Thus allow us to obtain explicit expressions for all components of the tensor (\( \mathbf{x}(t) \mathbf{x}(t)^T \)) (apart from \( \langle x_3 x_3 \rangle \)). Evaluating \( F_1 - F_4 \) will thus allow us to obtain explicit expressions for all components of the mean-square displacement tensor.

In fact, one can see from the general equations (4.5)–(4.8) that the four integrals, \( F_1 - F_4 \), together with the equality in (5.1), are common to all of the non-zero components of the tensor (\( \mathbf{x}(t) \mathbf{x}(t)^T \)) (apart from \( \langle x_3 x_3 \rangle \)). Evaluating \( F_1 - F_4 \) will thus allow us to obtain explicit expressions for all components of the mean-square displacement tensor.

In order to compute the first integral \( F_1 \), one has to pay attention to the relative magnitude of \( t_2 \) and \( t' \). Let us rewrite the first integral as

\[
F_1 = U^2 \int_0^t b_{11}(t, t') \left[ \int_0^{t'} b_{21}(t, t_2) \langle e_1(t') e_1(t_2) \rangle \, dt_2 \right] \, dt' 
+ \int_t^\infty b_{21}(t, t_2) \langle e_1(t_2) e_1(t') \rangle \, dt_2 \, dt',
\]

so that for the term in brackets we have \( t' \geq t_2 \) in the first integral while \( t_2 \geq t' \) in the second integral. Inserting from (4.2) the corresponding values of \( b_{kl} \), and substituting the appropriate orientation correlations from (3.9) into (5.8), and after performing the integrations we finally obtain

\[
F_1 \sim \frac{U^2 \sqrt{\alpha}}{3} \frac{A_1 + A_2}{k_\alpha}, \quad \text{as } t \to \infty,
\]

where

\[
A_1 = \left( a_a^2 - b_a^2 + d_a^2 - c_a^2 \right) \frac{\cosh (\sqrt{\alpha}G t) \sinh (\sqrt{\alpha}G t)}{k_\alpha},
\]

\[
A_2 = -2b_\alpha \frac{\sinh^2 (\sqrt{\alpha}G t)}{2 \sqrt{\alpha}G},
\]

with the constants \( a_a, b_a, c_a, d_a \) and \( k_\alpha \) defined as

\[
a_a = \sqrt{\alpha} G \left( -4D_\Omega^2 + G^2 \alpha + \omega_a^2 \right),
\]

\[
b_a = -8D_\Omega^3 + 2D_\Omega G^2 \alpha - 2D_\Omega \omega_a^2,
\]

\[
c_a = 4\sqrt{\alpha}GD_\Omega \omega_a,
\]

\[
d_a = 4D_\Omega^2 \omega_a + G^2 \alpha \omega_a + \omega_a^3,
\]

\[
k_\alpha = 16D_\Omega^4 - 8D_\Omega^2 \left( G^2 \alpha - \omega_a^2 \right) + (G^2 \alpha + \omega_a^2)^2.
\]

With an identical mathematical procedure, we can solve for the other three integral terms namely \( F_2, F_3 \) and \( F_4 \). For \( F_2 \) we find

\[
F_2 \sim \frac{U^2}{3} \frac{B_1 + B_2 + B_3}{k_\alpha}, \quad \text{as } t \to \infty,
\]

\[\]
where
\begin{align}
B_1 &= \frac{2a_c c_\alpha \sinh^2 \left( \sqrt{\alpha} Gt \right) - 2b_a d_\alpha \cosh^2 \left( \sqrt{\alpha} Gt \right)}{k_\alpha}, \\
B_2 &= -4D_\alpha \omega_\alpha \sinh^2 \left( \sqrt{\alpha} Gt \right), \quad B_3 = \frac{2d_a b_\alpha}{k_\alpha}.
\end{align}  

For \( F_3 \), we get
\[ F_3 \sim \frac{\sqrt{\alpha} U^2}{3} \frac{C_1 + C_2 + C_3}{k_\alpha} \quad \text{as } t \to \infty, \]

where
\begin{align}
C_1 &= \frac{4D_\alpha \omega_\alpha}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \sinh^2 \left( \sqrt{\alpha} Gt \right), \quad C_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \frac{2a_c c_\alpha}{k_\alpha}, \\
C_3 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \frac{2b_a d_\alpha \sinh^2 \left( \sqrt{\alpha} Gt \right) - 2a_c c_\alpha \cosh^2 \left( \sqrt{\alpha} Gt \right)}{k_\alpha},
\end{align}

and finally for \( F_4 \) we obtain
\[ F_4 \sim \frac{U^2 D_1 + D_2}{3} \quad \text{as } t \to \infty, \]

where
\begin{align}
D_1 &= \frac{-2b_a \sinh^2 \left( \sqrt{\alpha} Gt \right)}{2 \sqrt{\alpha} G}, \\
D_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \left( a_\alpha^2 - b_\alpha^2 + d_\alpha^2 - c_\alpha^2 \right) \sinh \left( \sqrt{\alpha} Gt \right) \cosh \left( \sqrt{\alpha} Gt \right).
\end{align}

In order to compute the diagonal terms in \( \langle x(t)x(t)^T \rangle \), we have five remaining integrals to calculate in (4.5)–(4.7), which are constants and we have
\begin{align}
\lim_{t \to \infty} U^2 \int_0^t b_{11}(t, t_2) \langle e_1(t)e_1(t_2) \rangle \ dt_2 &= -\frac{U^2 b_\alpha}{3} \frac{1}{k_\alpha}, \\
\lim_{t \to \infty} U^2 \int_0^t b_{12}(t, t_2) \langle e_1(t)e_2(t_2) \rangle \ dt_2 &= -\frac{U^2 c_\alpha}{3 \sqrt{\alpha} k_\alpha}, \\
\lim_{t \to \infty} U^2 \int_0^t b_{21}(t, t_2) \langle e_2(t)e_1(t_2) \rangle \ dt_2 &= \frac{U^2 \sqrt{\alpha} c_\alpha}{3 k_\alpha}, \\
\lim_{t \to \infty} U^2 \int_0^t b_{21}(t, t_2) \langle e_2(t)e_2(t') \rangle \ dt_2 &= \frac{U^2 b_\alpha}{3 k_\alpha}, \\
\lim_{t \to \infty} U^2 \int_0^t \langle e_3(t)e_3(t') \rangle \ dt' &= \frac{U^2}{6D_\alpha}.
\end{align}

Note that when \( \alpha < 0 \), we have neglected all exponentially decaying terms in the equations above. In contrast, for \( \alpha > 0 \), we have neglected (and therefore omitted) terms of the form \( e^{\sqrt{\alpha} Gt} e^{-2\sqrt{\alpha} Gt} \) compared with those scaling as \( e^{2\sqrt{\alpha} Gt} \) in the \( A-D \) constants, and as a result, terms such as \( B_3 \) or \( C_2 \), or all constants in (5.26)–(5.29), can also be neglected for \( \alpha > 0 \).
6. Steady swimming in three different linear flows

We computed so far the long-time components of the mean-square displacement tensor, $\langle x(t)x(t)^T \rangle$, for a particle performing steady swimming in a general two-dimensional linear flow (arbitrary value of $\alpha$). In this section we apply our general results to the canonical cases of a solid-body rotation ($\alpha = -1$), a simple shear flow ($\alpha = 0$) and a pure extension ($\alpha = 1$). An important dimensionless number which will appear compares two relevant time scales. One time scale is $D_\Omega^{-1}$, corresponding to the reorientation of the swimmer due to rotary diffusion (thermal or otherwise), and the other time scale is $G^{-1}$, a characteristic time scale for the linear flow. The ratio between the two is a rotary Péclet number, $Pe$, defined as $Pe \equiv G/(4D_\Omega)$ (the coefficient 4 is for mathematical convenience). Swimmers with $Pe \ll 1$ will primarily be affected by the non-hydrodynamic fluctuating forces (responsible for rotary diffusion), whereas when $Pe \gg 1$ we expect the external flow to play an important role.

6.1. Solid-body rotation

In this section we assume that the external flow is a solid-body rotation. We then substitute (5.1)–(5.30) into (4.5)–(4.8), and evaluate these components at $\alpha = -1$. After elementary simplifications and by integrating (4.4), we obtain the analytical expressions for the long-time components of the mean-square displacement tensor as

$$\langle x_1(t)x_1(t) \rangle = \left( \frac{U^2}{3D_\Omega} + 2D_B \right) t,$$

(6.1)

$$\langle x_2(t)x_2(t) \rangle = \langle x_3(t)x_3(t) \rangle = \langle x_1(t)x_1(t) \rangle,$$

(6.2)

$$\langle x_1(t)x_2(t) \rangle = 0.$$

(6.3)

This result is, surprisingly, the same as the classical result for swimming-induced enhanced effective diffusion (Lovely & Dahlquist 1975; Berg 1993). Furthermore, if we chose $U = 0$ in (6.1)–(6.3), one recovers the classical result of a Brownian passive particle under an external flow performing pure rotation (San-Miguel & Sancho 1979; Foister & van de Ven 1980) as

$$\langle x_1(t)x_1(t) \rangle = \langle x_2(t)x_2(t) \rangle = \langle x_3(t)x_3(t) \rangle = 2D_B t,$$

(6.4)

$$\langle x_1(t)x_2(t) \rangle = 0.$$

(6.5)

The fact that this result is identical to the case without any external flow will be addressed in detail in § 8.

6.2. Simple shear flow

We now turn to the case of a simple shear flow, for which $\alpha = 0$. Exploiting the results from (5.1)–(5.30) to evaluate (4.5)–(4.8) at $\alpha = 0$, together with (4.4), gives us the explicit analytical expressions for the long-time components of the tensor $\langle x(t)x(t)^T \rangle$, namely

$$\langle x_1(t)x_1(t) \rangle = \left[ \frac{32Pe^2D_\Omega D_B}{3} + \frac{16U^2D_\Omega}{9} \frac{Pe^2}{1+Pe^2} \right] t^3 + \frac{4U^2}{3} \left[ \frac{Pe^4 - Pe^2}{(1 + Pe^2)^2} \right] t^2$$

$$+ \left[ \frac{4U^2}{3D_\Omega (1 + Pe^2)^2} + \frac{U^2}{3D_\Omega (1 + Pe^2)} + 2D_B \right] t,$$

(6.6)
with the Péclet number, $Pe$, defined above. If we set $U = 0$ in (6.6)–(6.9) then our results reduce to those known for Brownian motion of passive particles in simple shear (San-Miguel & Sancho 1979; Foister & van de Ven 1980). We obtain

$$
\langle x_1(t)x_1(t) \rangle = \frac{U^2}{3D_\Omega (1 + Pe^2)} + 2D_B t,
$$

(6.7)

$$
\langle x_2(t)x_2(t) \rangle = \frac{U^2}{3D_\Omega} t,
$$

(6.8)

$$
\langle x_3(t)x_3(t) \rangle = \left[ 4D_\Omega D_B Pe + \frac{2U^2}{3} \frac{Pe}{1 + Pe^2} \right] t^2 + \frac{U^2}{3D_\Omega} \left[ \frac{Pe^3 - Pe}{(1 + Pe^2)^2} \right] t,
$$

(6.9)

The dynamics quantified by (6.6)–(6.9), which combines self-propulsion, Brownian motion and an external simple shear flow, has a few notable features. The diagonal component in the direction of the applied simple shear flow, $\langle x_1x_1 \rangle$, is dominated, at long time, by the $O(t^3)$ superdiffusive scaling, with a coefficient enhanced, by the presence of swimming, above its value for passive particles. The $\langle x_1x_1 \rangle$ component also includes an $O(t)$ diffusive term, which was present for passive particles but is enhanced here by swimming, and a new intermediate $O(t^2)$ term. In contrast, the diagonal components in the directions perpendicular to the shear flow, $\langle x_2x_2 \rangle$ and $\langle x_3x_3 \rangle$, grow linearly with time in an anisotropic fashion. The effective diffusion constant in the shear direction, $\langle x_2x_2 \rangle$, is always smaller than that in the vorticity direction, $\langle x_3x_3 \rangle$, due to shear-induced particle rotation. In both cases, swimming increases the effective diffusion constant above the purely Brownian diffusion constant for passive particles. Finally, as was the case for passive Brownian motion, a non-zero cross-correlation in displacements in the plane of the flow also arises due to shear, $\langle x_1x_2 \rangle$, scaling quadratically in time, and enhanced by the presence of swimming also leads to a new $O(t)$ term.

6.3. Pure extension

The final case we analyse is that of an active particle swimming steadily in a pure extensional (irrotational) flow. Following the analysis in the previous sections we now find the long-time components of $\langle x(t)x(t)^T \rangle$ to be given by

$$
\langle x_1(t)x_1(t) \rangle = \frac{U^2}{48D_\Omega^2} \left[ \frac{1}{Pe (1 + 2Pe)} \right] e^{2Gt} \left[ \frac{D_B}{8D_\Omega Pe} e^{2Gt} \right],
$$

(6.13)

$$
\langle x_2(t)x_2(t) \rangle = \langle x_1(t)x_1(t) \rangle = \langle x_1(t)x_1(t) \rangle,
$$

(6.14)

$$
\langle x_3(t)x_3(t) \rangle = \left( \frac{U^2}{3D_\Omega} + 2D_B \right) t.
$$

(6.15)

Note that in order to derive the equations above we have neglected all algebraic terms which are subdominant compared with $e^{2Gt}$ as long as $G \neq 0$. Once again, by setting $U = 0$ into (6.13)–(6.15), one recovers (to within exponentially small corrections) the
classical long-time correlations results of a Brownian passive particle in an extensional flow (San-Miguel & Sancho 1979; Foister & van de Ven 1980)

\[ \langle x_1(t)x_1(t) \rangle = \langle x_2(t)x_2(t) \rangle = \langle x_1(t)x_2(t) \rangle = D_b G^{-1} e^{2Gt}/2. \] (6.16)

The effect of activity is to lead to the same exponential scaling as for passive particle, but with an enhanced coefficient.

7. Extension to run-and-tumble swimmers

In this section, we extend the analysis to a spherical active particle whose orientation decorrelates due to stochastic instantaneous tumble events, in addition to the rotary diffusion process assumed above. The particle now ‘runs’, on average, in a given direction during which its orientation evolves continuously due to rotary diffusion. However, such runs are interrupted by ‘tumbles’ that lead to large impulsive changes in orientation. The statistics of the tumbles are well approximated by an exponential distribution function, \( e^{-t_{run}/\tau} / \tau \), where \( \tau^{-1} \) is the average tumbling frequency.

In addition to describing the temporal statistics of tumbling events, one has to provide a model for the correlations between the pre- and post-tumble orientations. For instance, in \( E. coli \), an average angular change of 68° per tumble has been observed (Berg 1993), indicative of a positive correlation. The original transition probability distribution introduced in § 3, \( P(e, t|e_0, 0) \), is again transformed to a coordinate system rotating with the particle (\( e \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(t)e' \)), the rotation matrix \( \mathbb{R}(t) \) being defined in § 3, and \( P(e', t|e_0, 0) \) now satisfies the equation

\[ \frac{\partial P}{\partial t} - D_\Omega \nabla_e^2 P + \frac{1}{\tau} \left( P - \int K(e'|e'') P(e'', t|e_0, 0) de'' \right) = \delta(e' - e_0)\delta(t), \] (7.1)

where \( \nabla_e \) is the gradient operator over the unit sphere (Othmer, Dunbar & Alt 1988; Subramanian & Koch 2009). The exponential distribution of run lengths ensures that the probability of a tumble occurring in an infinitesimal interval \( dt \) remains the same (\( \alpha dt / \tau \)), independent of any earlier tumbling events. As described in (7.1), tumbling may be regarded as a linear collision process with ‘direct’ (third term on the left-hand side of (7.1)) and ‘inverse’ events (fourth term), which lead, respectively, to a decrease and an increase in the probability density in the differential angular interval (\( e, e + de \)). The kernel, \( K(e'|e'') \), is the transition probability density associated with a tumble from \( e'' \) to \( e' \), which in the absence of chemical gradient is expected to be a function of \( e' \cdot e'' \) only. Conservation of probability further requires that \( \int K(e'|e'') de' = \int K(e'|e'') de'' = 1 \). An example of a kernel satisfying the above constraints is

\[ K(e'|e'') = \frac{\beta}{(4\pi \sinh \beta)} \exp(\beta e' \cdot e''), \] (7.2)

where tuning the parameter \( \beta \) allows for a wide range of correlations (Subramanian & Koch 2009). For \( \beta \rightarrow 0 \), \( K = 1/4\pi \), corresponding to perfectly random tumbles (and an average angular change of 90°), while for \( \beta \rightarrow \infty \), there is only an infinitesimally small change in orientation, and hence, a near balance between the direct and inverse collision terms. The value \( \beta = 1 \) leads to an average angle change, during tumbles, close to that observed for \( E. coli \).
Interestingly, in the limit $\beta \to \infty$ and $\tau \to 0$, and with $\beta \tau$ finite, the combination of the direct and inverse collision terms in (7.1) simplifies to the orientational Laplacian multiplied by a factor proportional to $(\beta \tau)^{-1}$. The simplification may be seen by noting that, for $\beta \to \infty$, tumbles are increasingly local events in orientation space, and accordingly, $P(e''|e, t|e_0, 0)$ in the inverse collision term may be expanded about $P(e', t|e_0, 0)$ as a Taylor series, leading to the orientational Laplacian at the leading order. In this limit, the governing equation, (7.1), again describes orientational decorrelation due to a rotary diffusion process, but with the rotary diffusivity being now given by the sum of the original rotary diffusivity, $D_{\Omega}$, and the added contribution of $O(\beta \tau)^{-1}$ from small-amplitude tumbles.

We solve (7.1) by expanding the orientation probability distribution in terms of the surface spherical harmonics, $Y_l^m(\theta, \phi)$, defined in §3. From (7.5), it is seen that the relaxation of the initial delta function in orientation space to an isotropic distribution is characterized by a denumerable infinity of decaying exponentials. In the absence of rotary diffusion, and with the additional simplification of the tumbles being perfectly random (i.e. $K(e'|e'') = 1/4\pi$), equation (7.5) reduces to

$$P(e, t|e_0, 0) = \frac{1}{4\pi} (1 - e^{-t/\tau}) + \delta(e - e_0)e^{-t/\tau},$$

where $Y_l^m$ and $Y_l^{m*}$ are defined in §3. From (7.5), it is seen that the relaxation of the initial delta function in orientation space to an isotropic distribution is characterized by a denumerable infinity of decaying exponentials. In the absence of rotary diffusion, and with the additional simplification of the tumbles being perfectly random (i.e. $K(e'|e'') = 1/4\pi$), equation (7.5) reduces to

$$P(e, t|e_0, 0) = \frac{1}{4\pi} (1 - e^{-t/\tau}) + \delta(e - e_0)e^{-t/\tau},$$

where we have used $a_0 = 1/4\pi$, $D_{\Omega} = 0$ and $\omega_{\Omega} = 0$. The expression in (7.6) shows that in this limit, the initial delta function in orientation space now relaxes to isotropy as a single exponential.

It is of interest to compare (7.5), that includes stochastic decorrelation due to both diffusion and tumbling, to (3.2), which quantified only rotary diffusion. The introduction of tumbling only leads to a difference in the decay rates of the exponentials which now include an additional contribution proportional to $1/\tau$. This is because the eigenfunctions in both cases are the surface spherical harmonics themselves, and the introduction of the tumbling terms only affects the distribution of eigenvalues.
With the probability distribution, \( P(e, t|e_0, 0) \), known from (7.5), the calculation from § 3 for the average orientation correlation matrix, \( \langle e(t)e(0)^T \rangle \), can be carried out and we now obtain

\[
\langle e(t)e(0)^T \rangle = \frac{1}{3} \exp \left\{ - \left( 2D_\Omega + \frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{4\pi a_1}{3\tau} \right) t \right\} \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \omega_t t & -\sin \omega_t t & 0 \\
\sin \omega_t t & \cos \omega_t t & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( a_1 \) is the coefficient of the first-order Legendre polynomial in the expansion of the tumbling kernel; for \( K \) as in (7.2) we have \( a_1 = (3\beta \cosh \beta - 3 \sinh \beta) / (4\pi \beta \sinh \beta) \) and \( a_1 \approx 0.075 \) for \( \beta = 1 \). Note that in the limit \( \beta \to \infty \), we obtain \( a_1 = 3/4\pi \), and (7.7) reduces to (3.9).

A comparison between the expressions in (3.9) and (7.7) reveals that the effect of correlated tumbling is to yield an effective rotary diffusivity that is larger than the true diffusivity by an amount \( (1/2 - 2\pi a_1/3)/\tau \), even though the actual decorrelation mechanism is, of course, no longer diffusive. All results obtained above in § 6 for the three canonical flows with rotary diffusion alone, can thus be generalized to include also run-and-tumble dynamics by merely replacing the rotary diffusivity, \( D_\Omega \), by an effective diffusion constant, denoted \( \tilde{D}_\Omega \), and given by

\[
\tilde{D}_\Omega = D_\Omega + \frac{1}{\tau} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2\pi a_1}{3} \right).
\]  

Note that this effective rotary diffusivity may also be arrived at by noting that the total rate of decorrelation due to independent stochastic processes must be the sum of the individual decorrelation rates. The individual decorrelation rates due to rotary diffusion and tumbling may be obtained from the respective translational diffusivities, \( D = U^2/6D_\Omega \) for rotary diffusion versus \( D = [U^2/(3 - 4\pi a_1)]\tau \) for tumbling alone, implying that the total rate of decorrelation must involve the combination \( D_\Omega + (3 - 4\pi a_1)/(6\tau) \).

8. Discussion

In the cases of simple shear and extensional flow, we saw that the activity of the particles leads to long-time temporal scalings for the tensor \( \langle xx \rangle \) similar to those obtained for the dynamics of passive particles, albeit with increased coefficients. In this section we examine the order of magnitude of our results, investigate the physical origin of the scalings obtained, estimate the typical time scale after which the enhancement is observed, and discuss the relevance of our results for biology and bioengineering.

8.1. Enhanced mean-square displacement

We first summarize the results from § 6 and § 7 in table 1. For all three flows, we show the terms dominating the behaviour at \( t \to \infty \) and separate the passive \( (U = 0) \) case from the case where particle executes a run-and-tumble motion with rotary diffusion during the runs \( (U \neq 0) \). The results for the active swimmer with rotary diffusion alone may be obtained by formally replacing the effective diffusion constant, \( \tilde{D}_\Omega \), by the true rotary diffusivity, \( D_\Omega \). In all cases, the strength of the flow is characterized by the rotary Péclet number, \( Pe \), the ratio of the time scale characterizing the intrinsic orientation decorrelation due both to rotary diffusion and tumbling and a characteristic flow time scale.
Stochastic dynamics of active swimmers in linear flows

\[ \alpha = -1 \text{ Rotation} \quad \alpha = 0 \text{ Simple shear} \quad \alpha = 1 \text{ Extension} \]

| \( \langle x_1 x_1 \rangle \) | \( U = 0 \) | \( 2D_B t \) | \( \frac{2}{3} G^2 D_B t^3 \) | \( \frac{D_B}{2G} e^{2Gt} \) |
| \( U \neq 0 \) | \( + \frac{U^2}{3D_\Omega} t \) | \( + \frac{G^2 U^2}{9D_\Omega (1 + Pe^2)} t^3 \) | \( + \frac{U^2}{12GD_\Omega (1 + 2Pe)} e^{2Gt} \) |

| \( \langle x_2 x_2 \rangle \) | \( U = 0 \) | \( 2D_B t \) | \( 2D_B t \) | \( \frac{D_B}{2G} e^{2Gt} \) |
| \( U \neq 0 \) | \( + \frac{U^2}{3D_\Omega} t \) | \( + \frac{U^2}{3D_\Omega (1 + Pe^2)} t \) | \( + \frac{U^2}{12GD_\Omega (1 + 2Pe)} e^{2Gt} \) |

| \( \langle x_3 x_3 \rangle \) | \( U = 0 \) | \( 2D_B t \) | \( 2D_B t \) | \( \frac{D_B}{2G} e^{2Gt} \) |
| \( U \neq 0 \) | \( + \frac{U^2}{3D_\Omega} t \) | \( + \frac{U^2}{3D_\Omega} t \) | \( + \frac{U^2}{3D_\Omega} t \) |

| \( \langle x_1 x_2 \rangle \) | \( U = 0 \) | \( 0 \) | \( GD_B t^2 \) | \( \frac{D_B}{2G} e^{2Gt} \) |
| \( U \neq 0 \) | \( 0 \) | \( + \frac{U^2 G}{6D_\Omega (1 + Pe^2)} t^2 \) | \( + \frac{U^2}{12GD_\Omega (1 + 2Pe)} e^{2Gt} \) |

**Table 1.** Long-time components of the mean-square displacement tensor, \( \langle x(t)x(t)^T \rangle \), for three different linear flows, namely rotation (\( \alpha = -1 \)), shear (\( \alpha = 0 \)) and extension (\( \alpha = 1 \)). In each row, the results first show the dynamics in the no-swimming case (\( U = 0 \)) followed by the additional term due to activity (\( U \neq 0 \)). Recall that we have defined the Péclet number as \( Pe = \frac{G}{\tilde{D}_\Omega} \) where the effective rotational diffusivity, \( \tilde{D}_\Omega \), is given in equation (7.8).

In the limit \( Pe \ll 1 \), for all cases in table 1, the ratio between the mean-square displacement in the active (random tumbling) and the passive case is given by

\[
\frac{\langle x_i x_j \rangle_{U \neq 0}}{\langle x_i x_j \rangle_{U = 0}} \sim \frac{U^2}{\tilde{D}_\Omega D_B}.
\] (8.1)

From (8.1) we see that the flow strength, \( G \), has disappeared, and the effect of the activity is of the same order as the ratio between the typical swimming-induced translational diffusivity in the absence of external flow, \( \frac{U^2}{\tilde{D}_\Omega} \), and the Brownian diffusivity, \( D_B \). Note also that since the linear flow is two-dimensional, the scaling in (8.1) remains actually valid for all values of \( Pe \) in the case of \( \langle x_3 x_3 \rangle \).

In the case of strong flows, \( Pe \gg 1 \), and from table 1 we obtain the ratio of mean squared displacements for the active and passive cases as

\[
\frac{\langle x_i x_j \rangle_{U \neq 0}}{\langle x_i x_j \rangle_{U = 0}} \sim \frac{U^2}{\tilde{D}_\Omega D_B Pe^n},
\] (8.2)

where \( n = 2 \) for simple shear, \( n = 1 \) in the case of extensional flow and \( n = 0 \) for solid-body rotation. Thus, for simple shear and extensional flow, the strong flow limit leads to a relative decrease of the contribution from the particle activity. For solid-body rotation, however, the mean-squared displacement is the same as that known for
a swimmer in a quiescent fluid medium. This can be seen from a reference frame which is rotating with the flow wherein the only orientation decorrelation mechanism for an active particle is rotary diffusion and potentially tumbling (see below for a further discussion).

8.2. Physical scalings

One may use simple physical arguments to recover the scalings seen in table 1. The arguments presented below are for particles without tumbling, and the generalization to include run-and-tumble dynamics, as indicated above, may be done by way of an effective rotary diffusivity.

We begin by recalling that, in a quiescent fluid, the characteristic step size scales as $U/D_{\Omega}$, the decorrelation time scales as $1/D_{\Omega}$, leading to a translational diffusivity scaling as $U^2/D_{\Omega}$, and thus $\langle x^2 \rangle \sim (U^2/D_{\Omega})t$. This may now be used to obtain the convectively enhanced scalings for the mean-square displacements in simple shear and extensional flow. For pure shear and in the weak flow limit, diffusion along the gradient direction leads to $x_2 \sim [(U^2/D_{\Omega})t]^{1/2}$, and the corresponding distance traversed along the flow direction is $x_1 \sim Gx_2 t$, implying that $\langle x_1x_1 \rangle \sim O((GU)^2 t^3/D_{\Omega})$. In the strong flow limit, the characteristic step size in the gradient direction is $U/G$, since the displacement due to swimming is cut off by the rotation due to the ambient vorticity. The decorrelation time scales as $1/D_{\Omega}$, leading to a flow-dependent translational diffusivity of $(U/G)^2 D_{\Omega}$ and $\langle x_2x_2 \rangle \sim (U/G)^2 D_{\Omega} t$. In turn, this implies that $\langle x_1x_1 \rangle \sim O((Gx_2 t)^2) \sim U^2 D_{\Omega} t^3$, which is the limiting form, for high $Pe$, of the results for simple shear flow in table 1.

In the case of extensional flow, the deterministic terms imply that $x_1 \sim e^{Gt}$, and thus $\langle x_1x_1 \rangle \sim e^{2Gt} - 1$, for a swimmer starting from the origin. The prefactor in $\langle x_1x_1 \rangle$, given by $U^2/(GD_{\Omega})$, is obtained by Taylor expansion by noting that for times of order $D_{\Omega}^{-1}$ (much smaller than $G^{-1}$ in the weak flow limit), $\langle x_1 \rangle$ must still be diffusive. In the strong flow limit, the prefactor scales as $U^2/G^2$, and is thus independent of $D_{\Omega}$. In this limit, the decorrelation due to rotary diffusion occurs at a much larger time compared with the flow time scale, and there is thus a direct transition from the short-time ballistic regime to the exponential enhancement driven by the ambient flow.

8.3. The peculiar case of solid-body rotation

It is of interest to note that diffusivity in solid-body rotation is unaffected by vorticity strength, whereas in simple shear flow, the diffusivity in the gradient direction decreases with flow strength as $\propto G^{-2}$ as shown by the above scaling arguments. The orbital frequency (time taken to complete an entire circuit along a closed streamline) and the rotation frequency (equal to half the ambient vorticity) are exactly the same for an active particle in solid-body rotation, and this leads to the lack of dependence on the flow vorticity. Solid-body rotation is thus a singular limit. For the family of elliptic linear flows, with $\alpha = -|\alpha|$, that span the interval between simple shear and solid-body rotation, there is always a mismatch between the orbital frequency, $G\sqrt{|\alpha|}$, and the rotation frequency, $G(1 + |\alpha|)/2$. This mismatch leads to a finite displacement in the deterministic limit. An active swimmer in an elliptic linear flow ends up swimming indefinitely, and with a periodic reversal in direction, within a region whose spatial extent is $\sim U/[G(1 - \sqrt{|\alpha|})]$. The reversal in direction happens on a time scale of order $G(1 - \sqrt{|\alpha|})^{-1}$, and thus, the behaviour of the mean square displacement in an elliptic linear flow depends on the relative magnitudes of the intrinsic decorrelation time, $D_{\Omega}^{-1}$, and the aforementioned deterministic reversal time. When $D_{\Omega}^{-1} \ll G(1 - \sqrt{|\alpha|})^{-1}$, then the long-time diffusivities along the principal
axes of the elliptical streamlines are independent of the flow strength; note that this is the only limit relevant to solid-body rotation. In the strong flow limit, however, we have $\tilde{D}_G^1 \gg G(1 - \sqrt{|\alpha|})^{-1}$, and the diffusivities scale as $\propto G^{-2}$. This, and the additional dependence on $|\alpha|$, may be obtained by noting that the characteristic step size is now of order $U/[G(1 - \sqrt{|\alpha|})]$, while the decorrelation time is still $\sim \tilde{D}_G^1$. So, the long-time diffusivity (along the minor axis of the closed streamlines) scales as $(U/G(1 - \sqrt{|\alpha|})^2 \tilde{D}_G$. The breakdown of this argument, and the flow-independence of the diffusivity for solid-body rotation, arises from the divergence of the elementary step size in the limit $\alpha \to -1$.

### 8.4. Time scales for enhancement

Another issue of interest, in the case of shear flows, is the time one has to wait in order to observe the enhanced mean-square displacement, $\sim t^3$, along the flow direction, $\langle x_1 x_1 \rangle$. That time scale can be obtained by comparing the order of magnitudes of the $\sim t^2$ and $\sim t^3$ terms in (6.6). For a weak shear flow, $Pe \ll 1$, we get a cross-over at a critical time scale such that $\tilde{D}_G t \sim U^2/(\tilde{D}_G D_B + U^2)$. Assuming that activity leads to enhanced mean-square displacement, we thus have $U^2 \gg \tilde{D}_G D_B$ (see (8.1)), and therefore see that the cross-over occurs of the order of the rotational time scale, $t \sim \tilde{D}_G^{-1}$. In the case of a strong shear flow, $Pe \gg 1$, we get that the cross over occurs when $t \sim U^2/(U^2 \tilde{D}_G + G^2 D_B)$. If we assume again to be in the enhanced regime, corresponding to $U^2 \gg \tilde{D}_G D_B G^2 \tau^2$ (see (8.2)), and thus $U^2 \tilde{D}_G \gg G^2 D_B$, leading again to $t \sim \tilde{D}_G^{-1}$. The relevant time to obtain the enhanced mean square displacement is therefore independent on weak versus strong nature of the flow, and is always the typical orientation decorrelation time. A similar analysis can be carried out for the cross-term, $\langle x_1 x_2 \rangle$, with similar results.

### 8.5. Relevance to biology and bioengineering

From a practical standpoint, when can we expect these results to be quantitatively important? Let us consider a small biological or synthetic swimmer with a typical size of 1 µm. At room temperature and in water this leads to a Brownian diffusion constant of $D_B \approx 0.22$ µm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ and $D_B \approx 0.16$ s$^{-1}$ leading to a thermal time scale of $\approx 3$ s. The estimate in (8.1) says that, for weak flows, the critical swimming speed to observe an enhancement is $U_c \sim (\tilde{D}_G D_B)^{1/2} \approx 200$ nm s$^{-1}$. Micrometre-sized swimmers, both biological (Lauga & Powers 2009) and synthetic (Mallouk & Sen 2009), typically go much faster than this value, and thus the effect quantified here should result in enhancement by orders of magnitude and should be easily seen experimentally.

In the presence of a strong flow, the critical swimming speed necessary in order to observe an enhanced mean-square motion is increased due to the $Pe^2$ term in equation (8.2). What is the typical value of a deformation rate, $G$, in a practical situation? We consider two cases. The first is that of planktonic bacteria (Guasto et al. 2012), which are subject to wind-driven flows with root-mean-square (r.m.s.) deformation rates of up to $G \sim 10$ s$^{-1}$ on the smallest length scales (Jimenez 1997). These flows typically possess both extensional ($n = 1$) and viscous ($n = 2$) components and are typically turbulent, but given that the Kolmogorov length scale is at least a few millimetres, they appear laminar on the scale of a micrometre-size organism. In that case, the critical velocity becomes $U_c \sim (\tilde{D}_G D_B)^{1/2} (Pe)^{n/2} \sim 1$ µm s$^{-1}$ for extensional flow and $U_c \sim 5$ µm s$^{-1}$ for shear and rotation. These swimming speeds are below typical velocities in biological locomotion, and thus the random motion of bacteria in oceanic flow is expected to be strongly affected by their activity.
A second situation of interest would be that of synthetic swimmers in blood flow, where in this case the motion is dominated by shear ($n=2$). In large blood vessels we have $G \sim 10^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Pedley 1980), leading to a critical swimming speed for enhanced motion in a shear flow of $U_c \sim 50 \text{ µm s}^{-1}$, on the upper limit of the synthetic swimming speeds measured in the laboratory. In contrast, for flow in capillaries we have much larger deformation rates, up to $G \sim 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Lipowsky, Kovalcheck & Zweifach 1978), leading to a large value $U_c \sim 5 \text{ mm s}^{-1}$. Whereas the random motion of small synthetic swimmers is expected to be affected by both blood flow and the swimmer motion in large vessels, the effect of swimming in small capillaries will probably be negligible.

8.6. Summary and perspective

In summary we have addressed theoretically the stochastic dynamics of spherical active particles diffusing in an incompressible, two-dimensional linear flow. After deriving the general framework valid for an arbitrary time-dependent swimming velocity of the particles, we focused on the special case of steadily swimming particles and have illustrated our analytical results on three different flows: solid-body rotation, simple shear and extension. We have also shown that the results can be extended to a particle which executes a run-and-tumble motion, as a model for the dynamics of bacteria. Compared with passive colloidal particles, we have shown that the activity of the particle leads to the same long-time scalings but with increased values of the coefficients, which can be physically rationalized (see the summary in table 1). By comparing the new terms with those obtained for passive particles we have shown that the activity of the particles could lead to enhancement by orders of magnitude of their mean-square displacement, for example for planktonic bacteria subject to oceanic turbulence. Our results could thus be further exploited to quantify the ability of specific small-scale biological organisms to sample their surroundings.

The calculations in the paper were made under a number of assumptions which suggest ways in which the study could be generalized. We have assumed the flows to be of an infinite extent, whereas for example in a biological setting it is clear that the presence of boundaries would play an important role. We have also assumed the active particle to be spherical, allowing us to perform all calculations analytically. For non-spherical bodies, relevant for example for elongated bacteria, equation (2.1) would include an additional term which depends on the symmetric part of the rate-of-strain tensor, and would require the use of numerical computations to derive the effective long-time dynamics of the active particle (or restriction of the analysis to certain asymptotic regimes in the rotary Péclet number). One important difference between the dynamics of spherical and non-spherical particles is that whereas spherical particles undergo uniform rotation at a rate proportional to the flow vorticity, non-spherical particles rotate along Jeffery orbits, and for large aspect ratios, end up spending a significant amount of time aligned in certain directions (the flow-vorticity plane in simple shear).

Finally, beyond thermal forces and run-and-tumble, other sources of directional change could be address with our modelling approach, in particular run-and-reverse for bacteria (Guasto et al. 2012), phase slips in eukaryotic flagella (Polin et al. 2009), collisions (Ishikawa & Pedley 2007) or even non-thermal turbulent fluctuations in flow vorticity in environmental flows (Jimenez 1997). Despite these limitations, we hope that our study will provide new insight into the interplay among orientation decorrelation, external flows and activity, and will be valuable in order to develop coarse-grained theories of swimming populations in complex, external flows.
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