

# On the complexity of the D5 principle

X. Dahan, É. Schost<sup>a</sup>, M. Moreno Maza, W. Wu, Y. Xie<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>LIX, École polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau, France {dahan, schost}@lix.polytechnique.fr

<sup>b</sup>ORCCA, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada {moreno, wwu25, yxie}@orcca.on.ca

The standard approach for computing with an algebraic number using its irreducible minimal polynomial over some base field  $k$ . However, many algebraic numbers may appear when solving a polynomial system; applying them this approach requires possibly costly factorization algorithms. Della Dora, Dicrescenzo and Duval introduced “dynamic evaluation” techniques (also termed “D5 principle”) [2] as a means to compute with algebraic numbers, avoiding factorization. This approach leads one to compute over *direct products of field extensions of  $k$* , instead of only field extensions.

We address complexity issues for such computations. Let  $\mathbf{T} = T_1(X_1), T_2(X_1, X_2), \dots, T_n(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be polynomials such that  $k \rightarrow K = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]/\mathbf{T}$  is a direct product of fields. We write  $\delta$  for the dimension of  $K$  over  $k$ . Using fast polynomial arithmetic, it is a folklore result that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the operations  $(+, \times)$  in  $K$  can be performed in  $c_\varepsilon^n \delta^{1+\varepsilon}$  operations in  $k$ , for some constant  $c_\varepsilon$ . Using fast Euclidean algorithm, a similar result carries over to inversion, *in the special case when  $K$  is a field*.

Our main results are similar estimates for the general case. Following the D5 philosophy, meeting zero-divisors in the computation will lead to *splitting  $\mathbf{T}$*  into a family thereof, defining the same extension. Inversion is then replaced by *quasi-inversion*: a quasi-inverse [4] of  $\alpha \in K$  is a splitting of  $\mathbf{T}$ , such that  $\alpha$  is either zero or invertible in each component, together with the corresponding inverses. We obtain similar result for gcd computation with coefficients in  $K$ . Again, the notion of a gcd has to be adapted: a gcd of two polynomials  $F$  and  $G$  in  $K[y]$  consists of a splitting of  $\mathbf{T}$ , together with *monic* polynomials that form gcd’s of  $F$  and  $G$  over each factor.

**Theorem.** *Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There exists  $C_\varepsilon > 0$  such that addition, multiplication and quasi-inversion in  $K$  can be done in  $C_\varepsilon^n \delta^{1+\varepsilon}$  operations in  $k$ . There exists  $C' > 0$  such that one can compute a gcd of degree  $d$  polynomials in  $K[y]$  using  $C' C_\varepsilon^n d^{1+\varepsilon} \delta^{1+\varepsilon}$  operations in  $k$ .*

In both cases, the main difficulty comes from handling splittings: if  $\mathbf{T}$  has been split into a family  $\mathbf{T}_1, \dots, \mathbf{T}_s$ , this corresponds to making effective the map  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]/\mathbf{T} \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^s k[X_1, \dots, X_n]/\mathbf{T}_i$ . This operation has a quasi-linear complexity when  $n = 1$ ;  $n > 1$ , a similar result lacks. However, it is possible to extend the result from the univariate case when  $\mathbf{T}_1, \dots, \mathbf{T}_s$  satisfy a regularity condition, the absence of *critical pairs*. To reduce to this case, we have to remove critical pairs. This is done by introducing a new algorithm for *coprime factorization* of univariate polynomials [1] (this tool that was already used in [3] for parallel complexity estimates in a similar context).

## References

- [1] D. J. Bernstein. Factoring into coprimes in essentially linear time. *J. Algorithms*, 54(1):1–30, 2005.
- [2] J. Della Dora, C. Dicrescenzo, and D. Duval. About a new method for computing in algebraic number fields. In *Eurocal '85 Vol. 2*, volume 204 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 289–290, 1985.
- [3] T. Gautier and J.-L. Roch.  $\mathcal{NC}^2$  computation of gcd-free basis and application to parallel algebraic numbers computation. In *PASCO'97*, pages 31–37. ACM Press, 1997.
- [4] M. Moreno Maza and R. Rioboo. Polynomial gcd computations over towers of algebraic extensions. In *Proc. AAEECC-11*, pages 365–382. Springer, 1995.