NUMERICAL ANALYSIS: EXAMPLES' SHEET 2

13. Let A be an $n \times n$ symmetric tridiagonal matrix that is not deflatable (i.e., all the elements of A that are adjacent to the diagonal are nonzero). Prove that A has n distinct eigenvalues. Prove also that, if A has a zero eigenvalue and a single iteration of the QR algorithm is applied to A, then the resultant tridiagonal matrix is deflatable.

Hint: In the second part deduce that a diagonal element of R is zero.

14. Let A be a 2×2 symmetric matrix whose trace does not vanish, let $A_0 = A$, and let the sequence of matrices $\{A_k : k = 1, 2, ...\}$ be calculated by applying the QR algorithm to A_0 (without any origin shifts). Express the matrix element $(A_{k+1})_{1,1}$ in terms of the elements of A_k . Show that, except in the special case when A is already diagonal, the sequence $\{(A_k)_{1,1} : k = 0, 1, ...\}$ converges monotonically to the eigenvalue of A of larger modulus.

Hint: The sign of this eigenvalue is same as the sign of the trace of A.

15. Apply a single step of the QR method to the matrix

$$A = \left[\begin{array}{rrr} 4 & 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & \varepsilon \\ 0 & \varepsilon & 0 \end{array} \right].$$

You should find that the (2,3) element of the new matrix is $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)$ and that the new matrix has exactly the same trace as A.

16. (For those who like analysis). Let A be a real 4×4 upper Hessenberg matrix whose eigenvalues all have nonzero imaginary parts, where the moduli of the two complex pairs of eigenvalues are different. Prove that, if the matrices A_k , k = 0, 1, 2, ..., are calculated from A by the QR algorithm, then the subdiagonal elements $(A_k)_{2,1}$ and $(A_k)_{4,3}$ stay bounded away from zero, but $(A_k)_{3,2}$ converges to zero as $k \to \infty$.

17. Apply a single iteration of the QR algorithm with double shifts to the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & \varepsilon & -1 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

assuming $|\varepsilon|$ is so small that $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$ terms are negligible and can be disregarded. You should find that the first column of $(A - s_0 I)(A - s_1 I)$, where s_0 and s_1 are the shifts, has the elements 1, 0, $-\varepsilon$ and 0. Further, you should find that the iteration provides a matrix that is deflatable, because its (3, 2) element is of magnitude ε^2 .

18. Let h = 1/M, where $M \ge 1$ is an integer, and let Euler's method be applied to calculate the estimates $\{y_n\}_{n=1,2,...,M}$ of y(nh) for each of the differential equations

$$y' = -\frac{y}{1+t}$$
 and $y' = 2\frac{y}{1+t}$, $0 \le t \le 1$,

starting with $y_0 = y(0) = 1$ in both cases. By using induction and by cancelling as many terms as possible in the resultant products, deduce simple explicit expressions for y_n , n = 1, 2, ..., M, which should be free from summations and products of n terms. Hence deduce the exact solutions of the equations from the limit $h \rightarrow 0$. Verify that the magnitude of the errors $y_n - y(nh)$, n = 1, 2, ..., M, is at most $\mathcal{O}(h)$.

19. Assuming that f satisfies the Lipschitz condition and possesses a bounded third derivative in $[0, t^*]$, apply the method of analysis of the Euler method, given in the lectures, to prove that the trapezoidal rule

$$m{y}_{n+1} = m{y}_n + rac{1}{2}h[m{f}(t_n, m{y}_n) + m{f}(t_{n+1}, m{y}_{n+1})]$$

converges and that $\|\boldsymbol{y}_n - \boldsymbol{y}(t_n)\| \leq ch^2$ for some c > 0 and all n such that $0 \leq nh \leq t^*$.

20. The s-step Adams–Bashforth method is of order s and has the form

$$\boldsymbol{y}_{n+s} = \boldsymbol{y}_{n+s-1} + h \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \sigma_j \boldsymbol{f}(t_{n+j}, \boldsymbol{y}_{n+j}).$$

Calculate the actual values of the coefficients in the case s = 3

Denoting the polynomials generating the s-step Adams–Bashforth by $\{\rho_s, \sigma_s\}$, prove that

$$\sigma_s(z) = z\sigma_{s-1}(z) + \alpha_{s-1}(z-1)^{s-1}$$

where $\alpha_s \neq 0$ is a constant s.t. $\rho_s(z) - \sigma_s(z) \log z = \alpha_s(z-1)^{s+1} + \mathcal{O}(|z-1|^{s+2}), z \to 1$. [*Hint: Use induction, the order conditions and the fact that the degree of each* σ_s *is* s - 1.]

21. By solving a three-term recurrence relation, calculate analytically the sequence of values $\{y_n : n = 2, 3, 4, ...\}$ that is generated by the *explicit midpoint rule*

$$\boldsymbol{y}_{n+2} = \boldsymbol{y}_n + 2h\boldsymbol{f}(t_{n+1}, \boldsymbol{y}_{n+1})$$

when it is applied to the ODE y' = -y, $t \ge 0$. Starting from the values $y_0 = 1$ and $y_1 = 1 - h$, show that the sequence diverges as $n \to \infty$ for all h > 0. Recall, however, that order ≥ 1 , the root condition and suitable starting conditions imply convergence in a *finite* interval. Prove that the above implementation of the explicit midpoint rule is consistent with this theorem.

Hint: In the last part, relate the roots of the recurrence relation to $\pm e^{\pm h} + O(h^3)$ *.*

22. Show that the multistep method

$$\sum_{j=0}^{3} \rho_j \boldsymbol{y}_{n+j} = h \sum_{j=0}^{2} \sigma_j \boldsymbol{f}(t_{n+j}, \boldsymbol{y}_{n+j})$$

is fourth order only if the conditions $\rho_0 + \rho_2 = 8$ and $\rho_1 = -9$ are satisfied. Hence deduce that this method cannot be both fourth order and satisfy the root condition

23. An s-stage explicit Runge–Kutta method of order s with constant step size h > 0 is applied to the differential equation $y' = \lambda y$, $t \ge 0$. Prove the identity

$$y_n = \left[\sum_{l=0}^{s} \frac{1}{l!} (h\lambda)^l\right]^n y_0, \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

24. The following four-stage Runge–Kutta method has order four,

$$k_{1} = f(t_{n}, y_{n})$$

$$k_{2} = f(t_{n} + \frac{1}{3}h, y_{n} + \frac{1}{3}hk_{1})$$

$$k_{3} = f(t_{n} + \frac{2}{3}h, y_{n} - \frac{1}{3}hk_{1} + hk_{2})$$

$$k_{4} = f(t_{n} + h, y_{n} + hk_{1} - hk_{2} + hk_{3})$$

$$y_{n+1} = y_{n} + h(\frac{1}{8}k_{1} + \frac{3}{8}k_{2} + \frac{3}{8}k_{3} + \frac{1}{8}k_{4}).$$

By considering the equation y' = y, show that the order is at most four. Then, for scalar functions, prove that the order is at least four in the easy case when f is independent of y, and that the order is at least three in the relatively easy case when f is independent of t.

[You are not expected to derive all of the (gory) details when f(t, y) depends on both t and y.]