Computing semigroups with error control

Matthew J. Colbrook

University of Cambridge

Papers:

M.J. Colbrook, "Computing semigroups with error control" M.J. Colbrook and L.J. Ayton, "A contour method for time-fractional PDEs" (extension of current talk to time-fractional PDEs)



The infinite-dimensional problem

Linear operator A on an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} ,

$$\frac{du}{dt}=Au,\quad u(0)=u_0\in\mathcal{H}.$$

<u>GOAL</u>: Rigorously compute the solution at time *t*.

Philosophy of the approach

Typically, A is discretised to $\mathbb{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and we use some sort of finite-dimensional solver: "truncate-then-solve"

Typical difficulties:

- Often very difficult to bound the error when we go from A to \mathbb{A} .
- Sometimes A is more complicated to study.
 E.g. where are its eigenvalues?
- \bullet Sometimes \mathbbm{A} does not respect key properties of the system.
- For PDEs on unbounded domains, there are two truncations: the physical domain and then the operator restricted to this domain.

PHILOSPHY OF THIS TALK: Solve-then-discretise.

Open Foundations Questions

Q.1: Can we compute semigroups with error control? I.e., does there exist an algorithm that given a generator A of a strongly continuous semigroup on \mathcal{H} , time t > 0, arbitrary $u_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ and error tolerance $\epsilon > 0$, computes an approximation of $\exp(tA)u_0$ to accuracy ϵ in \mathcal{H} ?

Q.2: For $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, is there a large class of PDO generators A on the unbounded domain \mathbb{R}^d where the answer to Q.1 is yes?

We'll provide resolutions to these two problems!

NB: Q2 has recently been solved in the positive for Schrödinger operators using weighted Sobolev bounds on the initial condition for rigorous domain truncation [Becker & Hansen, 2020]. We'll aim to go much broader.

Example



Aperiodic (no repeating pattern) infinite Ammann–Beenker (AB) tiling. Such structures have very interesting transport properties but notoriously difficult to compute. Graph Laplacian:

$$[\Delta_{AB}\psi]_i = \sum_{i\sim j} (\psi_j - \psi_i), \quad \{\psi_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \in l^2(\mathbb{N}).$$

Schrödinger equation and wave equation:

$$iu_t = -\Delta_{
m AB} u$$
 and $u_{tt} = \Delta_{
m AB} u.$

Example

Solutions computed with guaranteed accuracy $\epsilon = 10^{-10}$.



Top row: log10(|u(t)|) computed for the Schrödinger equation at times t = 1 (left), t = 10 (middle) and t = 50 (right). Bottom row: u(t) computed for the wave equation at times t = 1 (left), t = 30 (middle) and t = 50 (right).

Example

 $u_{\rm FS}$: solution by direct diagonalisation of 10001 imes 10001 truncation.



Small difference for small *t*, then grows quickly due to boundary effects. As *t* increases, need more vertices (basis vectors) to capture the solution - method of this talk allows this to be done rigorously and adaptively.

Strongly continuous semigroup

$$\frac{du}{dt} = Au, \quad u(0) = u_0 \in \mathcal{H}.$$
 (1)

Definition

Strongly cts semigroup is a map $S:[0,\infty)
ightarrow$

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$$
 s.t

bounded operators on H

(1) S(0) = I

(2)
$$S(s+t) = S(s)S(t), \quad \forall s, t \geq 0$$

(3) $\lim_{t\downarrow 0} S(t)v = v$ for all $v \in \mathcal{H}$.

The infinitesimal generator A of S is defined via $Ax = \lim_{t\downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t}(S(t) - I)x$, where $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is all $x \in X$ such that the limit exists, write $S(t) = \exp(tA)$.

Why we care: A generates C_0 -semigroup \Leftrightarrow (1) well-posed

Hille-Yosida Theorem

$$\mathrm{Sp}(A) = \{z : A - zI \text{ not invertible}\}, \quad \rho(A) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathrm{Sp}(A)$$

 $R(z, A) = (A - zI)^{-1} \text{ for } z \in \rho(A)$

Theorem

A closed operator A generates a C₀-semigroup if and only if A is densely defined and there exists $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, M > 0 with

(1)
$$\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \lambda > \omega\} \subset \rho(A).$$

(2) For all $\lambda > \omega$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(\lambda - \omega)^n \|R(\lambda, A)^n\| \leq M$.

Under these conditions, $\|\exp(tA)\| \le M \exp(\omega t)$ and if $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) > \omega$ then $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ with

$$\|R(\lambda, A)^n\| \leq \frac{M}{(\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) - \omega)^n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$\exp(tA)u_0 = \left[\frac{-1}{2\pi i}\int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} \underbrace{e^{zt}(A-zI)^{-1}}_{\text{no decay}?!}dz\right]u_0, \quad \text{for } \sigma > \omega,$$

Case 1: $\mathcal{H} = l^2(\mathbb{N})$

span{ $e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}$ } forms a core of A and $A^* \Rightarrow \text{matrix } A_{j,k} = \langle Ae_k, e_j \rangle$. $\Omega_{C_0}: (A, u_0, t) \text{ s.t. } A \text{ generates } C_0\text{-semigroup, } u_0 \in l^2(\mathbb{N}) \text{ and } t > 0.$ Allow access to:

• Matrix evaluations $\{f_{j,k,m}^{(1)}, f_{j,k,m}^{(2)}: j,k,m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that

$$|f_{j,k,m}^{(1)}(A)-\langle Ae_k,e_j\rangle|\leq 2^{-m}, \quad |f_{j,k,m}^{(2)}(A)-\langle Ae_k,Ae_j\rangle|\leq 2^{-m}.$$

- Coefficient/norm evaluations $\{f_{j,m} : j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $|f_{0,m}(u_0) - \langle u_0, u_0 \rangle| \le 2^{-m}, \quad |f_{j,m}(u_0) - \langle u_0, e_j \rangle| \le 2^{-m}.$
- Constants M, ω satisfying conditions in Hille–Yosida Theorem.

Theorem 1 (C_0 -semigroups on $l^2(\mathbb{N})$ computed with error control)

There exists a universal algorithm Γ using the above, s.t.

 $\|\Gamma(A, u_0, t, \epsilon) - \exp(tA)u_0\| \leq \epsilon, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0, (A, u_0, t) \in \Omega_{C_0}.$

Idea of proof

• Regularisation:

$$\exp(tA)u_0 = (A - (\omega + 2)I)^2 \left[\frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{\omega+1-i\infty}^{\omega+1+i\infty} \underbrace{\frac{e^{zt}R(z,A)}{(z - (\omega + 2))^2}}_{\text{now decays}} dz \right] u_0.$$

• Use well-posedness to reduce to $u_0 = e_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\exp(tA)e_k = (A-(\omega+2)I)\left[\frac{-1}{2\pi i}\int_{\omega+1-i\infty}^{\omega+1+i\infty}\frac{e^{zt}R(z,A)}{(z-(\omega+2))^2}dz\right](A-(\omega+2)I)e_k.$$

Final reduction to

$$\left[\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\omega+1-i\infty}^{\omega+1+i\infty}\frac{\exp(zt)R(z,A)}{(z-(\omega+2))^2}dz\right]e_l.$$

• Truncation + quadrature for decaying integrand.

At each step, use adaptive computation of R(z, A) with error control.

Case 2: PDEs on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$[Au](x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d, |k| \leq N} a_k(x) \partial^k u(x).$$

 $\mathcal{A}_r = \{ f \in \operatorname{Meas}([-r,r]^d) : \|f\|_{\infty} + \operatorname{TV}_{[-r,r]^d}(f) < \infty \}.$

 Ω_{PDE} all (A, u_0, t) with $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and t > 0 s.t. A generates a strongly continuous semigroup on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and:

- (1) Smooth, compactly supported functions form a core of A and A^* .
- (2) At most polynomial growth: There exists $C_k > 0$ and $B_k \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. almost everywhere on \mathbb{R}^d , $|a_k(x)| \leq C_k(1 + |x|^{2B_k})$.
- (3) Locally bounded total variation: $\forall r > 0, u_0|_{[-r,r]^d}, a_k|_{[-r,r]^d} \in \mathcal{A}_r.$

Theorem 2 (PDO C_0 -semigroups on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ computed with error control) There exists a universal algorithm Γ using pointwise evaluations of

coefficients and u₀, s.t.

 $\|\Gamma(A, u_0, t, \epsilon) - \exp(tA)u_0\| \le \epsilon, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0, (A, u_0, t) \in \Omega_{\mathrm{PDE}}$



$$S_{\delta,\sigma} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \arg(z - \sigma) < \pi - \delta\}.$$



$$S_{\delta,\sigma} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \arg(z - \sigma) < \pi - \delta\}.$$

$$\gamma(s)=\sigma+\mu(1+\sin(is-lpha)), \quad \mu>0, \quad 0$$

$$\exp(tA)u_0 \approx \underbrace{\frac{-h}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=-N}^{N} e^{z_j t} R(z_j, A) \gamma'(jh)}_{ ext{truncated Trapezoidal rule}}, \quad z_j = \gamma(jh).$$

Compute exp(*tA*) for $t \in [t_0, t_1]$ where $0 < t_0 \le t_1$, $\Lambda = t_1/t_0$. Using [Weideman & Trefethen 2007], three error terms:

$$\underbrace{\mathcal{O}\left(e^{\sigma t_1 - 2\pi\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha - \delta\right)/h}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(e^{\sigma t_1 + \mu t_1 - 2\pi\frac{\alpha}{h}}\right)}_{\mathcal{O}\left(e^{\sigma t_1 + \mu t_0(1 - \sin(\alpha)\cosh(hN))}\right)} + \underbrace{\mathcal{O}\left(e^{\sigma t_1 + \mu t_0(1 - \sin(\alpha)\cosh(hN))}\right)}_{\mathcal{O}\left(e^{\sigma t_1 - 2\pi\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha - \delta\right)/h}\right)} + \underbrace{\mathcal{O}\left(e^{\sigma t_1 - 2\pi\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha - \delta\right)/h}\right)}_{\mathcal{O}\left(e^{\sigma t_1 - 2\pi\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha - \delta\right)/h}\right)} + \underbrace{\mathcal{O}\left(e^{\sigma t_1 - 2\pi\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha - \delta\right)/h}\right)}_{\mathcal{O}\left(e^{\sigma t_1 - 2\pi\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha - \delta\right)/h}\right)} + \underbrace{\mathcal{O}\left(e^{\sigma t_1 - 2\pi\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha - \delta\right)/h}\right)}_{\mathcal{O}\left(e^{\sigma t_1 - 2\pi\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha - \delta\right)/h}\right)} + \underbrace{\mathcal{O}\left(e^{\sigma t_1 - 2\pi\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha - \delta\right)/h}\right)}_{\mathcal{O}\left(e^{\sigma t_1 - 2\pi\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha - \delta\right)/h}\right)} + \underbrace{\mathcal{O}\left(e^{\sigma t_1 - 2\pi\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha - \delta\right)/h}\right)}_{\mathcal{O}\left(e^{\sigma t_1 - 2\pi\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha - \delta\right)/h}\right)} + \underbrace{\mathcal{O}\left(e^{\sigma t_1 - 2\pi\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha - \delta\right)/h}\right)}_{\mathcal{O}\left(e^{\sigma t_1 - 2\pi\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha - \delta\right)/h}\right)} + \underbrace{\mathcal{O}\left(e^{\sigma t_1 - 2\pi\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha - \delta\right)/h}\right)}_{\mathcal{O}\left(e^{\sigma t_1 - 2\pi\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha - \delta\right)/h}\right)} + \underbrace{\mathcal{O}\left(e^{\sigma t_1 - 2\pi\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha - \delta\right)/h}\right)}_{\mathcal{O}\left(e^{\sigma t_1 - 2\pi\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha - \delta\right)/h}\right)}$$

discretisation error of the integral

truncation error of sum

Compute $\exp(tA)$ for $t \in [t_0, t_1]$ where $0 < t_0 \le t_1$, $\Lambda = t_1/t_0$. Using [Weideman & Trefethen 2007], three error terms:

$$\underbrace{\mathcal{O}\left(e^{\sigma t_1 - 2\pi \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha - \delta\right)/h}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(e^{\sigma t_1 + \mu t_1 - 2\pi \frac{\alpha}{h}}\right)}_{\text{discretisation error of the integral}} + \underbrace{\mathcal{O}\left(e^{\sigma t_1 + \mu t_0(1 - \sin(\alpha)\cosh(hN))}\right)}_{\text{truncation error of sum}}$$

Problem: numerical instability as $N \to \infty$

Compute $\exp(tA)$ for $t \in [t_0, t_1]$ where $0 < t_0 \le t_1$, $\Lambda = t_1/t_0$. Using [Weideman & Trefethen 2007], three error terms:

$$\underbrace{\mathcal{O}\left(e^{\sigma t_1 - 2\pi \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha - \delta\right)/h}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(e^{\sigma t_1 + \mu t_1 - 2\pi \frac{\alpha}{h}}\right)}_{\text{discretisation error of the integral}} + \underbrace{\mathcal{O}\left(e^{\sigma t_1 + \mu t_0(1 - \sin(\alpha)\cosh(hN))}\right)}_{\text{truncation error of sum}}$$

Problem: numerical instability as $N \to \infty$ **Idea:** enforce $\gamma(0)t_1 - \sigma t_1 = \mu t_1(1 - \sin(\alpha)) \le \beta$ for stability as $N \to \infty$. Compute each $R(z_j, A)u_0$ to an accuracy η , optimal parameters now give

$$\underbrace{e^{-\sigma t} \|\exp(tA)u_0 - u_N(t)\|}_{\text{error with intrinsic stability factor}} = \mathcal{O}(\eta) + \mathcal{O}(\exp(-cN/\log(N))).$$

Numerical example showing stability

$$e^{-\lambda t} = rac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} rac{e^{zt}}{z - \lambda} dz, \quad \lambda \ge 0.$$

 $M_N = ext{ max error for } t \in [t_0, t_1].$



Example: complex perturbed fractional diffusion equation

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{Sp}(A) \subset \overline{\mathcal{N}(A)} \cup \overline{\mathcal{N}(A^*)}, \quad \mathcal{N}(A) &:= \{ \langle Ax, x \rangle : x \in \mathcal{D}(A), \|x\| = 1 \}. \\ \|R(z,A)\| \leq [\mathrm{dist}(z,\overline{\mathcal{N}(A)})]^{-1} \ \forall z \notin \overline{\mathcal{N}(A)} \cup \overline{\mathcal{N}(A^*)}. \end{split}$$

$$D_t^{\iota} u = u_{xx} + iu/(1+x^2), \quad 0 < \iota \le 1.$$



Solutions ($\epsilon = 10^{-12}$) for various ι at t = 1 (blue), t = 5 (red) and t = 50 (yellow). The real parts are shown as solid lines, and the imaginary parts as dashed lines (u_0 shown in black).

Conclusion

Key points:

- Semigroups can be computed with error control via a universal algorithm.
- Extends to PDEs (e.g. unbounded domains).
- New stable quadrature rule for analytic semigroups.
- Results carry over to time-fractional PDEs via Laplace transforms.

Future work:

- Nonlinear cases (e.g. splitting).
- Non-autonomous cases.
- Efficient methods with error control for Schrödinger semigroups.

For further papers and numerical code: http://www.damtp.cam.ac.uk/user/mjc249/home.html

References

Main paper:

M.J. Colbrook. "Computing semigroups with error control." preprint.

• Extension to time-fractional:

M.J. Colbrook, L.J. Ayton. "A contour method for time-fractional PDEs." *preprint*.

Application in physics:

D. Johnstone, M.J. Colbrook, A.E. Nielsen, P. Ohberg, C.W. Duncan. "Bulk Localised Transport States in Infinite and Finite Quasicrystals via Magnetic Aperiodicity." *preprint*.

- Adaptive method of computing resolvent with error control: M.J. Colbrook. "Computing spectral measures and spectral types." *Communications in Mathematical Physics* (2021).
- S. Becker, A. Hansen. "Computing solutions of Schrödinger equations on unbounded domains." *preprint* (2020).
- J.A.C. Weideman, L.N. Trefethen. "Parabolic and hyperbolic contours for computing the Bromwich integral." *Math. Comp.* (2007).